

Forschung, Wissenschaft

Nitroaliphatic Compounds – Ideal Intermediates in Organic Synthesis?*

Dieter Seebach **, Ernest W. Colvin, Friedrich Lehr, and Thomas Weller
Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Zürich

Dedicated to Professor *E.J. Corey*, on the occasion of his fiftieth birthday.

Abstract

Because of improved methods for the conversion of nitroaliphatics into amines, alcohols, and carbonyl compounds, carbon-carbon bond forming processes involving this class of substrate are of increasing importance. This article reviews the work of ourselves and others, and demonstrates that nitroaliphatic compounds can show either electrophilic or nucleophilic reactivity at the α -, β -, γ -, and δ -positions.

Contents

- Introduction
- A. Preparation of Aliphatic Nitrocompounds without C–C Bond Formation
- B. Conversion of Aliphatic Nitrocompounds into other Functionalised Derivatives, without change of the Carbon Skeleton
 - 1. Nitrogen Derivatives
 - 2. Oxygen Derivatives
 - 3. Nitrite as a Leaving Group
- C. Synthesis Design and Reactivity Umpolung
- D. Reactions at the α -Carbon of Nitroalkanes
 - 1. Alkylation
 - 2. Acylation
 - 3. Hydroxyalkylation
- E. Conjugate Addition by α - and at β -Nitro Carbon Atoms
- F. Carbo- and Heterocyclisation using Nitroaliphatics
- G. Umpolung of Reactivity of Nitroaliphatic Compounds
 - 1. α , β -Doubly Deprotonated 2-Nitropropane
 - 2. α , β -Doubly Deprotonated 2-Arylnitroethanes
 - 3. 1-Nitrobutadiene Dianion
 - 4. Methyl 3-Nitropropanoate Dianion
 - 5. Synthetic Implications of α , β -Doubly Deprotonated Nitroethane Derivatives
- H. Conclusions and Future Trends.

On reading the current literature, one notices a considerable increase in the use of aliphatic nitrocompounds, sought primarily as intermediates towards more complex, nitro-free structures, and rarely as target molecules in their own right; indeed, there are few examples of naturally occurring nitro-aliphatic compounds, or of synthetic products which have found significant biological use (see Table 1).

Table 1: Some Selected Naturally Occurring and/or Biologically Active Aliphatic Nitrocompounds. For further examples, see ref. [1d].

	Reference
	ubiquitous in plants [1d]
	Miserotoxin – occurs [2] in vetches, causes livestock poisoning
	Cuban termite soldier [3] defensive compound
	Fungicide and acaricide [1d]
	Insecticide [4]

It is the purpose of this article to highlight some of these more recent applications. Existing reviews [1] either do not stress the broad synthetic utility of aliphatic nitrocompounds, or are devoted to more specialised areas of the field.

To make any claim for extensive utility of a particular class of compound, one must first demonstrate not only a ready availability by a variety of routes, but also a breadth in the scope of subsequent interconversions.

A. Preparation of Aliphatic Nitrocompounds without C–C Bond Formation


Scheme 1 surveys general routes to nitroaliphatic compounds. It is evident that all of the classical organic

* Presented by D.S. to the Chemische Gesellschaft at Basel on 8th June, 1978.

** Prof. Dr. D. Seebach, Laboratorium für Organische Chemie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule, Universitätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

reactions, such as nucleophilic substitution, electrophilic addition, and oxidation processes can be used to good effect. Nitrocompounds are accessible from substrates such as alkylhalides (a), carbanionoids (b), hydrocarbons (c), carboxylic acids (d), amines (e), oximes (f) and alkenes (g → i).

All the illustrated methods have been known [1] for some time, and many have undergone recent improvement; a selected range of such improvements is given in Table 2.



Scheme 1: Preparation of Aliphatic Nitrocompounds without C–C Bond Formation

Table 2: Experimental Conditions for Reactions of Scheme 1



Formal Type in Scheme 1	Conditions and Range of Yields	References
(a)	MnO ₂ -18-crown-6 R ₄ N ⁺ NO ₂ ⁻	35-70% [5] [6]
(b)	NaNO ₂ -(CH ₃) ₂ SO KNH ₂ -liquid NH ₃ -RONO ₂ FC(NO ₂) ₃ NO ₂ ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻ LiN[CH(CH ₃) ₂] ₂ -RONO ₂	40-70% [7] 40-70% [8] 89% [9] 45-90% [10] 50-65% [11]
(c)	HNO ₃	[1a]
(d)	200 °C	25-65% [12]
(e)	SiO ₂ -O ₃ KMnO ₄ 3-ClC ₆ H ₄ CO ₃ H	44-70% [13] 30-50% [14] 58% [15]
(f)	Cl ₂ ; O ₃ ; H ₂ -Pd CF ₃ CO ₃ H	40-90% [16] 30-50% [1a]
(g)	X = I, N ₂ O ₄ -I ₂ X = F, NO ₂ ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻ -HF-Pyridine X = NO, NO N ₂ O ₃ X = OCOC ₃ , NO ₂ ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻ -(CH ₃ CO) ₂ O	80-90% [17] 45-70% [18] [19] [20] 45% [21, 42]
(h)	X = OSO ₂ CH ₃ , (C ₂ H ₅) ₃ N	70-80% [22]
(h) → (i)	X = ONO ₂ , NaBH ₄ -C ₂ H ₅ OH X = OCOC ₃ , NaBH ₄ -DMSO	60-90% [23] 40-70% [24]
(i)	NaBH ₃ CN-C ₂ H ₅ OH-HCl NaBH ₄ -ROH	70-90% [25] 70-95% [26, 41]

B. Conversion of Aliphatic Nitrocompounds into other Functionalised Derivatives, without Change of the Carbon Skeleton

1. Nitrogen derivatives


As shown in Scheme 2, nitroalkanes can be reduced to amines (a), amides (g), hydroxylamines (d and e), nitrones (f), oximes (c), nitriles (i), or converted into nitrile oxides (h) or silyl nitronates (b). The conditions required to effect these transformations are described in Table 3.

In anticipation of some of the stereoselective results to be discussed in Chapter D, it is important to mention at this point that the reduction **1** → **2** without epimerisation is best achieved with Fe-acetic acid or catalytically [34]. In this way, the aminopiperidone **3** was obtained [56a].



Scheme 2: Conversion of Nitroalkanes into other Nitrogen Derivatives

The silyl nitronates **4** [(b) in Scheme 2] have been synthesised only recently [36-38], and are best obtained [36] using lithium diisopropylamide and quenching the resulting lithium nitronate with a trialkylsilyl chloride, followed by non-aqueous isolation procedures.




R¹/R² = n-C₄H₁₁/H, R³ = (CH₃)₃ or (CH₃)₂-C₄H₉
= C₆H₅/H, R³ = (CH₃)₂-C₄H₉
= H₅C₂OCO(CH₂)₂/H, R³ = (CH₃)₃
= CH₃/CH₃, R³ = (CH₃)₂-C₄H₉
= n-C₄H₁₁/CH₃, R³ = (CH₃)₂-C₄H₉
= -(CH₂)₆/H, R³ = (CH₃)₂-C₄H₉

The yields range from 85-90%, and the products are remarkably stable if kept anhydrous (even at temper-

