

Key point	Old procedure	New procedure	Reason for amendment
Procedural format	Two-step: - Informal preliminary examination - Formal investigation	One-step: Formal investigation	<ul> <li>Legal and technical resilience for the entire process</li> <li>Each report is concluded with a definitive and formal result</li> <li>Time savings (flexible procedure)</li> </ul>
Type of com- mission	Ad hoc commission	Standing commission with specialist unit	Assurance of the quality of the process     Procedural fairness     Time savings
Separation of conflicts and breaches of rules	No explicit separation	The procedure only deals with breaches of integrity	Conflicts cannot be resolved through investigations.     Violations of rules cannot be arbitrated.
Definition of scientific misconduct	Definitions specific to ETH	Code of the Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences	Compatibility with the Swiss scientific community     Requirements of the federal government
Transparency and confidenti- ality	Barely addressed	Procedure is basically transparent.  There are clear rules for access to information.	Transparency promotes the quality and trustworthiness of the process.
Division of de- cision-making powers	All decisions by the Executive Board	Integrity Commission:  - Initiation of proceedings - Final assessment  Executive Board Committee:  - Initiation of measures - Communication	Less danger of conflicts of interest     Prevention of unnecessary escalation

Table: A comparison of the essential differences between the old and the new procedure to address scientific misconduct.