"Process control in mechanized urban tunnelling"

Zurich, 10 May 2012

All the concepts contained in this presentation are taken from the book

"Mechanized Tunnelling in Urban Areas"

Edited by Vittorio Guglielmetti, Piergiorgio Grasso, Ashraf Mahtab, Shulin Xu

> Balkema - CRC Press – 2007 (Taylor&Francjs Group)





- Tunnelling is a complex process, and tunnelling in urban areas is even more complex and needs special care for disturbing as little as possible the integrity of the ground surface and the built-up environment above.
- Nowadays we have a powerful method for excavating tunnels in urban environment: the use of Tunnel Boring Machines (TBMs) or "mechanized tunnelling", due to the low disturb of the daily activities of the cities, assuring at the same time quality, safety, and the project's target in term of time & cost.



Tunnelling by using Closed-face Machines (which is a "must" in urban environment) is "factory" like, not the "mining" type. This means a safer work environment for the workers, but also a more industrialized process, which is based on standard operations during working cycle, being thus possible or even let say "easy" to control. It should be highlighted that methods of excavation or TBMs endowed with "magic" powers do not exist, thus

any excavation method requires a strict system to control its use, respecting relevant procedures and work instructions.

If the correct choice of the machine can be considered as **"primary mitigation measure**" for the excavation risks, the application of the control system can be seen as a **"secondary mitigation measure"**. In fact, it shall enable an actual "minimisation" of the construction risks to the point of making them acceptable, or to the so called **"residual risk"**.

Of course, if the residual risk-level is still too high (i.e. non acceptable), additional mitigation measures must be implemented, like for example, ground treatments.

"The correct choice of machine operated without the correct management and operating controls is as bad as choosing the wrong type of machine for the project" BTS/ICE, 2005 All existing guidelines for underground or geoengineering works require:

- A Solid Design based on site investigations, design calculations and necessary studies
- A *Monitoring Plan* with definition of threshold values of key parameters to be checked during construction
- Detailed *Technical Specifications & Method Statements* for construction

In addition to all this, for assuring the necessary performance to the project it is needed:

- An Experienced Contractor
- A *Designer Representative* on site to follow the implementation of his design
- A *Resident Engineer* responsible for Construction Supervision

Clearly, all of the above elements are necessary!

but

• Are they enough?

Collapses can heavily injure third parties and/or cause serious damages to people and private properties.



"Process control in mechanized urban tunnelling" Zurich, 10 May 2012

Even if a solid design is done, checked and approved, and a consistent monitoring system is implemented for the construction, accidents could still occur!

WHY ???



Why do accidents occur ?

- Variability & uncertainty in geological, geotechnical and hydrological conditions;
- Deviation of ground behavior from predicted;
- Lack of excavation process control, due to:
 - Lack of timely interpretation of monitoring data;
 - Lack of (adequate) counter-measures
- Human factors.

Thus, a more consistent approach is required:

- 1. Make a *Robust Design* based on *probabilistic* (and not deterministic) approach;
- 2. Do a complete Risk Analysis;
- 3. Design *a Monitoring Plan* with definition of *thresholds*;
- 4. Predefine the necessary *counter-measures*;
- 5. Prepare an appropriate mechanism for the *activation* of the counter-measures;
- 6. Collect in *real time* the monitoring data, and *share* them among all people involved, in order to:
- 7. Take the *RIGHT DECISION* at the *RIGHT TIME*

All that leads to implement a rigorous Control System



The control theory states that in a control system, the output of a process is **fed back** through parameters measurement and comparison with reference values. The controller then takes the **error** (difference) between the reference and the output as indication to **change the inputs** to the system under control. Very simple, isn't it?



But when the process is complex like a **Tunnel Construction** things get harder!!!



Kovari, 2004

The control system activation gives *real-time warnings* to the process controllers, *even before* the threshold values are being exceeded, when *their trend is showing a tendency to the limits*, thus allowing the prompt adoption of counter measures.



Monitoring and instrumentation can be classified with reference to the object to be monitored:

- 1. Monitoring of underground excavation;
- 2. Monitoring of surface and utilities;
- 3. Monitoring of buildings;
- 4. Environmental monitoring (air, noise and vibrations);





An example of Real-time Management of TBM monitoring data, with the possibility to transmit values from the data logger to everywhere through a WEB connection for sharing the information, but also for a potential "remote control".

The modern data loggers give us the possibility to control a lot of parameters and are powerful instruments of process control ...



... the monitoring data logger on a TBM is very similar to an airplane flight recorder.



But the purpose of our control system is to prevent accidents not only to explain them "a posteriori", looking for a possible guilty, too often identified in the "*unforeseen & unforeseeable*" behaviour of the ground ... • Let us see now how it can be possible to organize a control system of the two main types of Closed-face Machine available for urban tunnelling:

- The Slurry Shield, and
- The EPB Machine

The control of a SLURRY SHIELD





- **Pressure at the face:** Compressed air pressure and slurry pressure, controlled by TBM automatic system plus slurry level control in the chamber, can be automatic or manual by controlling feeding and extraction pumps;
- **Quality of the slurry:** Viscosity, Yield value, Density, Cake thickness, through the on-site laboratory
- Quantity and quality of mucked material: through calculations derived from double measurement system (density and flow) on the in- and out- pipelines, and observations at the treatment plant as well;
- Segment mortar grouting: quantity and pressure of injection pumps, through manometers and automatic control system.

