Urban transit network design using spanning tree: A case study of Canberra transit network

Satoshi Sugiura^{1)*}, Kam-Fung Cheung²⁾, Michael Bell³⁾, Hitomi Nakanishi⁴⁾, Fumitaka Kurauchi⁵⁾, Supun Perera⁶⁾ and Yogi Vidyattama⁴⁾

¹Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan

²School of Information Systems and Technology Management, University of New South Wales Business School, Sydney, Australia

³Institute of Transport and Logistics Studies, University of Sydney Business School, Sydney, Australia

⁴School of Design and Built Environment, Faculty of Arts and Design, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia

⁵Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan

⁶Canberra School of Politics, Economics and Society, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia

*Corresponding author: sugiura@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

Graph theory (Eulerian circuit)

Can you determine whether there is a path that traverses all edges exactly once and returns to the starting node?



Background

- Passenger costs are minimized if all the shortest paths between OD pairs are planned to be traversed.
 - The operator will have a relatively inefficient operation plan.
 - e.g., Number of vehicles, driver, no transit, etc.,



Background (cont.)

- Bundling travel demand into a few routes will make operators more cost-efficient.
 - How much should we bundle?
 - Spanning tree illustrates the route with the most tightly bundled trip demands.



Bell et al. (2020)

- Bell et al. (2020) provide the formulation and heuristic solution algorithm for the ferry network design problem with ST constraints.
 - Their model maximize passenger utility by maximizing entropy.
 - Our model minimize total passenger kilometers (TPK)
- They propose two heuristic algorithm to solve the model.
 - Heuristic 1: link swapping
 - Heuristic 2: link deletion
- The computational load of their method is high
 - We aim to develop an efficient solution algorithm.

Objective

- We aim to find a spanning tree with minimized passenger kilometers.
 - The optimized tree is expected to show the essential routes and hub location.
- Cayley's formula tells us there are n⁽ⁿ⁻²⁾ spanning trees in a network of n nodes.
 - The full search method can not be employed for a large network.
 - Also, the combinatorial optimization method seems to be unsuitable.
- We derive a meta-heuristic method to solve this problem.

Contribution

- Regarding transit network design, the proposed model aims to locate hubs and trunk routes in the preliminary planning stage.
- We developed an efficient tabu search heuristic to solve the proposed model for a promising spanning tree.
- We developed a greedy algorithm by adding additional links to the spanning tree obtained from the proposed tabu search.
- Canberra bus network data and compare the performance with the state-of-the-art heuristics in Bell et al. (2020) in the context of transit network design.

Problem definition

$$\min_{x,y} \sum_{w \in W} d_w c_w \tag{1}$$

Subject to

$$d_{w} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{w}} b_{wp} h_{p}; \forall w \in W$$
 (2)

$$c_{w} = \sum_{(ij)\in E} \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{w}} b_{wp}q_{(ij)p}t_{(ij)} ; \forall w\in W$$
(3)

$$x_{(ij)} = \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_W} b_{wp} q_{(ij)p} h_p; \forall i, j \in N \quad (4)$$

$$\tau \ge \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{w}} c_{w} b_{wp} h_{p} = \sum_{(ij) \in E} t_{(ij)} x_{(ij)} \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{i,j\in N} y_{(ij)} \le |N| - 1 \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j\in V\\ \neq \emptyset}} y_{(ij)} \le |V| - 1; \forall V \subset N, V \ne N, V$$
(7)

$$h_p > 0; \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{8}$$

 $\begin{aligned} x_{(ij)} &\leq M y_{(ij)}; \forall i, j \in N \\ y_{(ij)} &\in \{0,1\}; \forall i, j \in N \end{aligned} \tag{9}$

8

- Minimize TPK
- ST topology constraint
 - $\left| \dot{E} \right| = \left| V \right| 1$
 - $\forall (u, v) \in V$ are connected

Solution algorithm in Bell et al. (2020) Heuristic 1: link swapping

- 1. Find the spanning tree that minimises sailing time (proxied by distance) using Kruskal's algorithm, and store the corresponding links in *E*.
- 2. Find the link that when inserted decreases *TPK* most, add this link to *E*.
- 3. Find the link that when deleted increases *TPK* least while ensuring that the resulting network remains fully connected. Remove this link from *E*.
- 4. Return to Step 2 until the link deleted is the link just inserted, at which point a local optimum is reached.
- 5. When a local optimum is reached, taboo the link just inserted and return to Step 2 until there are no further links to taboo.



