# ETHZURICH

# **River-aquifer relationship at the Maggia**

Stefano Martinetti<sup>1</sup>

Supervision: Prof. Dr. Peter Molnar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Simone Fatichi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Marius Floriancic<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zurich, Institute of Environmental Engineering, Chair of Hydrology and Water Resources Management





### **1** Introduction

The river-aquifer connection in braided gravel bed rivers is strong and the phreatophytes on the river bar, which take up most of the water from the capillary fringe right above the groundwater table, are likely to be influenced by the river-aquifer dynamics. The connection between river and aquifer is dependent on the properties of the riverbed, which usually acts as retarding layer of stream stage variations. Being exposed to the erosion/deposition processes, the riverbed properties are expected to show signs of transience.

This work aims to clarify

- 1) the presence, magnitude and potential variability of the semi-impervious riverbed, and
- 2) the role of groundwater table as a predictor for stomatal conductance of phreatophytes positioned on the river bar

in the river Maggia in Moghegno.

# 2 Method overview

The effect of the semi-impervious riverbed was first estimated with a numerical model that uses the convolution theorem to calibrate the retardation-factor *a* of the semi-impervious riverbed as a function of the river stage and an analytical response-function, which depends on the retardation factor *a*.

#### **Streambed retardation and recession rates**

The calibration of the *a*-factor can be differentiated in two situations.

1) a = 0 [m], when the river stage shows major fluctuations and a strong groundwater response occurs. In this case, the semi-impervious riverbed is not existent ( $d_{riverbed} = 0$ ).

2) *a* >> 0 [m], when there is low flow with minimal river stage fluctuations and the groundwater response is numerically not captured by the model.

The recession rates after floods in the river and the groundwater showed a relationship, which indicates that the connection between river and aquifer is indeed very high.



**Fig. 3.** Recession rates of floods with peak stage of less than 308 m (a.s.l.). The white circles show floods whose previous flood had a peak stage greater than 308 m (a.s.l). The occurrence of a previous larger flood leads to on average slower recession rate in the groundwater. This is a consequence of more water infiltrating into the aquifer due to the increased hydraulic conductivity of the riverbed which is caused by eroding force of the previous flood. A stage of 308 m (a.s.l.) corresponds to a flood with a return period of roughly 1 year.

#### **Stomatal conductance**

$$h_{gw}(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dH_{r}(t)}{dt} \cdot h_{resp}(x,a,t-\tau)d\tau$$
$$a = \frac{K_{aquifer} \cdot d_{riverbed}}{K_{riverbed}} [m]$$

K denotes the hydraulic conductivity and d the thickness.

In a second approach, the first 30 hours of recession after floods in the river and the groundwater were analyzed and compared. The recession rates were estimated by fitting an exponential decay to the respective stages by use of equations in figure 3.

The stomatal conductance measurements were compared to the current local and regional meteorological variables and to the current groundwater



**Fig. 1.** Conceptual sketch of the river-aquifer relationship at the Maggia. On one hand, the groundwater level is influenced by the river and the properties of the semi-impervious riverbed. On the other hand, the water uptake of the phreatophytes occurs mostly in the capillary fringe, which lies directly above the variable groundwater table.

The  $g_s$  measurements confirmed the sensitivity of the stomatal opening towards vapor pressure deficit. However, the relationship between  $g_s$  and *vpd* is influenced by  $H_{aw}$ , as can be seen in figure 4.



**Fig. 4.**  $g_s$  measurements (raw data and binned mean ± 1 std. deviation) and vpd. An exponential decay model was fit to the data. The 95%-confidence intervals do not overlap, which undermines the difference in plant transpiration between high and low  $H_{qw}$ .

## 5 Conclusion

In particular, our analysis showed the following:

1) The riverbed of the Maggia allows a strong interaction between river and aquifer. Conceptually, this means that the *a*-factor is low.

2) The numerical model is not able to detect potential transience of the riverbed properties. The analysis of the recession rates, however, suggests a decrease of the *a*-factor after bigger floods due to erosion of the fine sediments that "clog" the riverbed.
3) The measurements of g<sub>s</sub> gathered field evidence of the role of H<sub>gw</sub> in the plant's control of the stomatal opening. At low H<sub>gw</sub> situations, the relationship between g<sub>s</sub> and meteorological parameters is different compared to high H<sub>gw</sub> situations. Transpiration is therefore dependent on H<sub>gw</sub>, which in turn depends on H<sub>r</sub> and the *a*-factor.

## 3 Materials and Data

level.

Parameter	Measured/ Modeled	Period	Measurement-device/ Data origin	
Temperature <i>T</i> [°C]	Measured	Summer 2019	Sensirion SHT31	
Relative humidity <i>RH</i> [-]	Measured	Summer 2019	Sensirion SHT31	
Stomatal cond. g <sub>s</sub> [mmol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Measured	Summer 2019	<i>Meter</i> SC-1 Leaf Porometer	
Groundwater stage <i>H<sub>gw</sub></i> [m]	Measured	2001-2006, 2018-2019	unknown pressure tube <i>Keller</i> DCX-22	rig. 2 condu the riv
River stage <i>H<sub>r</sub></i> [m]	Modeled, Measured	2001-2006, 2018- 2019	BASEMENT software, Keller DCX-22	incana meas



**Fig. 2.** Measurement of stomatal conductance of a leaf of *salix eleagnos* on the riverbank in Moghegno. Per plant (3 *salix eleagnos,* 1 *populus nigra,* 1 *alnus incana*) 5 leafs were marked and measured on 10 days between July and October 2019.

**Tab. 1.** Data origin and period. Measurements in summer 2019 were done periodically. The modeled  $H_r$  is based on measurements in Lodano 3.3 km upstream of Moghegno. *T* and *RH* were used to calculate vapor pressure deficit (*vpd*).

# 6 References

- 1. Guillaume Gianni et al., Rapid identication of transience in streambed conductance by inversion of floodwave responses. Water Resources Research, 2016.
- 2. J. Timothy Ball et al., A Model Predicting Stomatal Conductance and its Contribution to the Control of
- Photosynthesis under Dierent Environmental Conditions, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1987.
- 3. BASEMENT 2.8 R5771, ETH Zurich / Laboratory of Hydraulics, Glaciology and Hydrology (VAW), 2018.