Table 3: Experimental Conditions for Reactions of Scheme 2

Reaction Type in Scheme 2	Formal Conditions and Range of Yields	References
(a)	H ₂ -catalyst Al(Hg)-H ₂ O LiAlH ₄ Li[(CH ₃ OCH ₂ CH ₂ O) ₂ AlH ₂]-C ₆ H ₆ NaBH ₄ -RSH KHFe(CO) ₄ Sn-HCl Fe-CH ₃ CO ₂ H e ⁺ -H ₂ SO ₄	50-100% [27, 56] [28, 134] 50-100% [29, 36, 84] 75-85% [30] 30-50% [31] [32] 57% [33] [34] [35]
(b)	LiN[CH(CH ₃) ₂] ₂ -R ₃ SiCl (C ₂ H ₅) ₃ N-(CH ₃) ₃ SiCl CH ₃ C[OSi(CH ₃) ₃]=NSi(CH ₃) ₃	85-90% [36] [37] [38]
(c)	CuOCOCH ₃ -CO-H ₂ N(CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂ CrCl ₃ Zn-CH ₃ CO ₂ H Fe-CH ₃ CO ₂ H HCl gas or HBr hν n-C ₄ H ₉ OH-heat	52-89% [39] [40] 81-88% [41] [42] [43] [44] [45]
(d)	Al(Hg)-H ₂ O Zn-H ⁺ H ⁺ , (CH ₃ CO) ₂ O, or hν conc. HCl	[28, 46] 20-30% [47] [48] 50-70% [49]
(e)	RMgBr	30-50% [50]
(f)	Zn-H ⁺ -intramolecular trapping	50-70% [51]
(g)	R ¹ NHMgBr-Fe(CO) ₄ R ¹ COFe(CO) ₄	42-99% [52] 71-86% [53]
(h)	ArNCO-R ¹ N	[54]
(i)	PCl ₃ -pyridine NaBH ₂ S ₃	30-80% [55a] 80% [55b]

atures in excess of 100 °C). This is in marked contrast to the thermal lability of alkyl nitronate esters, which readily fragment into carbonyl compounds and oximes [57].




Scheme 3: Some Transformations of Silyl Nitronates

Silyl nitronates show considerable synthetic promise. Preliminary experiments [83] with those derived from primary nitroalkanes show that they can be converted into aldehydes, acetals, and oxime silyl ethers (Scheme 3). For further applications, see Chapter D 3.

2. Oxygen Derivatives




The *Nef* reaction [1, 58] (Equation 1a) is one of the most important transformations of nitroalkanes.

In common with a number of other classical reactions, the method can be capricious, with success often depend-



ing on the particular structure of the substrate. Consequently, organic chemists have developed several variations of the original procedure of solvolysis of alkali nitronates with aqueous or alcoholic sulphuric acid. Such variations include reductive [Ti(III), V(II)] and oxidative (O₃, RONO) conditions, as well as the use of sodium methoxide impregnated silica gel (for examples and conditions, see Table 4). With concentrated mineral acid [1] or NaNO₃/RONO/dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) [79, 84] primary nitroalkanes are converted into carboxylic acids (Equation 1b).

Equation (2) illustrates sequential nucleophilic substitution and a *Nef* reaction [85] (the nitroolefin is acting as an electrophilic enolate equivalent; see Scheme 4). Equation (3) shows [1a, 86] that the *Nef* reaction can be combined with a *Schmidt* rearrangement, yielding amides. The direct conversion [1a] of nitroalkanes into acetates is alluded to in Equation 4: the scope of this process is at present largely undefined.



3. Nitrite as a Leaving Group

In addition to being a precursor [84, 87] to almost all of the common organic functionalities (Scheme 2, Tables 3, 4, Equations 2-4), the nitro moiety can also undergo removal under eliminative or reductive conditions (see Table 5).

Table 4: The Nef Reaction

Conditions	Examples and Yields	References
1. Solvolytic		
i, $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH}$; ii, HClaq		39 % [59]
i, NaOH— $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$; ii, HClaq		55-65 % [60]
i, $\text{NaOCH}_3-\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$; ii, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$		78 % [61]
i, NaOH; ii, HCl; iii, NaNO_2-H^+		60-70 % [62, 63]
i, NaOCH_3 ; ii, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{aq}$		56 % [62, 64]
i, $\text{NaOH}-\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{THF}$; ii, H_2SO_4		45 % [65]
2. Reductive		
i, NaOCH_3 ; ii, $\text{TiCl}_3-\text{NH}_4\text{OCOCH}_3$		50-90 % [66]
$\text{TiCl}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}$		55 % [67]
i, $\text{VCl}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O-DMF}$; ii, NaOH		24-70 % [68]
$\text{CrCl}_2-\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$		50-75 % (as d. n. p.) [69]
ascorbic acid-HCl		25-35 % [70]







Conditions	Examples and Yields	References
3. Oxidative		
KMnO ₄ —MgSO ₄		75–95 % [71]
		[72]
NaMnO ₄ -borate buffer		70 % [73]
(NH ₄) ₂ S ₂ O ₈		20–50 % [70, 74]
O ₃		65–90 % [75, 76]
¹ O ₂		66 % [77]
Bu ⁴ OOH—VO(acac) ₂		62 % [78]
4. Neutral		
NaNO ₂ —C ₃ H ₇ ONO—DMSO		70–85 % [79, 84]
5. Solid-phase		
SiO ₂ -NaOMe		80–95 % [80, 81]
SiO ₂		35–65 % [70, 82]



C. Synthesis Design and Reactivity Umpolung

The previous two chapters have demonstrated that nitroaliphatic compounds occupy a crucial position in the interconversion of organic functional groups. However, for any class of compounds to have broad synthetic utility, it must also be amenable to C—C bond-


forming processes. For this purpose, nitroaliphatic compounds appear to be especially attractive, since they provide an umpolung of reactivity of amines and carbonyl derivatives (Scheme 4). Thus, electrophilic attack on a nitronate anion at carbon, and conversion of the product into an amine, alcohol or carbonyl compound by the processes already dealt with, dem-

Table 5: Nitrite as a Leaving Group

1,2 Elimination	Conditions and Yields	References
	DBN-DMSO-70°C or DBN-C6H6-25°C 70-90 %	[88]; see also Chapter G4
	NaBH4	[89]
	pH 8 buffer	[90]
	K2CO3-CH3OH	68 % [91]
	i, CN-; ii, (C2H5)3N	100 % [92]
	AgNO3	40-70 % [93]
	Cl-	40-70 % [94]
	KOC(CH3)3 or [(CH3)2CH]2NH	52 % [95]
		55-58 % [95]
	Ca(Hg)-HMPA	65-87 % [96]
	Na2S-DMF-hv	80-90 % [97]
Substitution		
	NaSCH3-DMSO-hv	92 % [98]

Substitution	Conditions and Yields	References
		55% [98]
	ArS- 80%	[99]
		71% [100]

Synthons with normal reactivity




Scheme 4: Reactivity Umpolung with Nitroaliphatic Compounds

Synthons with reactivity umpolung


onstrates that the nitronate ion is synthetically equivalent to the E^1 , and not the normal N^1 , synthons shown. Likewise, conjugate addition to a nitro-olefin and subsequent transformations illustrate umpolung of reactivity from E^2 to N^2 . Such considerations of reactivity umpolung have undoubtedly stimulated much of the recent interest in nitroaliphatic chemistry. The four most important C–C bond-forming reactions with any group of synthetic intermediates are alkylation, acylation, hydroxyalkylation, and conjugate additions. For nitro compounds, these are shown in Scheme 5; the interconnections with Scheme 4 are obvious. Each of these general reactions possesses inherent problems of varying severity, e. g., the reaction of nitronate anions with alkyl halides normally results in O- and not C-alkylation. In the following chapters, the reactions in Scheme 5 will be discussed in detail, with particular emphasis on methods of overcoming such inherent problems.

D. Reactions at the α -Carbon of Nitroalkanes

As we have recently found, the poor C-nucleophilicity of nitronate anions **5** can be dramatically improved by formation of the α,α -doubly deprotonated species **6**.