A huge number of shortest path search is required.

Solution algorithm in Bell et al. (2020) Heuristic 2: link deletion

- 1. Connect all pairs of ferry stations to each other and store the n(n 1)/2 links, where n = |F|, in E.
- 2. Find the link that when deleted decreases TPK least while ensuring that the resulting network remains fully connected. Remove this link from E.
- 3. Repeat Step 2 until a spanning tree is reached (until |E| = n 1).



An idea to improve link swapping algorithm



A graph that reconnects two subgraphs is always a spanning tree.

To calculate TPK on temporary tree

$$Z(a, b|_{n}T) = \sum_{i \in N(\frac{1}{n}T-a), j \in N(\frac{2}{n}T-a)} \left[\left(c_{(ib(1))} + c_{b(2)j} \right) \left(d_{(ij)} + d_{(ji)} \right) + t_{(b(1)b(2))} \left(d_{(ij)} + d_{(ji)} \right) \right] \\ + \sum_{i,j \in N(\frac{1}{n}T-a), i \neq j} c_{(ij)} \left(d_{(ij)} + d_{(ji)} \right) \\ + \sum_{i,j \in N(\frac{2}{n}T-a), i \neq j} c_{(ij)} \left(d_{(ij)} + d_{(ji)} \right)$$

 No shortest path is needed if the distance matrix of _nT is obtained. $k_i = n(T_{-i}^1) \times n(T_{-i}^2)$

When reconnecting, no shortest-path search is needed to compute TPK.

Solution algorithm 1. initialization



Distance matrix (c)



Tabu list (\mathcal{L})

	Removed	Added
1		
2		
•••		

Solution algorithm 2. finding local optima



Randomly select ψ links in ${}_{n}T$ and store them in \mathcal{A} $\gamma \leftarrow \emptyset$ FOR each link a in \mathcal{A} $\{{}_{n}^{1}T_{-a}, {}_{n}^{2}T_{-a}\} \leftarrow {}_{n}T \setminus a$ $\mathcal{B}_{a} \leftarrow \{(i, j) \in E - \dot{E} \mid i \in {}_{n}^{1}T_{-a}, j \in {}_{n}^{2}T_{-a}\}$ FOR each link b in \mathcal{B}_{a} ${}_{n}T_{-a}^{+b} \leftarrow \{{}_{n}^{1}T_{-a}, {}_{n}^{2}T_{-a}\} \cup b$ $\gamma_{(ab)} \leftarrow Z({}_{n}T_{-a}^{+b})$ $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma \cup \{\gamma_{(ab)}\}$

ENDFOR

ENDFOR

$$\begin{aligned} &(a',b') \leftarrow \arg\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}_a} \{\gamma_{(ab)} \middle| \gamma_{(ab)} \in \pmb{\gamma} \} \\ &\gamma_{(a'b')} \leftarrow Z \left(\left. {}_n T^{+b'}_{-a'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Solution algorithm 3. update the solution



 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{IF} \ \gamma_{(a'b')} < Z^* \\ & Z^* \leftarrow \gamma_{(a'b')} \\ & T^* \leftarrow {}_n T^{+b'}_{-a'} \\ & \\ & n+1T \ \leftarrow {}_n T^{+b'}_{-a'} \\ & \mathcal{L} \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \cup \{(\acute{a},\acute{b})\} \end{aligned}$

Tabu list (£)

	Removed	Added
1	á	<i>b</i>
2		
•••		

(Note: If \mathcal{L} is full, remove the oldest link swap_{1/5} then update \mathcal{L} by including (\acute{a}, \acute{b}) .)

