

Improved Cassava-maize Intercropping for Sustainable Cassava Production In Nigeria

<u>Charles Chigemezu^{1,3}, Magda Necpalova¹ Kreye Christine², Pieter Pypers², Abdulai Jalloh², Adeyemi Olojede³, Anthony Ano³, and Johan Six¹</u> ¹Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Switzerland, ²International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Nigeria, Kenya and ³National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria. Charles.nwokoro@usys.ethz.ch



BACKGROUND:

Intercropping is the growing of two or more crops on the same piece of land such that the period of overlap is long enough to include their vegetative stages (Gomez, 1984). It is a means of maximizing resources and increasing cropping system productivity (Olasantan, 1996). Intercropping has been therefore proposed to increase an agricultural intensification and meet increasing global food demand while addressing soil quality issues (Midmore, 1993). It is a common practice in tropical parts of the world with longer growing seasons, where small holder farmers allocate about 0.2 - 0.3 ha (30 - 45% of their farm area) to cassava base intercropping (Pypers et al., 2012). As much as 15 to 20% of the world's food supply comes from these systems that reduce risk in agriculture and increase food security (Harms, 2015). Cassava-maize intercrop is the most popular in Nigeria (Okigbo, 1978). Yet, the cassava yield in Nigeria is low in this system (Olasantan, 1997), with an average yield of less than 12 t/ha in farmers fields despite its potential yield of over 80 t/ha. Hence, there is a substantial potential for agronomic improvements of the cassava-maize intercropping system.

Hypotheses



Objectives:



To unravel the effect of split application of N and K mineral fertiliser on cassava performance.



To determine the effect of higher cassava and maize densities on light interception and crop micro climate.



To investigate the interactive effect of cassava genotype and environment on cassava and maize yield.

METHODOLOGY

I Field trials (randomized complete block design replicated four times) with 9 treatments (Table 1) will be established in farmers fields in 2017 and repeated in

2018 in the guinea savannah and tropical rainforest agroecological zones of Nigeria (Fig. 1).

Factors:

Mineral nutrient fertilizers rates: 0, 90:20:40, and 75:20:90 N:P:K,

Sahel

(300-700mm)

(700-1100mm)

Sudan Savannah

Guinea Savannah

(1100-1500mm)

Tropical

Rain Forest

1500-1900mm

Swamp Forest

(>1900mm)

- Cassava genotypes: erect and branching,
- Cassava densities: 10,000 and 12,500 plants/hectare,
- Maize densities: 20,000 and 40,000 plants/hectare.

I F1 and F2 represent split applications of N and K mineral nutrient fertilizers up to 6 weeks before and 10 weeks after maze maturity (Table 1).



Factors/Treatments	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	Т ₆	T ₇	T ₈	T ₉
Cassava density (plants/ha)	10,000	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	None
Maize density (plants/ha)	20,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	None	None	40,000
Fert. Rate (kg/ha) (F_1 and F_2)	None	None	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₂	F ₂	F ₁
Cassava genotype	G ₁	G ₁	G ₁	G ₁	G ₂	G,	G ₁	G ₂	None

Fig. 1: Agroecologies of Nigeria

$(G_1 \text{ and } G_2)$

Table 1: Factors and treatments. $F_1 = 90:20:40 \text{ N}:P:K$; $F_2 = 75:20:90 \text{ N}:P:K$; $G_1 = TME 419$ (erect cassava genotype); $G_2 = TMS 30572$ (branching cassava genotype). Maize variety = SAMMAZ 35 (early maturity/yellow grain).

Expected outcome:

Location specific agronomic recommendations for increased and sustainable cassava and maize

production in cassava-maize intercropping system in Nigeria.

References:

1. Olasantan, F.O., Ezumah, H.C. and Lucas, E.O (1997). Response of cassava and maize to fertilizer application, and a comparison of the factors affecting their growth during intercropping. J. of Nut. Cyc. in Agroecosys. 46: 215 – 223.

2. Olasantan, F.O., Ezumah, H.C., and Lucas, E.O. (1996). Effect of intercropping with maize on the micro-environment, growth and yield of cassava. Agric. Ecosy. Evt. (57): 149 – 158.

3. Midmore, D. (1993). Agronomic modification of resource use and intercrop productivity. Field Crops Research, 34(3-4), 357-380. doi:10.1016/0378-4290(93)90122-4.

4. Gomez, A.R. and Gomez, K.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd ed., John Willey and Sons, New York.