Scheme 5: Carbon-Carbon Bond-Forming Reactions



Solutions of the reagents **6** are obtained by rapid addition of two equivalents of n-butyl lithium to a solution of primary nitroalkane in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -78°C in the presence of at least two equivalents of hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA). While the acidity of nitroalkanes in dipolar aprotic solvents has been determined [102] to be in the range of 15 to $18 \mu\text{K}_a$ units (aqueous solution [103]: 7.5–10.5), equilibrium studies [83] indicate that the acidity of the remaining nitronate proton of **5** is in the same range as that of diisopropylamine. The relatively high acidity of the proton in **5** has been overlooked until now,

probably due to the plethora of products [1c,104] which can be isolated from reactions between nitro-alkanes and organometallic reagents (Scheme 6) at temperatures ranging from -40° to $+35^{\circ}\text{C}$. Our results constitute yet another example [105] of increasing selectivity with lowering of temperature.



Scheme 6: Reactions of Nitrocompounds with Organometallic Reagents

1. Alkylation

Apart from the radical anion chain processes forming highly substituted ethanes (Equation 5) as described by Kornblum [1f, 106] there are, to our knowledge, only two cases of C-alkylation of a simple nitronate





Table 6: C-Acylations of Nitroalkanes

anion, the intramolecular cyclisations [107] of Equation (6).

In complete contrast, the dilithio derivatives **6** are smoothly alkylated [108] to the nitroalkanes **7** by primary alkyl and benzyl bromides and iodides in yields of 50–75 %.




$R^1 = H, C_2H_5, n-C_5H_{11}, C_6H_5, C_6H_5S$
 $R^2 = C_2H_5, n-C_4H_9, n-C_5H_{11}, n-C_6H_{13}, C_6H_5CH_2$

Some other routes to higher nitroalkanes, most of which are highly specialised, include the electrolysis [109] of boranes in the presence of nitromethane, the palladium/phosphine catalysed reaction [110] between 1,3-butadiene and 2-nitropropane and the trapping of stabilised carbonium ions (tropylium [1 a], immonium [1 a, 29, 111]).

2. Acylation


As with attempted C-alkylation, most acylating agents attack nitronate anions at oxygen. Some exceptions are methoxy methyl magnesium carbonate (*Stiles'* reagent), aroyl cyanides, and acyl imidazoles (see Table 6). Again, the dilithio derivatives **6** are cleanly acylated [101] to give **8** in isolated yields of 55–80%.



$$\begin{aligned}
 R^1 &= H, CH_3, C_2H_5, (CH_3)_2CH, n-C_4H_9, n-C_5H_{11}, C_6H_5 \\
 R^2COX &= (CH_3CO)_2O, (C_2H_5CO)_2O, n-C_3H_7CO_2CH_3, \\
 &\quad (CH_3)_2CHCO_2CH_3, C_6H_5CO_2CH_3, CH_3OCOCl, \\
 &\quad (CH_3O)_2CO, (C_2H_5O)_2CO.
 \end{aligned}$$


Some of the products **8** have been further transformed by reduction to the α -amino acid **9**, α -hydroxylamino acid esters **10**, α -oximino ketones and esters **11**, or by *Nef* reaction to the α -diketone **12**, and the α -ketoester **13** [83].

Nitroalkane	Acylating Agent	Product and Range of Yields	References
RCH_2NO_2	$\text{CH}_3\text{OCO}_2\text{MgOCH}_3$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RCHNO}_2 \\ \\ \text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3 \end{array}$	[1a, 112]
$\text{RCH}=\text{NO}_2^- \text{M}^+$	$\text{R}'\text{COCN}$	$\text{R}'\text{COCH}(\text{R})\text{NO}_2$	[1a, 113]
$\text{CH}_2=\text{NO}_2^- \text{M}^+$	RCOIm	$\text{RCOCH}_2\text{NO}_2$	[114]
$\text{CH}_2=\text{NO}_2^- \text{M}^+$			[115]



3. Hydroxyalkylation



The addition of nitronates to aldehydes and ketones, the *Henry* [116] or nitro-aldol reaction, is a classical method for C–C bond formation. Due to the ease of its reversibility (Equation 7), it is normally carried out in the presence of only catalytic quantities of base [1a, 117], although in certain cases [118] stoichiometric amounts are used to precipitate the product.




A corollary of this reversibility is that yields of isolated nitroalcohols are only high in intramolecularly favoured cases, or with nitromethane and/or aldehydes as reaction partners. The product nitroalcohols can be dehydrated [22, 87] to nitro-olefins 15, which in turn are readily reduced [23–26] to nitroalkanes 16; this obviously constitutes another way of performing overall C-alkylation of a nitronate anion. Alternatively, base-induced elimination [89] of nitrous acid from suitable nitro-aldols leads to the allylic alcohols [95] 17; this process was exploited [95] in a recent synthesis of pyrenophorin.

The literature on the *Henry* reaction is vast [1a], and only a few selected recent improvements and applications, with emphasis on our own work, will be given here. Continuing our studies of the dianion 6, it has been found [83, 101, 139] that hitherto unavailable nitroalcohols can be readily prepared in yields of 50 to 80%. There is no problem with reversibility, even in the case with benzophenone as electrophile, because

in contrast to 14, 18 is stable, and can be protonated at low temperature to 19. Alternatively, silylation of 18 and subsequent acidification furnishes the silylated nitro-aldol 20; this can also be obtained by silylation of 19. In contrast to nitronates which add to enones exclusively in the 1,4-fashion, 6 furnishes the 1,2-adduct with cyclohexenone [83].



For the first time, a diastereoselectivity of nitro-aldol formation has been observed [83] in the production of 19 or of 20; thus, 18 ($R^1 = \text{C}_2\text{H}_5, R^2 = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5, R^3 = \text{H}$) on low temperature protonation gives 19 as a 9:1 enriched diastereoisomeric mixture, while quenching with *t*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride and subsequent acidification gives 20 as a 1:4 mixture of diastereoisomers (unambiguous assignment not yet completed). Another improvement of the nitro-aldol reaction recently accomplished in our laboratories makes use [36] of the silyl nitronates 4. Such species undergo fluoride ion catalysed reaction with aldehydes (but not with ketones) to give 21a when silyl nitronates from secondary nitroalkanes are employed, and 22 in those cases with primary nitroalkanes, in good yields in both cases.




The utility of such silylated nitro-aldols 19, 21b, 22 is demonstrated by their facile lithium aluminium hydride (LAH) reduction to 2-aminoalcohols 23; attempted direct LAH reduction of nitro-aldols such as 21a results in [36, 119] retro-aldol bond scission and subsequent reduction of the original components.


Examples of intramolecular aldol reactions will be found in chapter F, dealing with hetero/homo cyclisation reactions.

E. Conjugate Addition by α - and at β -Nitro Carbon Atoms


The *Michael* addition of nitroalkanes to α, β -unsaturated aldehydes, ketones, esters, and nitriles, as well as to vinyl sulphones and nitroolefins, is one of the most efficient C–C bond forming reactions (Equation 8) involving nitrocompounds; even nitro-aldols themselves can be added conjugatively to α, β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds under the influence of base [56b]. An exhaustive review is to be found in ref. [1a], pp 182–229, and applications will be discussed in chapter F.




R = H, alkyl, aryl, O-alkyl
RCO = CN, SO₂R, NO₂



The same is true of the complimentary addition of active methylene compounds to nitro-olefins (Equation 9), ref. [1a], pp 372–387; this reaction is most successful with well-stabilised anions, yields decreasing with increasing reactivity of the nucleophile, although alkyl groups can be added as dialkyl cuprates [120a] or as cadmium alkyls [120b]. Low temperature addition of alkyl lithium reagents to nitro-olefins, followed by trapping of the resulting nitronate with tetranitromethane provides a route [121] to 1,1-dinitroalkanes. One application of conjugate addition of a nitroalkane to an enone is seen in the use [95] of 3-nitropropanoic acid ester 24 as an acrylate ester β -anion equivalent; the 1,2-addition of 24 to aldehydes was alluded to earlier.




As shown by the work of *Severin* [70, 82, 122], ester and ketone enolates add smoothly to β -nitroenamines, to give the corresponding *aci*-nitro derivatives (Equation 10).




tion 10), which can be further converted into α, β -unsaturated 1,4-dicarbonyl compounds (see Table 4).


