# Large scale urban traffic operations:

1

theory, empirics and control

Victor L. Knoop 16 November 2016



# Scales of traffic desciption

Microscopic: individual level

Macroscopic: road level

• Higher level: network level



2















#### Build up of congestion



ŤU



ŤU



### ŤU

#### Fitting a functional form

 $P(A) = A^*(c1+c2A+c3A2)-c4\sigma$ 



Homogeneous traffic situation



Inhomogeneous traffic situation



#### Fitting a functional form

#### $P(A) = A^*(c1+c2A+c3A2)-c4\sigma$

Homogeneous and inhomogeneous conditions



Accumulation (veh/km/lane)



#### Fitting a functional form

Different traffic conditions



9

Accumulation (veh/km/lane)



### Empirical evidence



#### GMFD top view fit



### Suitable for any queuing application?







# Further content

- 1) Empirics of MFD
- 2)Controlling: perimeter control and internal control



# Floating car data

# Estimation of MFDs

- Control based on MFD
- How does the MFD look like?
  - often found from (micro)simulation or taxi data
  - Loop detector data is unfeasible
- Cont(r)act with Google



# Detector speeds not representative



**TU**Delft

# Available data

- Speeds via all mobile devices
- (Scaled) flow on the roads
- Road segments length typically ~100 meters
- Aggregation time 5 min
- Total: billions (i.e., exp(9)) rows of data!
- Segment size lacks assumed equal



# Results

• Not in sheets on the internet...



# Perimeter control or internal control

#### Perimeter control?





#### Perimeter control?





### Aims for combinations

- Perimeter control: do not exceed the critical density
- Traffic lights: influence the internal flows (maximize)
- Combination:
  - Allow for inflow
  - Spread congestion evenly (i.e., reduce spread)



# Control

# Lights for perimeter controlLights for internal control





# Control schemes

 Perimeter control: do not let too many vehicles in:

$$q_{\rm g}(k) = q_{\rm g}(k-1) - K_{\rm P} \left[ TTS(k) - TTS(k-1) \right] + K_{\rm I} \left[ T\hat{T}S - TTS(k) \right]$$

- Lights for internal control: three versions
   Fixed time
  - Volume-based
  - SCATS-like (adaptive)



## Results

Delays are lower for the gating situation
Gating first, the rest comes later :-)





# Traffic states

**ŤU**Delft

Limiting the flow also helps having an equal spread



# **Concluding remarks**

#### Conclusions

- MFD is a very rich and promising field of research and application
- Next steps:
  - Include more modalities (cyclists?)
  - Further work on dynamic modelling, and validate
  - Get it to work in practice!



#### Thanks to:

- Kay Axhausen
- Mehdi Keyvan-Ekbatani
- Vikash Gayah
- Serge Hoogendoorn
- Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)
- European Research Council (ERC)



#### **Further reading:**

- Knoop, V.L., Van Lint, J.W.C., and Hoogendoorn, S.P. (2015), Traffic Dynamics: its impact on the Macropscopic Fundamental Diagram, Physica A, Volume 438, November 2015, pp. 236-250
- Network-wide Traffic State Estimation using the Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram: A data fusion approach Marianthi Mermygka, TU Delft MSc thesis, 2016
- Keyvan-Ekbatani, M., Gao, X, Gayah, V. and Knoop, V.L. (2016), Combination of Traffic-Responsive and Gating Control in Urban Networks: Effective Interactions. Paper presented at the 95th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Reseach Board
- Daganzo, C.F. and Knoop, V.L. (2016) Traffic Flow at Pedestrianized Streets. Transportation Research part B, Volume 86, Pages 211-222
- Knoop, V.L. and Daganzo, C.F. (2017) The Effect of Pedestrian Crossings on Traffic Flow. In proceedings of the 96th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, 8-12 January 2017

