Calculating realistic minimal train running times. Dr. Helga Labermeier, SBB Infrastructure, 28.4.2022

Who needs minimal running times?

The train running calculator (ZLR = Zuglaufrechnung) calculates a minimal running time for all trains in planning an disposition. It is expected that this minimum running time can be realised in case of a delay.

ToolBox

The minimal running time is basis

- For signal planning
- To calculate headway times
- In time table planning (Viriato and NeTS)
- In determining the loss of time due to speed restriction in construction site planning
- To determine the vPro-Speeds (Driving advice how to consume the running reserves in case of punctuality)
- In the RCS-Prognosis (RCS=Rail Control System, Disposition System.) which is the basis for conflict detection and customer information
- For automatic dispositions by RCS (e.g. decisions if a connection has to be broken or not)
- To calculate the driving advices by ADL/Hot in case of a conflict.

SBB CFF FFS





RCS^{hot}



2

PRCS^{adl}

RailSys

Model and systemintegration

The minimal running time calculation takes into accout

- The permitted speed
- The properties of the rolling stock (e.g. acceleration capacity (Z/V-Diagram), weight, length, braking system, resistance factors, etc.)
- Topographie (curves, slopes, tunnels, signalling mode...)
- Parameters like adhesion factor, buffer force limits, deceleration restriction, ...
- Rules like sawtooth-brake, mbspeedlimit, nsecondsrule, ...

The model runs as one service called by planning and disposition systems. The request gives the infrastructure, rolling stock and parameter values and receives the running times.



Insights

- a) The planned standard reserves in the planning tools are not sufficient for punctual operation for all trains
- b) The progosis in RCS is too optimistic and has to be corrected regulary
- c) The basis for automatic dispositions and driving devices is not realistic



Reasons



Goals

- Driveability: The goal is that the minimal running times calculated by the ZLR should be realistically driveable in the event of a delay. Therefore, we are looking for a parameterization that corresponds approximately to the 10% percentile of the delayed actual journeys. I.e. one orients oneself to the "10% fastest train".
- As simple as possible: The parameterization must remain as simple as possible. Everything else cannot be kept up to date and traceability is no longer guaranteed.
- The computing time must remain fast.
- Implementation in practice: The trained driving style in the event of a delay should be as close as possible to the minimal running time calculation. This requires an exchange with train driver examination experts. The aim here is in particular to reduce the variation in driving style.

Main points to improve the calculation

- Before starting the calibration of the parameters, some basic errors had to be corrected.
- Parameters are no longer global but per product category
- New parameters are implemented in the modell:
 - Different braking decelerations on speed thresholds versus stop (very simplified electric braking)
 - Reach speed limits earlier by x seconds.
- Use of existing parameters (new)
 - nSecondsRule (max speed hold by n seconds)
 - vMaxMargin (reduce maximum speed by x km/h)
 - jerkRestriction
- Unused parameters (old and new):
 - mbSpeedLimit (costs too much calculation time)

Results

Legend: Purple: Allowed maximum speed Yellow: Minimal running times with new parameters Orange: Minimal running times with parameters used by RCS today Red: Minimal running times with parameters used by Viriato/NeTS. Blue: Real train runs \Leftrightarrow

Large difference on routes with many speed changes



Large difference on routes with many speed changes



Large difference in approach to lange stations.

140

120



IC 3 Approach Basel. Difference: New vs. RCS/NeTS from Muttenz: 22/27 Sec





IC 5 Approach Solothurn from NBS/ABS. Difference: New vs. RCS/NeTS ab RTR: 21/29 Sec





 \Leftrightarrow

Limitation: Possibly too conservative braking at large speed reduction with low target speed



Additional statistics created for Passenger traffic for quality and impact assessment.

Example: IC1 SG-GEAP with FVD: On top: How well is the 10%-percentile hit? Below: How bis is the absolute difference to the calculation in NeTS and RCS and how many reserves could have remained with timetable 21?



Overall statistic for passenger traffic





Freight trains make heavy use of the terrain and brake much more defensively



Sawtooth-Rule: Kandersteg - Frutigen



Remaining Problems

Brake on a red signal at planned stops

The braking behavior in response to a red signal depends on:

- a) Stopping position (distance to the red signal)
- b) ETCS L2 or visual signaling
- c) Whether vehicles are equipped bith BL3 or not.

Whether a signal is red or green depends in most cases on other trains and cannot be easily predicted!



Signaled reduced speed

Reduced speed is signaled if, for example, the slipping distance at the next signal is not sufficient or if the braking distance to the next main signal is too small.

Whether a a redused speed is signaled depends on other trains and cannot be easily predicted!



And...

. . .

- Effectiveness brake
- Schutzstrecken (Dead Sections?)
- Tunnel resistance / Resistance formula
 → Very important for calculating the energy consumption
- Correct stopping places





Implementation plan of the new Parameters

Integration of a new release of the ZLR-Service and the ability to define the parameters by traincategory:

- RCS (with ADL, HOT, vPro): Development completed, in testing. Plan: productive by September.
- NeTS: Development completed, in testing. Plan: Timetable 2025 planned on the basis of the new Parameters.
- Virato: Plan: Development completed by autumn.
- ZLR-Toolbox: In production.