Enamines themselves react with a variety of nitroolefins, leading to γ -nitroketones [123] (Equation 11).




They also add to 2-nitroacrylate esters [89], ultimately giving α -methylene-butyrolactones (Equation 12).



Our own results indicate that employment of low temperatures can overcome many of the problems of the addition of highly reactive anions; for example, even n-butyl lithium can be successfully added to nitro-olefins if temperatures as low as -80° to -120°C are used [83, 84, 87, 124]. A further example of a highly efficient (93% yield) and stereoselective low temperature addition to an ω -nitrostyrene is seen in the formation of 25 [87, 125], exclusively as the *threo* (n) diastereoisomer.



A third application of low-temperature conditions is in the conjugate addition of 1,3-dicarbonyl dianions to nitro-olefins, forming 5-nitro-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 26; for cyclisation studies with 26, see Chapter F.




Both general conjugate addition processes (Equation 8 and 9) have recently been found to be catalysed by "naked" fluoride ion [100, 126, 127] use of chiral quaternary ammonium fluorides inducing [127] chirality in the product. Chiral induction can also be attained using DDB [83, 128] as co-solvent (Table 7).

F. Carbo- and Heterocyclisation using Nitroaliphatics

Application of the four reaction types so far discussed i. e., alkylation, acylation, hydroxalkylation, and conjugate additions, leads to a variety of cyclisation possibilities. Thus, while *Robinson* annelation involves 1,5-diketone intermediates, 1,4-diketones are required


Table 7: Enantioselective Addition of Organolithium, Organo-cuprate, and Organozincate Reagents to 1-Nitropropene (a) and α -Nitrostyrene (b)




R^1Li	Nitro-olefin	Specific Rotation (c = 5–10, C_6H_6) [% ee] of adduct	
$n-C_4H_9Li$, $(n-C_4H_9)_2CuLi$, or $(n-C_4H_9)_3ZnLi$	a	+ 0.82 [28]	
$n-C_4H_9Li$	b	+ 1.22	
	a	- 4.3 [43]	
	b	+ 0.43	
	a	+ 0.05	
	a	- 4.25	
	R = CH_3	a	- 0.4
	= $C(CH_3)_3$	a	+ 0.12 [6]
	= $N(CH_3)_2$	a	+ 0.64 [12]
	= $OC(CH_3)_3$	b	+ 1.25 [10]
		a	+ 0.10

readily be used in the conception of three of these, (a) [129], (b) [89], and (c) [66].


Some of the target structures accessible [84, 124] via nucleophilic addition to nitro-olefins are shown in Scheme 8. To amplify just one of the reactions shown, the nitroketonates 26 can be cyclised to highly functionalised six-membered rings 27a–f; the new bonds formed in the overall process are indicated by heavy lines, and the yields quoted are overall yields from polycarbonyl polyanions and nitro-olefins without isolation of intermediates. Furthermore, the single diastereoisomer formed in each case is easily isolated from the crude reaction product by trituration with dichloromethane.



Robinson Annelation (Cyclohexenone)




Cyclopentenone Annelation




Scheme 7: Ring Forming Reactions with Nitroaliphatic Compounds

for cyclopentenone annelation. Scheme 7 shows the four fundamental modes of construction of such species; each mode contains one synthon with reactivity umpolung, and nitroaliphatic chemistry can


An alternative access to compounds of type 26 is by low temperature acylation [130] of lithium enolates with 4-nitrobutanoyl chloride, followed by cyclisation [83] ($NaHCO_3/THF$) to give, e. g., 27g and h, and the further transformation products 28, 29 and 30; Equation (13) shows a further example [56] of the use of 4-nitrobutanoic acid derivatives.





Scheme 8: Addition and Cyclisation Possibilities with Nitro-olefins


A conceptually similar route using 3-nitropropanoyl chloride furnishes a general hydroxycyclopentenone annelation [90, 131] of ketones to 31. The application of this simple two-step reaction to syntheses of prostaglandins, rethronoloids and jasmonoids is obvious.



To summarise and further exemplify the usefulness of nitroaliphatic building blocks for ring formation, we have assembled Table 8.

G. Umpolung of Reactivity of Nitroaliphatic Compounds

Nitronate anions are usually considered to be carbon nucleophiles (32b).




From 32a, the nitronate ion should also be an electrophilic carbonyl analogue, although, apart from the *Nef* reaction, few examples of such behaviour are known {see first reaction of Scheme 6 and Equation (14) [1c]; cf. the *Butlerow/Fischer* Formose Reaction [136]}.


Table 8: Nitroaliphatics as Building Blocks for Ring Formation

Nitro-compound	Synthons Nitro-compound	Substrate	Product	Reference
CH_3NO_2	$-\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$ $\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[132]
CH_3NO_2	$-\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}^+=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}^+=\text{O}$ $\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}^+=\text{O}$		[65]
$\text{NO}_2\text{---R}$	$-\overset{\cdot}{\text{O}}=\text{R}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[66]
$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{S---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$-\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{R}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[100]
$\text{RO---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$-\overset{\cdot}{\text{O}}=\text{C}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[89]
$\text{Ar---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{Ar---C}=\text{H}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[133]
$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[62, 63]
$\text{O}_2\text{N---CH}_2\text{---CO}_2\text{R}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[91]
$\text{Cl---C}(=\text{O})\text{---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[62, 134]
---NO_2	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[90]
$\text{HO---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[129]
$\text{H}_2\text{N---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[56a, 83]
$\text{HO---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[56b]
$\text{H}_2\text{N---CH}_2\text{---NO}_2$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$	$\text{---}\overset{\cdot}{\text{C}}=\text{O}$		[135]

R = $-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{CO}_2\text{H}$
BIOTIN




Another unusual type of reactivity is observed in the manganese (III) induced coupling [137] of nitromethane with arenes (Equation 15); the reactive species may be the nitromethyl radical, although its failure to react with nitrobenzene is more suggestive of a radical cation.



Nitro-olefins are electrophilic (33b) at the β -carbon atom; is it also possible to reverse this reactivity?




A serendipitous discovery in our laboratories has produced an answer [88, 108, 138], to this problem. When we attempted to apply the α, α -double deprotonation ($\rightarrow 6$) technique to 2-arylnitroethanes, we observed that subsequent reaction with electrophiles led to 2-substituted- 2-arylnitroethanes. The strong base has obviously sequentially abstracted an α - and then a β -proton from the nitroalkane, to give 34a. The additional acidifying group Y in 34 may also be a vinyl or ester group, but the presence of such a group is not mandatory, as can be seen with 2-nitropropane, which, as it has only one α -proton, gives the α, β -dianion 35 on sequential treatment with n-butyl lithium and t-butyl lithium. We believe that the species actually formed is the dilithio derivative of an N,N-dihydroxyenamine 36 ("superenamine"); this species may also be considered as a reduced form of the nitro-olefin 33a, see Scheme 9. Formally, the highly electrophilic double bond of a



tory, as can be seen with 2-nitropropane, which, as it has only one α -proton, gives the α, β -dianion 35 on sequential treatment with n-butyl lithium and t-butyl lithium. We believe that the species actually formed is the dilithio derivative of an N,N-dihydroxyenamine 36 ("superenamine"); this species may also be considered as a reduced form of the nitro-olefin 33a, see Scheme 9. Formally, the highly electrophilic double bond of a


nitro-olefin has become a highly nucleophilic enamine double bond.



Scheme 9: Equivalence of Nitro-Olefin Two-Electron Reduction with Sequential Hydrogenation and Double Deprotonation to form "Superenamines"



1. α, β -Doubly Deprotonated 2-Nitropropane 35

The yields of C–C coupling products of the dilithio derivative [83, 138] of 35 are the lowest of this series. Benzylation, hydroxybenzylation, and benzoylation (see Formulae 37a, b and c) proceed in yields of 40, 46 and 30 %, respectively.




