EHzürich

The economics of pesticide use (Phd outline)

Niklas Möhring¹, Robert Finger¹

¹Agricultural Economics and Policy Group, ETH Zurich



Introduction

2

- Pesticides are an **important input** for agricultural production.
- But pesticide use has **adverse effects** on the environment and human health.
- A better understanding of **application behavior** will help to:
 - re-assess and newly design pesticide policies^[1]
 - make food production more sustainable

Background & Research Topics

- Pesticide applications are highly heterogeneous (see Figure 1).
- Strong subjective component (i.e. through risk attitudes^[2]) in decisions^[3].
- Non-profit-maximizing pesticide use often observed^[4].
- > **Decision making process** has to be better understood.



Research Topics:

- 1) Patterns in pesticide application and their determinants.
 - Pesticides in the production process – linkage to expectations/ risk perception and attitudes.
- Innovative tools to reduce externalities from pestcide use.



Detecting patterns of pesticide use

Analyzing **spatial** (see Figure 2) and **temporal patterns** of pesticide use (with distance/similarity measures^[5], sequence analysis^[6])



- Identifying groups of farmers with similar application behavior
- Revealing socioeconomic determinants
- Adapting policies

Fig. 2. Dissimilarity (euclidean distance) between pesticide types applied on potato plots per year. Each line indicates a single farmer; the red bar median dissimilarity per farmer (over all years); the points indicate dissimilarity in single years.

4 Explaining production decisions

Exploring the role of pesticides for production levels / risk:

- The influence of pesticides on income risks.
- The interlinkage of pesticides and other inputs (i.e. fertilizer,



Fig. 1. Distributions of treatment frequency index for pesticide applications of a sample of 300 Swiss farmers from 2009-2013. Aggregated means per year, farmer and culture. Blue line depicts median of distribution.

References

[1] Böcker, T., & Finger, R. (2016). European Pesticide Tax Schemes in Comparison: An Analysis of Experiences and Developments. Sustainability, 8(4), 378.

 [2] Horowitz, J. K., & Lichtenberg, E. (1994). Risk-reducing and risk-increasing effects of pesticides. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 45(1), 82–89.
[3] Andert, S., Bürger, J., & Gerowitt, B. (2015). On-farm pesticide use in four Northern German regions as influenced by farm and production conditions. Crop Protection, 75, 1–10.

[4] Skevas, T., Stefanou, S. E. & Oude Lansink, A. (2014). Pesticide use, environmental spillovers and efficiency: A DEA risk-adjusted efficiency approach applied to Dutch arable farming. European Journal of Operational Research 237, 658-664.

[5] Anderson, M. J., Ellingsen, K. E., & McArdle, B. H. (2006). Multivariate dispersion as a measure of beta diversity. Ecology letters, 9(6), 683-693. Cornwell, B. (2015). Social sequence analysis: Methods and applications (Vol. 37). Cambridge University Press.

[6] Norton, M., van Sprundel, G. J., Turvey, C. G., & Meuwissen, M. P. (2016). Applying weather index insurance to agricultural pest and disease risks. International Journal of Pest Management, 1-10.

[7] Sexton, S. E., Lei, Z., & Zilberman, D. (2007). The economics of pesticides and pest control. International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics, 1(3), 271-326.

[8] Schneider, G., Krauss, J., Riedinger, V., Holzschuh, A., & Steffan-Dewenter, I. (2015). Biological pest control and yields depend on spatial and temporal crop cover dynamics. Journal of Applied Ecology, 52(5), 1283-1292.

irrigation).

The role of management (i.e. crop rotation, mechanical/biological pest control, timing).



Innovative tools to reduce pesticide use

Developing **innovative tools** and policies to **reduce externalities** from pesticide use:

- Insurance based solutions^[7] (i.e. using Index based insurances)
- Spatially explicit policies^[8] (i.e. using Geo-Information-Systems)
- Incentives for local cooperation (i.e.for rape seed production^[9])