16

Solution algorithm 3. update solution

ELSE FOR each y in Y IF (a', b') not in \mathcal{L} $_{n+1}T \leftarrow _n T^{+b'}_{-a'}$ **EXITFOR** ELSE $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma} \setminus \left\{ \gamma_{(a'b')} \right\}$ **ENDIF** $(a',b') = \arg\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{a}} \{ \gamma_{(ab)} | \gamma_{(ab)} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \}$ $\gamma_{(a'b')} = Z\left({}_{n}T^{+b'}_{-a'} \right)$

ENDFOR

 $\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \cup \left\{ \left(\acute{a}, \acute{b} \right) \right\}$

- If the swapping operation as local optima is in tabu, seek the next best operation.
- The next best operation is allowed and carries the ST that gave that operation to the next iteration.

Application to Canberra bus smart card data

- Canberra
 - Population: 460,900
 - Area: 807.6 km²
- Smart card data
 - 1,207,494 trip (2016)
 - 111 Suburbs
 - 111×111 OD trip table
 - Aggregated bus stops to suburbs



Computational result

- Heuristic 1
 - Iteration: 6105 (= $111 \times \frac{110}{2}$)
- Heuristic 2
 - Iteration: 5995 (= 6105 110)
- Proposed method
 - Iteration: 3000
 - $\psi = 5$ (candidate of deleted links)
 - $|\mathcal{L}| = 80$ (length of tabu)

CPU: AMD Ryzen3800x, RAM: DDR4-3200 64GB, OS:Windows 10, Coded on Matlab 2019b.(*) the computation time and the objective value are obtained by averaging 100 simulations using the algorithm



	Heuristic 1 (Link Swapping)	Heuristic 2 (Link Deletion)	Proposed method
Computation time (s)	32,237	23,047	69
Objective value (TPK) (in 10 ³ km)	547,418	576,220	544,633 18

Comparison for other ST

- Minimum distance spanning tree (MST) $\min_{y} \sum_{i,j \in N, i \neq j} t_{(ij)} y_{(ij)}$
- Minimizing total length of tree
- Solved by Kruskal's algorithm

- Maximum demand spanning tree (MDST) $\min_{y} \sum_{i,j \in N, i \neq j} -d_{(ij)}y_{(ij)}$
- Maximizing direct connected OD demand
- Solved by Kruskal's algorithm



Fig. Minimum distance spanning tree

Fig. Maximum demand spanning tree

Comparison for other ST

- MPKST connects high-demand nodes and several branch lines.
 - MPKST indicates that the red nodes with higher degrees are shown at the major traffic points such as Belconnen, City and Woden Valley.



	Total passenger- kilometers	Percentage change with respect to MST	Percentage change with respect to MDST
MST	2,375,188,779	-	+49.31%
MDST	1,590,809,637	-33.02%	-
MPKST	544,329,352	-77.08%	-65.78%



Transport Canberra Rapid Network 2017-2020 🕒 B



www.transport.act.gov.au 13 17 10 f Transport Canberra 🅑 Transport_CBR

Relationship between cumulative frequency distribution and ratio of link distance to path travel distance



Further improvements on transit network design



Conclusion

- We proposed an optimization model to study a TNDP using the concept of spanning tree, which minimizes the TPK in the network
- Also, we developed a solution algorithm: Link Swapping with Tabu Search to quickly solve the model
 - Our method makes a decline in the number of shortest path searches from the algorithm
- We apply our method to Canberra smart card data
 - Our method illustrates decreasing computational time dramatically and finding a better approximate solution.
- We proposed further improvements on TNDP from ST

Thank you for listening

Ref.

Bell, M. G. H., Pan, J. J., Teye, C., Cheung, K. F., & Perera, S. (2020). An entropy maximizing approach to the ferry network design problem. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 132*, 15-28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.02.006</u>

Cayley, A. (1889). A theorem on trees. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 23, 376-378.

Öncan, T., Cordeau, J. F., & Laporte, G. (2008). A tabu search heuristic for the generalized minimum spanning tree problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 191(2), 306-319.

Rothlauf, F. (2009). On optimal solutions for the optimal communication spanning tree problem. *Operations Research*, *57*(2), 413-425. <u>https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1080.0592</u>

Tsubakitani, S., & Evans, J. R. (1998). Optimizing tabu list size for the traveling salesman problem. *Computers* & *Operations Research*, 25(2), 91-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0548(97)00030-0</u>