2. α, β -Doubly Deprotonated 2-Arylnitroethanes 34a

Nucleophiles of type 34a are accessible [138, 139] with a variety of aryl substituents, including chlorine and alkoxy-substituted cases; remarkably, solutions of such nucleophiles are stable for many hours at room temperature. They combine in good to excellent yields with alkyl halides ($\rightarrow 38$, 60–80%), aldehydes and ketone ($\rightarrow 39$, 70–90 %), and undergo conjugate addi-




Ar	R	Ar	R ¹	R ²
C ₆ H ₅	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃	C ₆ H ₅	C ₆ H ₅	H
1-naphthyl	n-C ₄ H ₉	C ₆ H ₅	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	H
3,4-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	n-C ₃ H ₇	C ₆ H ₅	CH ₃	CH ₃
4-ClC ₆ H ₄	n-C ₆ H ₁₃	C ₆ H ₅	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	
		1-naphthyl	3,4-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	H
		4-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	3,4-(OCH ₂ O)C ₆ H ₃	H
		4-ClC ₆ H ₄	C ₂ H ₅	H
		4-ClC ₆ H ₄	C ₆ H ₅	C ₆ H ₅
		C ₆ H ₅	C ₆ H ₅ CH=CH	H

tion to enones ($\rightarrow 40, 41$, 50–65 %) and to nitro-olefins ($\rightarrow 42$, 65, 85 %); some of these reactions can be performed titrimetrically, using the deep red colour of the dianions as indicator. Other electrophiles such as epoxides (too low reactivity), trimethylsilyl chloride, and acylating agents (attack on oxygen of **34a**?) either do not yield any products at all, or give polymeric materials [88, 139].




3. 1-Nitrobutadiene Dianion **34b**

4-Nitro-1-butene, when treated with two equivalents of n-butyl lithium in the presence of HMPA, is doubly deprotonated [140] to the dilithio derivative of a dianion **34b**, three further resonance forms of which are shown in **43**; deuterium quenching gives an approximately equal mixture of deuterated isomers (Equation 16, and see **43b** and c).




Alkylations of **34b** occur preferentially at the δ -position in yields of 55–75 %; the degree of such terminal preference is given in **44**.




Hydroxyalkylations, on the other hand, show little ambidoselectivity when carried out under conditions of kinetic control (low temperature quenching), giving **45a** and **b** in yields of 60–80 %. If however, the reaction mixtures are allowed to warm to room temperature, δ -preference is greatly enhanced; cyclohexanone and benzaldehyde both then give β/δ ratios of 1 : 9, with


no diminution in yield. This proves the reversibility [141] of the addition of **34b** to carbonyl groups, and further emphasises the unusual stability of this dianion (see the dienamine form **43a**).



With enones, we could isolate only products of conjugate addition from the δ -position, giving **46** in yields of 45–70 %. Additionally, the primary adduct from cyclohexenone could be trapped with iodomethane to give **47** (enolate trapping, see ref. in [105]).




Adducts of type **46** and **47** have been subjected to conditions of the *Nef* reaction ($\text{TiCl}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF}$, see Table 4) to give α, β -unsaturated aldehydes **48** and 1,7-ketoaldehydes **49** respectively; the dianion **34b** may therefore be considered as synthetically equivalent with the enolate **50** of crotonaldehyde, reacting at the δ -position (E^4 synthon).



4. Methyl-3-Nitropropanoate Dianion **34c**



Treatment of methyl-3-nitropropanoate **51** with two equivalents of lithiumdiisopropylamide in THF/HMPA (5 : 1) at -78°C generates a clear pale yellow solution of dilithio dianion [108] **34c**, with the nitronate-enolate resonance form **52a** probably contributing more to the overall hybrid than the enamine form **52b**. In common with the other α, β -doubly deprotonated compounds, this dianion combines with electrophiles at the carbon atom which underwent the later deprotonation (*Hausser's Rule* [142]). In other words, **34c** has the chemical behaviour of the inaccessible enolate of methyl 3-nitropropanoate, the nitro group being "protected" here as its nitronate anion [143]. Some products of alkylation ($\rightarrow 53$, 70–85 %), hydroxyalkylation ($\rightarrow 54$, 30–60 %), and *Michael* addition ($\rightarrow 55$, 35 %) are shown.



$R = \text{CH}_3, (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}, \text{CH}_2=\text{CHCH}_2, n-\text{C}_4\text{H}_9, n-\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}, n-\text{C}_8\text{H}_{17}, \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2$

$R^1/R^2 = \text{C}_2\text{H}_5/\text{H}, n-\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}/\text{H}, \text{C}_6\text{H}_5/\text{H}, 3,4-(\text{CH}_3\text{O})_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3/\text{H} \text{CH}_3/\text{CH}_3, -(\text{CH}_2)_5-$

Alkylation proceeds well, even with 2-iodopropane, to give products which can be induced to eliminate nitrous acid, yielding 2-substituted acrylate esters such as **56**; of the wide range of bases tested, only 1,4-diazabicyclo [4.3.0] non-4-ene (DBN) is successful, and, remarkably, α -allylated **53** does not undergo further conjugation, but rather is isolated as **57**. The yields in nine cases of this elimination range from 65–90%. Alkylation with vinyl ether-protected 1,2-halohydrins, acid-catalysed lactonisation, and nitrous acid elimination leads to the α -methylene- γ -lactones **58** in modest yields. This alkylation-elimination sequence shows that the dianion **34c** is, *inter alia*, synthetically equivalent with **59** [145]. In addition, the monoalkylated compounds **53** readily undergo a second double deprotonation and alkylation to give, for example, **60a** and **b**.




The primary adducts with carbonyl compounds are apparently formed reversibly. We conclude this from the observation that **54** can only be isolated in the quoted yields by low-temperature quenching; for example, quenching of the benzaldehyde adduct after five hours at -78°C gives the aldol product in 91% yield, whereas stirring at room temperature overnight

results in no observable reaction, the components being re-isolated unchanged. Additionally, benzophenone is unreactive under all conditions tried.

5. Synthetic Implications of α, β -Double Deprotonation in Nitroethane Derivatives


Inspection and comparison of Equations (17), (18), and (19) demonstrates that three entirely different structural types are available from precursors with the same carbon skeletons and functionality patterns but at different levels of oxidation. Acetone combines as an electrophile with the nitronate anion to give a 1,2-nitroalcohol, and with the dianion derivative to give an isomeric 1,3-nitroalcohol, while as its nucleophilic enolate it adds to a nitro-olefin to give a 4-nitroketone. The reactions of **34b** in Chapter G4 illustrate the vinylogous extension of this principle. In (20), we see that the novel dianion reagents **34** are nitro-olefins with reactivity *umpolung*, having undergone a shift of the familiar attack of electrophiles at the 1-position to the 2-position of the nitroethyl moiety.



H. Conclusions and Future Trends

The ready access to silyl nitronates and to α, α - and α, β -doubly deprotonated nitroalkanes described here should considerably increase the synthetic value of aliphatic nitrocompounds. The recent improvements in conditions for conjugate addition to nitro-olefins, and for non-connective modes of preparation and functional group interconversions with nitroalkanes, will enhance such utility even further. This present survey has attempted to demonstrate that aliphatic nitrocompounds are unique in that they appear to be amenable to both nucleophilic and electrophilic attack

at each contiguous carbon atom of their skeleton, E^1/N^3 attack is under active investigation in our laboratories.



Recalling the functional group equivalence of the nitro group with hydroxyl, amino, imino, carbonyl, and nitrile, and the resulting possibilities of reactivity umpolung (Scheme 4), the question provocatively posed in the title may well receive an affirmative answer in the near future.

Acknowledgements:

We gratefully acknowledge financial support of the work done at Giessen by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. We thank Fr. R. Pfister for her most competent help in preparing the manuscript. E. W. Colvin wishes to express his appreciation to the CIBA-GEIGY Fellowship Trust for the award of a Senior Fellowship, and to the University of Glasgow for leave of absence.