The control of Slurry Shield



The bentonite slurry level: why it is so important?



The control of Slurry Shield

Quantity of "dry extracted material" of a Slurry Shield v/s the excavation stroke



A significant change of inclination of the graph showing the dry quantity of extracted material, can signify two equally dangerous things: (a) the beginning of a loss of slurry out of the face into the surrounding ground, with consequent loss of extracted material, or (b) the start of a plugging at the suction point due, for instance, to the presence of boulders, or sticky material, or (c) the formation of clogging along the first stretch of the pipeline.

Conversely, a sudden increase of slope of the curve, which is less frequent but even more worrying, would signify a sudden unexpected "inflow" of solid material in the chamber, i.e. the possible beginning of face instability with the danger of collapse, or at least the beginning of an over-excavation.

EPB TBM control: the Key Parameters



The control of EPBM

The control screen of an EPB Machine, with all the key-parameters under the control of the TBM Operator



The Key parameters to be controlled are:

- **Pressure** in the excavation chamber and along the screw conveyor.
- Extracted quantity of material (in volume and/or in weight).
- Apparent density of the material into the chamber
- Volume and pressure of grouting in the annular void behind the lining.
- Torque, stroke, rotating speed of the cutterhead, and TBM advancement speed.

Face Support Pressure monitoring



The control of EPBM TAV NODO DI BOLOGNA TBM PARI - Peso netto estratto peso netto peso teorico (range g=2,0-2,1 t/m3) all + 🗖 Quantity of Extracted pk (m) Muck: 010 980 4910 4940 4970 5000 350 5 430 490 610 640 200 F820 880 280 280 Weight and Volume 260 260 240 240 ANY AMALINA فرقيا المانا الأ 196*1* N 220 220 ILAURI K., . INAVAINIANIANIANIANI Mada all is a surrent s Т W 200 200 iquidi (ton) 180 180 160 160 140 LOVAT Inc. RME370SE Serie19600 Emilia 15/03/2006 10 23 AM DEFAULT TBM TITOLO CENTRO PRINCIPALE AUSILIARIO **IDRAUILICE&** MACCHINA ALLARME SCHERMO 120 SCHERMO DI SPINTA SISTEMI AIUTO SISTEMI LUBRIFICAZION STATO 250 100 Valore Sopra Limit 204.42 Tonnes T²⁰⁰ 80 \$ Terra Scavata-Spr. 60 203.60 Tonnes 0 150 n 40 Valore di Scavo n 20 100 e Valore Sotto Limit TRX_SCALE\MassSpring_Actual S 50 2335 2355 95 95 2575 2595 2615 2655 2675 2695 55 2535 3/15/2006 10:00:33 24 249 $\zeta = 650$ tima Spinta-Sp Y = 95 0 0.00 Tonnes 2200 Π. 550 1100 1650 **SCAVO** Propieta Gr Propieta Gr Manuale Manuale Manuale Set Points **AVVIO** in Avanzame STOP **Reset Totalizzatore** Tonnellate Volume 250 Valore Sopra Limit 133.21 m^o v²⁰⁰ Terra Scavata-Sick 91.62 m^a 0 150 Valore di Scavo u √alore Sotto Limit 100 m Itima Spinta-Sicl е 50 0.00 m

The face-support pressure during standstill



An example of the Secondary Face Support System SFSS

application, for controlling the face support pressure during the TBM stoppages. The intervention of injection pump is here automatically controlled.

Red line : Face support pressure; Black line: bentonite quantity injected

The face-support pressure during standstill



A manual application of the Secondary Face-Support System - SFSS

The Apparent Density Control



The "apparent density" provides an indication of the consistency of the material in the excavation chamber, as well as its capacity to supply adequate face support pressure. It also gives an effective indication of the filling rate of the plenum

The control of grouting system is the same for Slurry Shield and EPBM



Grouting behind the lining

- Volumi e pressioni di malta

The control of grouting system

Tail Void Grouting: Volume and Pressures



Green line: grouting pressure

The results: the control of surface settlements



The main sources of settlements are:

Face volume loss Radial volume loss around the shield Radial volume loss around the lining

If we are able to control the keyparameters of the excavation process, we can also control the surface settlements.

The results: the control of surface settlements



An example: The "Nodo di Bologna High Speed Railway" project in Italy

Lessons learned:

1. No construction project is risk free: Risk can be managed, minimized, shared, transferred, or simply accepted, but it cannot be ignored. (Sir Michael Latham, 1994).

2. Be wise a priori: Nowadays the "unexpected" is no longer acceptable from a strict "risk management" point of view.