Literature

- 1 a *O. v. Schickh, G. Apel, H. G. Paddeken, H. H. Schwarz and A. Segnitz*: in Houben-Weyl-Müller: Methoden der organischen Chemie, Vol. X/1, Thieme, Stuttgart 1971.
- 1 b *G. Baumeier*: in Methodicum Chimicum, Vol. 6 (C—N-Verbindungen), Thieme, Stuttgart, 1974; Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1976.
- 1 c *P.A.S. Smith*, "The Chemistry of Open-Chain Organic Nitrogen Compounds", Vol II, W.A. Benjamin, Inc. New York 1966.
- 1 d *H. Feuer* in *S. Patai*: The Chemistry of the Nitro- and Nitroso-Groups, Parts 1 and 2, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1969/70.
- 1 e *T. Urbanski*: Synthesis 1974, 613.
- 1 f *N. Kornblum*, Angew. Chem. 87 (1975) 797; Internat. Edn. p. 734; Organic Reactions, 12 (1962) 101.
- 1 g *R. Gottschlich*: Merck-Kontakte 2/76/9.
- 2 *F. R. Stermitz, F.A. Norris and M. C. Williams*: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91 (1969) 4599.
- 3 *J. Vrkoč and K. Ubik*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 1463.
- 4 *S. B. Soloway, A. C. Henry, W. D. Kollmeyer, W. M. Padgett, J. E. Powell, S. A. Roman, C. H. Tieman, R. A. Corey, and C. A. Horne*: Abstracts of Fourth International Congress of Pesticide Chemistry, (IUPAC), Zürich, 1978, p. II 4.
- 5 *J. W. Zubrick, B. I. Dunbar and H. D. Durst*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 71.
- 6 *G. Gelbard and S. Colonna*: Synthesis 1977, 113.
- 7 *K. Baum, D. A. Lerdal and J. S. Horn*: J. Org. Chem. 43 (1978) 203.
- 8 *H. Feuer*: "The Alkyl-Nitrate-Nitration of Active Methylenic Compounds", A.C.S. Symposium Series, No. 22, Washington, D.C., 1976, p. 160;
A. I. Fetell and H. Feuer: J. Org. Chem. 43 (1978) 497;
H. Feuer and L.F. Spinicelli: J. Org. Chem. 41 (1976) 2981;
H. Feuer and H. Friedman: J. Org. Chem. 40 (1975) 187;
H. Feuer and R. P. Monter: J. Org. Chem. 34 (1969) 991.
- 9 *M. E. Sitzmann, L. A. Kaplan and I. Angres*: J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 563.
- 10 *I. Sh. Shvarts, V. V. Yarovenko, M. K. Krayushkin, S. S. Novikov and V. V. Sevost'yanova*: Izv. Acad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1976, 1674.
- 11 *P. E. Pfeffer and L.S. Silbert*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 699.
- 12 *G. B. Bachmann and T. F. Biermann*: J. Org. Chem. 35 (1970) 4229.
- 13 *E. Keinan and Y. Mazur*: J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 844.
- 14 *K. A. Petrov, V. A. Chauzov, I. V. Pastukhova and N. N. Bogdanov*: Zh. Obshch. Khim. 46 (1976) 1246.
- 15 *C. H. Robinson, L. Milewich and P. Hofer*: J. Org. Chem. 31 (1966) 524.
- 16 *M. W. Barnes and J. M. Patterson*: J. Org. Chem. 41 (1976) 733.
- 17 *V. Jäger and H. J. Günther*: Angew. Chem. 89 (1977) 253; Internat. Edn. p. 246.
- 18 *G. A. Olah and M. Nojima*: Synthesis 1973, 785.
- 19 *J. Tuailon and R. Perrot*: Helv. Chim. Acta 61 (1978) 558.
- 20 *J. Pfab*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1977, 766.
- 21 *S. G. Zlotin, M. M. Krayushkin, V. V. Sevost'yanova and S. S. Novikov*: Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1977, 2286, 2362.
- 22 *J. Melton and J. E. McMurry*: J. Org. Chem. 40 (1975) 2138.
- 23 *J. M. Larkin and K. L. Kreuz*: J. Org. Chem. 36 (1971) 2574.
- 24 *G. B. Bachman and R. J. Maleski*: J. Org. Chem. 37 (1972) 2810;
R. H. Wollenberg and S. J. Miller: Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3219.
- 25 *R. O. Hutchins, D. Rotstein, N. Natale and J. Fanelli*: J. Org. Chem. 41 (1976) 3328.
- 26 *W. Lehnert*: Synthesis 1976, 827;
A. I. Meyers and J. C. Sircar: J. Org. Chem. 32 (1967) 4134.
- 27 *H. J. Dauben, Jr., H. J. Ringold, R. H. Wade and A. G. Anderson, Jr.*: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 73 (1951) 2359;
H. J. Dauben, Jr., H. J. Ringold, R. H. Wade, D. L. Pearson and A. G. Anderson, Jr.: Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. IV (1963) 221;
R. K. Hill, J. A. Joule and L. J. Loeffler: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84 (1962) 4951;
J. F. Knifton: J. Org. Chem. 40 (1975) 519;
C. Grundmann and W. Ruske: Chem. Ber. 86 (1953) 939;
M. Joseph, T. Leigh and M. L. Swain: Synthesis 1977, 459;
R. J. Sundberg and P. A. Bukowick: J. Org. Chem. 33 (1968) 4098;
E. Kaji, H. Kohno and S. Zen: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 50 (1977) 928;
- 28 *S. Zen and E. Kaji*: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 43 (1970) 2277;
E. Kaji and S. Zen: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 46 (1973) 337;
S. Zen, Y. Takeda, A. Yasuda and S. Umezawa: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 40 (1967) 431;
S. Zen and A. Nishikai: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 42 (1969) 1761.
- 29 *E. McDonald and R. T. Martin*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 1317;
E. J. Corey, N. H. Andersen, R. M. Carlson, J. Paust, E. Vedejs, I. Vlattas and R. E. K. Winter: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90 (1968) 3245;
E. J. Corey, I. Vlattas, N. H. Andersen and K. Harding: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90 (1968) 3247, 5947;
W. Hückel and M. Blohm: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 502 (1933) 114;
C. Shin, Y. Kosuge, M. Yamaura and J. Yoshimura: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 51 (1978) 1137.
- 30 *J. R. Butterick and A. M. Unrau*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1974, 307.
- 31 *Y. Maki, H. Sugiyama, K. Kikuchi and S. Seto*: Chem. Lett. 1975, 1093.
- 32 *Y. Watanabe, T. Mitsudo, M. Yamashita and Y. Takegami*: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 48 (1975) 1478.
- 33 *J. E. Baldwin, S. B. Haber, C. Hoskins and L. I. Kruse*: J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 1239.
- 34 *N. Kornblum and L. Fishbein*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77 (1955) 6266.
- 35 *F. F. Blicke, N. J. Doorenbos and R. H. Cox*: J. Am. Chem.

- Soc. 74 (1952) 2924;
W. C. Gakenheimer and W. H. Hartung: J. Org. Chem. 9 (1944) 85.
- 36 *E. W. Colvin and D. Seebach*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1978, 689.
- 37 *K. Torsell and O. Zeuthen*: Acta Chem. Scand.: B32 (1978) 118.
- 38 *M. V. Kashutina, S. L. Ioffe and V. A. Tartakovskii*: Doklady Acad. Nauk. SSSR 218 (1974) 109.
- 39 *J. F. Knifton*: J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 3296.
- 40 *J. R. Hanson*: Synthesis 1974, 1;
J. R. Hanson and T. D. Organ: J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1970, 1182;
J. R. Hanson and E. Premuzic: Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 5441;
J. R. Hanson and E. Premuzic: Tetrahedron 23 (1967) 4105;
A. Hassner and C. Heathcock: J. Org. Chem. 29 (1964) 1350.
- 41 *H. H. Baer and W. Rank*: Canad. J. Chem. 50 (1972) 1292.
- 42 *P. A. Wehrli and B. Schaer*: Synthesis 1977, 649.
- 43 *Y. Komeichi, S. Tomioka, T. Iwasaki and K. Watanabe*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 4677.
- 44 *O. L. Chapman, A. A. Griswold, E. Hoganson, G. Lenz and J. Reasoner*: Pure Appl. Chem. 9 (1964) 585;
J. Saito, M. Takami and T. Matsuura: Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3155.
- 45 *P. J. Moles and S. T. Reid*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 2283.
- 46 *C. Shin, H. Narukawa, M. Yamaura and J. Yoshimura*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 2147.
- 47 *T. D. Lee and J. F. W. Keana*: J. Org. Chem. 41 (1976) 3237;
J. B. Neilands and P. Azari: Acta Chem. Scand. 17 Suppl. I (1963) 190;
S. Rogers and J. B. Neilands: Biochemistry 2 (1963) 6.
- 48 *A. Hassner and J. Larkin*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 2181;
H. O. Larson and E. K. W. Wat: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 827;
F. R. Stermitz and D. W. Neiswander: Tetrahedron 31 (1975) 655;
S. T. Reid and J. N. Tucker: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1971, 1609.
- 49 *E. Buehler and G. B. Brown*: J. Org. Chem. 32 (1967) 265.
- 50 *A. Hassner and D. R. Fitchmun*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 1991.
- 51 *R. F. C. Brown, V. M. Clark and A. Todd*: Proc. Chem. Soc., London 1957, 97;
J. J. Tufariello, J. J. Tegler, S. C. Wong and S. A. Ali: Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1733 [synthesis of (\pm)-cocaine].
- 52 *M. Yamashita, K. Mizushima, Y. Watanabe, T. Mitsudo and Y. Takegami*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1976, 670.
- 53 *M. Yamashita, Y. Watanabe, T. Mitsudo and Y. Takegami*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1585.
- 54 *T. Mukaiyama and T. Hoshino*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82 (1960) 5339;
A. Barco, S. Benetti, G. P. Pollini, B. Veronesi, P. G. Baraldi, M. Guarneri and C. B. Vincentini: Synth. Commun. 8 (1978) 219.
- 55a *P. A. Wehrli and B. Schaer*: J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 3956;
 b *J. M. Lalancette, A. Frêche, J. R. Brindle and M. Laliberté*: Synthesis 1972, 526.
- 56a *H. Bhagwatheeswaran, S. P. Gaur and P. C. Jain*: Synthesis 1976, 615;
 b *E. Kaji, H. Kohno and S. Zen*: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 50 (1977) 928.
- 57 *H. B. Hass and M. L. Bender*: Org. Syntheses, Coll. Vol. IV, 1963, p. 932.
- 58 *W. E. Noland*: Chem. Rev. 55 (1955) 137.
- 59 *M. Langrené*: C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C 284 (1977) 153.
- 60 *D. St. C. Black*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 1331.
- 61 *R. M. Jacobsen*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 3215.
- 62 *A. Mitra*: The Synthesis of Prostaglandins, Wiley Interscience, New York 1977.
- 63 *S. Ranganathan, D. Ranganathan and A. K. Mehrotra*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96 (1974) 5261.
- 64 *J. Bagli and T. Bogri*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 3815.
- 65 *P. Dubs and R. Stüssi*: Helv. Chim. Acta 61 (1978) 990; for the use of barium hydroxide as base, see *I. Benzing and M. B. Perry*: Canad. J. Chem. 56 (1978) 691.
- 66 *J. E. McMurry*: Acc. Chem. Res. 7 (1974) 281;
- 67 *J. E. McMurry and J. Melton*: J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 4367.
- 68 *R. Kirchhoff*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 2533.
- 69 *Y. Atika, M. Inba, H. Uchida and A. Ohta*: Synthesis 1977, 792.
- 70 *Th. Severin and D. König*: Chem. Ber. 107 (1974) 1499 and ref. therein.
- 71 *H. Shechter and F. T. Williams*: J. Org. Chem. 27 (1962) 3699.
- 72 *F. S. Alvarez and D. Wren*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 569.
- 73 *F. Kienzle, G. W. Holland, J. L. Jernow, S. Kwoh and P. Rosen*: J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 3440.
- 74 *A. H. Pagano and H. Shechter*: J. Org. Chem. 35 (1970) 295.
- 75 *J. E. McMurry, J. Melton and H. Padgett*: J. Org. Chem. 39 (1974) 259.
- 76 *P. Dubs and H.-P. Schenk*: Helv. Chim. Acta 61 (1978) 984.
- 77 *J. R. Williams, L. R. Unger and R. H. Moore*: J. Org. Chem. 43 (1978) 1271.
- 78 *P. A. Bartlett, F. R. Green III and T. R. Webb*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 331.
- 79 *N. Kornblum and P. A. Wade*: J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 1418.
- 80 *E. Keinan and Y. Mazur*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 3861.
- 81 *J. L. Hogg, T. E. Goodwin and D. W. Nave*: Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 10 (1978) 9.
- 82 *H. Lerche, D. König and Th. Severin*: Chem. Ber. 107 (1974) 1509.
- 83 Unpublished observations in our laboratories at the ETH and in Giessen: *A. K. Beck, E. W. Colvin, V. Ehrig, J. Gonnermann, R. Henning, M. S. Hoekstra, F. Lehr, G. Protschuk and T. Weller*.
- 84 *D. Seebach, V. Ehrig, H. F. Leitz and R. Henning*: Chem. Ber. 108 (1975) 1946.
- 85 *H. Newmann and R. B. Angier*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1969, 369;
- S. Kumazawa, T. Sakakibara, R. Sudoh and T. Nakagawa*: Angew. Chem. 85 (1973) 992; Internat. Edn. p. 921.
- N. A. Sokolov, I. G. Tishchenko and N. V. Kovganko*: Zh. Org. Khim. 14 (1978) 517;
 see also *H. H. Baer and C. B. Madumelu*: Canad. J. Chem. 56 (1978) 1177.
- 86 *L. G. Donaruma and M. L. Huber*: J. Org. Chem. 21 (1956) 965.
- 87 *D. Seebach, H. F. Leitz and V. Ehrig*: Chem. Ber. 108 (1975) 1924.
- 88 Dissertation *R. Henning*, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, 1978.
- 89 *J. W. Patterson and J. E. McMurry*: J.C.S. Chem. Commun. 1971, 488.
- 90 *D. Seebach, M. S. Hoekstra and G. Protschuk*: Angew. Chem. 89 (1977) 334; Internat. Edn. p. 321.
- 91 *S. Danishesky, M. P. Prisbylla and S. Hiner*: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 2918.
- 92 *H. Paulsen and W. Greve*: Chem. Ber. 107 (1974) 3013.
 cf. a similar process with trialkyl phosphites, *W. E. Krueger, M. B. McLean, A. Rizwaniuk, J. R. Maloney, G. L. Behelfer and B. E. Boland*: J. Org. Chem. 43 (1978) 2877 see also.
- 93 *Th. Severin, I. Bräutigam and K. H. Bräutigam*: Chem. Ber. 110 (1977) 1669.
- 94 *N. Ono, R. Tamura, J. Hayami and A. Kaji*: Chem. Letters 1977, 189.
- 95 *P. Bakuzis, M. L. F. Bakuzis and T. F. Weingartner*: Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2371.

- 96 N. Kornblum and L. Cheng: *J. Org. Chem.* 42 (1977) 2944.
 97 N. Kornblum, S. D. Boyd, H. W. Pinnik and R. G. Smith: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 93 (1971) 4316.
 98 N. Kornblum, S. C. Carlson and R. G. Smith: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 100 (1978) 289.
 99 M. Benn and A. C. M. Meesters: *J.C.S. Chem. Commun.* 1977, 597.
 100 M. Miyashita, T. Kumazawa and A. Yoshikoshi: *J.C.S. Chem. Commun.* 1978, 362.
 101 D. Seebach and F. Lehr: *Angew. Chem.* 88 (1976) 540; *Internat. Edn.* p. 505.
 102 H. F. Ebel: «Die Acidität der C—H-Säuren», Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1969;
F. G. Bordwell, N. R. Vanier, W. S. Matthews, J. B. Hendrickson and P. L. Skipper: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 7160.
 103 A. J. Kresge: *Can. J. Chem.* 52 (1974) 1897 and ref. therein.
 104 A. K. Hoffmann, A. M. Feldman and E. Gelblum: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 86 (1964) 646;
S. Wawzonek and J. V. Kempf: J. Org. Chem. 38 (1973) 2763.
 105 D. Seebach and K.-H. Geiss: *J. Organomet. Chem. Library I* (1976) 1.
 106 B. L. Burt, D. J. Freeman, P. G. Gray, R. K. Norris and D. Randles: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 3063;
D. J. Girdler and R. K. Norris: ibid. 1975, 2375.
 107a S. J. Etheredge: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1965, 4527;
 b S. Gabriel: *Chem. Ber.* 36 (1903) 570.
 108 D. Seebach, R. Henning, F. Lehr and J. Gonnermann: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 1161.
 109 Y. Takahashi, M. Tokuda, M. Itoh and A. Suzuki: *Synthesis* 1976, 616.
 110 T. Mitsuyasu and J. Tsuji: *Tetrahedron* 30 (1974) 831.
 111 J. Mathieu and J. Weill-Raynal: "Formation of C—C Bonds", Vol. II, S. 149–151, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1973.
 112 H. L. Finkbeiner and G. W. Wagner: *J. Org. Chem.* 28 (1963) 215;
M. Stiles and H. L. Finkbeiner: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81 (1959) 505.
 113 For preparation of RCOCN, see E. C. Taylor, J. G. Andrade, K. C. John and A. McKillop: *J. Org. Chem.* 43 (1978) 2280;
F. Pochat: Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3813;
K. E. Koenig and W. P. Weber: Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 2275;
M. E. Childs and W. P. Weber: J. Org. Chem. 41 (1976) 3486;
J. F. Normant and C. Piechucki: Bull. Soc. Chim. France 1972, 2402.
 114 D. C. Baker and S. R. Putt: *Synthesis* 1978, 478.
 115 J. Gosteli: *Helv. Chim. Acta* 60 (1977) 1980;
 see also ref. 1a, p. 166.
 116 L. Henry: *Compt. Rend.* 120 (1895) 1265.
 117 For use of fluoride ion as base, see G. Hesse and V. Jäger: *Annalen* 740 (1970) 79, 85.
 118 H. J. Dauben, Jr., H. J. Ringold, R. H. Wade, D. L. Pearson and A. G. Anderson, Jr.: *Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. IV*, 1963, 221; *W. E. Noland: ibid. Coll. Vol. V*, 1973, 833.
 119 A. Dornow and M. Gellrich: *Annalen* 594 (1955) 177.
 120a S. B. Bowlus: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975, 3591;
 b J. Michel and E. Henry-Basch: *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* 262 (1966) 1274.
 121 C. D. Bedford and A. T. Nielsen: *J. Org. Chem.* 43 (1978) 2460.
 122 Th. Severin, I. Bräutigam and K.-H. Bräutigam: *Chem. Ber.* 109 (1976) 2897;
Th. Severin, P. Adhikary and I. Bräutigam: ibid. p. 1179;
 see also G. Büchi and C.-P. Mak: *J. Org. Chem.* 42 (1977) 1784.
 123 G. Pitacco, R. Toso, E. Valentini and A. Risaliti: *Tetrahedron* 32 (1976) 1757 and ref. therein;
G. Pitacco and E. Valentini: Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2339.
 124 V. Ehrlig and D. Seebach: *Chem. Ber.* 108 (1975) 1961.
 125 F. P. Colonna, E. Valentini, G. Pitacco and A. Risaliti: *Tetrahedron* 29 (1973) 3011;
H. Feuer, A. Hirschfeld and E. D. Bergmann: ibid. 24 (1968) 1187.
 126 T. Yanami, M. Kato and A. Yoshikoshi: *J.C.S. Chem. Commun.* 1975, 726;
T. Yanami, M. Kato, M. Miyashita, A. Yoshikoshi, Y. Itagaki and K. Matsuura: J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 2779;
S. Hoz, M. Albeck and Z. Rapoport: Synthesis 1975, 162;
I. Belsky: J. C. S. Chem. Commun. 1977, 237;
F. G. Cowherd, M.-C. Doria, E. Galeazzi and J. M. Muchowski: Canad. J. Chem. 55 (1977) 2919;
 for use of phosphine catalysts, see D. A. White and M. M. Baizer: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1973, 3597.
 127 S. Colonna, H. Hiemstra and H. Wynberg: *J.C.S. Chem. Commun.* 1978, 238.
 128 D. Seebach, H.-O. Kalinowski, B. Bastani, G. Crass, H. Daum, H. Dörr, N. P. DuPreez, V. Ehrlig, W. Langer, C. Nüssler, H.-A. Oei and M. Schmidt: *Helv. Chim. Acta* 60 (1977) 301.
 129 M. Miyashita, T. Yanami and A. Yoshikoshi: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 98 (1976) 4679;
 see also P. A. Grieco and Y. Ohfune: *J. Org. Chem.* 43 (1978) 2720.
 130 A. K. Beck, M. S. Hoekstra and D. Seebach: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 1187.
 131 X-ray structure determination of 31b derived from cycloheptanone shows that the two rings are *cis*-fused: R. E. Davis, unpublished results (University of Texas at Austin).
 132 G. A. McAlpine, R. A. Raphael, A. Shaw, A. W. Taylor and H. J. Wild: *J. C. S. Chem. Commun.* 1974, 834;
J. C. S. Perkin I 1976, 410.
 133 R. V. Stevens, in "The Total Synthesis of Natural Products", ed. J. ApSimon, Vol. 3, p. 439 ff., Wiley-Interscience, 1977;
G. Pitacco, A. Risaliti, M. L. Trevisan and E. Valentini: Tetrahedron 33 (1977) 3145.
 134 E. J. Corey, I. Vlattas and K. Harding: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 91 (1969) 535.
 135 M. Marx, F. Marti, J. Reisdorff, R. Sandmeier and S. Clark: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 99 (1977) 6754.
 136 J. Lehmann, «Chemie der Kohlenhydrate», pp 75–77, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1976.
 137 M. E. Kurz and R. T. Y. Chen: *J. C. S. Chem. Commun.* 1976, 968;
 see also J.-P. Bégué, C. Pardo and J. Sansoulet: *J. Chem. Res. (S)* 1978, 52.
 138 R. Henning, F. Lehr and D. Seebach: *Helv. Chim. Acta* 59 (1976) 2213.
 139 D. Seebach, R. Henning and J. Gonnermann: *Chem. Ber.* in press.
 140 D. Seebach, R. Henning and F. Lehr: *Angew. Chem.* 90 (1978) 479.
 141 See also B. Renger and D. Seebach: *Chem. Ber.* 110 (1977) 2334.
 142 E. M. Kaiser, J. D. Petty and P. L. A. Knutson: *Synthesis* 1977, 509.
 143 D. H. R. Barton, R. H. Hesse, C. Wilshire and M. M. Pechet: *J. C. S. Perkin I* 1977, 1075.
 144 H. Oediger, F. Möller and K. Eiter: *Synthesis* 1972, 591.
 145 For direct generation and use, see J. P. Marino and D. M. Floyd: *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975, 3897.