

```

=====
Project Code: ETHZ0002
Project Name: Climate Change Experiment
Prepared for: Prof. Thomas Bernauer, ETH Zürich
Interviews: 1500
Field Period: October 16 – 21, 2013
Project Manager: Padi Joseph – 650.319.0708
=====

```

YouGov interviewed 1559 respondents who were then matched down to a sample of 1500 to produce the final dataset. The respondents were matched to a sampling frame on gender, age, race, education, party identification, ideology, and political interest. The frame was constructed by stratified sampling from the full 2010 American Community Survey (ACS) sample with selection within strata by weighted sampling with replacements (using the person weights on the public use file). Data on voter registration status and turnout were matched to this frame using the November 2008 Current Population Survey. Data on interest in politics and party identification were then matched to this frame from the 2007 Pew Religious Life Survey.

The matched cases were weighted to the sampling frame using propensity scores. The matched cases and the frame were combined and a logistic regression was estimated for inclusion in the frame. The propensity score function included age, gender, race/ethnicity, years of education, ideology, and geographical region. The propensity scores were grouped into deciles of the estimated propensity score in the frame and post-stratified according to these deciles. Weights larger than 7 were trimmed and the final weights normalized to equal the sample size.

#### Variable List

```

=====
Name                Description
-----
caseid              Case ID
weight              Case weight
consent              Consent
Q1                  Thought about global warming
Q2                  Able to describe global warming
Q3                  How often watch/read media or discuss global warming
gwarm_1              How serious a problem is global warming
gwarm_2              Carbon dioxide emissions causing / not causing global
                    warming
gwarm_3              Impression of scientists view of global warming
climate_policy_1     Give priority to global warming measures
climate_policy_2     Gov't doing too much / not enough
climate_policy_3     Favor/oppose preserving forests
climate_policy_4     Favor/oppose increasing auto fuel efficiency requirements
climate_policy_5     Willingness to pay additional costs
personal_1           Reducing energy consumption reduces quality of life
personal_2           Avoiding activities emitting CO2 contributes to solution
personal_3           Prefer not to worry about energy consumption
cartype              Car purchase choice
lottery              Cash prize or carbon offset
econ_1               Household income over past 3 years
econ_2               Household income in next 3 years
econ_3               Risk of job loss in next 3 years
econ_4               Ease/Difficulty of finding new job
econ_5               Regional economy over past 3 years
econ_6               Regional economy in next 3 years
econ_7               Regional unemployment in past 3 years
econ_8               Regional unemployment in next 3 years
econ_9               U.S. economy in past 3 years
econ_10              U.S. economy in next 3 years
econ_11              U.S. unemployment in past 3 years
econ_12              U.S. unemployment in next 3 years
aq1                  Agree/Disagree – Policies lead to innovations and jobs
aq2                  Agree/Disagree – Policies hurt economy, lead to

```

	unemployment
aq3	Agree/Disagree – Policies lead to reduction of gov't spending
aq4	Agree/Disagree – Policies lead to gov't interfering in people's lives
policy_protect	Social policies protect too much or too little
conjoint1	Conjoint Experiment – Table 1
conjoint2	Conjoint Experiment – Table 2
conjoint3	Conjoint Experiment – Table 3
conjoint4	Conjoint Experiment – Table 4
conjoint5	Conjoint Experiment – Table 5
conjoint6	Conjoint Experiment – Table 6
damage1a_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage1b_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Damage
damage2a_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage2b_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Damage
damage3a_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage3b_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Damage
damage4a_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage4b_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Damage
damage5a_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage5b_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Damage
damage6a_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Damage
damage6b_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Damage
income1a_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Income
income1b_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Income
income2a_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Income
income2b_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Income
income3a_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Income
income3b_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Income
income4a_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Income
income4b_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Income
income5a_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Income
income5b_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Income
income6a_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Income
income6b_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Income
carbon1a_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon1b_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
carbon2a_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon2b_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
carbon3a_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon3b_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
carbon4a_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon4b_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
carbon5a_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon5b_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
carbon6a_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Carbon
carbon6b_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Carbon
efficiency1a_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency1b_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
efficiency2a_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency2b_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
efficiency3a_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency3b_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
efficiency4a_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency4b_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
efficiency5a_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency5b_code	TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
efficiency6a_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency
efficiency6b_code	TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency
funddec1a_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions
funddec1b_code	TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions
funddec2a_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions
funddec2b_code	TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions
funddec3a_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions
funddec3b_code	TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions
funddec4a_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions
funddec4b_code	TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions

funddec5a_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Funding Decisions
funddec5b_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions
funddec6a_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - Funding Decisions
funddec6b_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions
funduse1a_code	TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse1b_code	TABLE 1 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
funduse2a_code	TABLE 2 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse2b_code	TABLE 2 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
funduse3a_code	TABLE 3 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse3b_code	TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
funduse4a_code	TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse4b_code	TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
funduse5a_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse5b_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
funduse6a_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - Funding Uses
funduse6b_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - Funding Uses
contribut1a_code	TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut1b_code	TABLE 1 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut2a_code	TABLE 2 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut2b_code	TABLE 2 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut3a_code	TABLE 3 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut3b_code	TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut4a_code	TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut4b_code	TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut5a_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut5b_code	TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut6a_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
contribut6b_code	TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries
birthyr	Birth Year
gender	Gender
pid3	3 point party ID
pid7	7 point Party ID
race	Race
educ	Education
marstat	Marital Status
employ	Employment Status
pew_bornagain	Born Again (Pew version)
pew_religimp	Importance of religion (Pew version)
pew_churatd	Church attendance (Pew version)
pew_prayer	Frequency of Prayer (Pew version)
religpew	Pew religion
religpew_protestant	Protestant Church
ideo5	Ideology
newsint	Interest in news and public affairs
faminc	Family income
votereg	Voter Registration Status
inputstate	State of Residence

=====  
Verbatims  
=====

pid3_t	3 point party ID - other
race_other	Race, other
employ_t	Employment Status - open
religpew_t	Pew religion - other

religpew\_protestant\_t Protestant church – other

Variable Map and Codebook

=====

Name: caseid  
Description: Case ID

=====

Name: weight  
Description: Case weight

=====

Name: consent  
Description: Consent

Count	Code	Label
1500	1	Yes
0	2	No
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: Q1  
Description: Thought about global warming

Count	Code	Label
541	1	A lot
524	2	Some
273	3	A little
162	4	Not at all
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: Q2  
Description: Able to describe global warming

Count	Code	Label
582	1	Yes
692	2	To some extent
226	3	No
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: Q3  
Description: How often watch/read media or discuss global warming

Count	Code	Label
117	1	Never
362	2	Rarely
655	3	Sometimes
288	4	Often
78	5	Very often
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: gwarm\_1  
Description: How serious a problem is global warming

Count	Code	Label
505	1	Very serious problem
396	2	Somewhat serious problem
249	3	Not too serious problem
280	4	Not a problem
70	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: gwarm\_2  
Description: Carbon dioxide emissions causing / not causing global warming

Count	Code	Label
484	1	Causing global warming
532	2	Contributing a little bit to global warming
344	3	Not causing global warming
140	4	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: gwarm\_3  
Description: Impression of scientists view of global warming

Count	Code	Label
654	1	Most scientists think the problem is urgent and enough is kn
284	2	Most scientists think the problem is not urgent, and not eno
385	3	Views are evenly divided among scientists
177	4	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: climate\_policy\_1  
Description: Give priority to global warming measures

Count	Code	Label
669	1	Should give priority
567	2	Should not give priority
264	3	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: climate\_policy\_2  
Description: Gov't doing too much / not enough

Count	Code	Label
393	1	Too much
250	2	About the right amount
665	3	Not enough
192	4	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: climate\_policy\_3  
Description: Favor/oppose preserving forests

Count	Code	Label
557	1	Favor strongly

502	2	Favor somewhat
180	3	Oppose somewhat
102	4	Oppose strongly
159	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: climate\_policy\_4  
Description: Favor/oppose increasing auto fuel efficiency requirements

Count	Code	Label
497	1	Favor strongly
405	2	Favor somewhat
221	3	Oppose somewhat
241	4	Oppose strongly
136	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: climate\_policy\_5  
Description: Willingness to pay additional costs

Count	Code	Label
414	1	Would be willing
805	2	Would not be willing
281	3	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: personal\_1  
Description: Reducing energy consumption reduces quality of life

Count	Code	Label
200	1	Strongly agree
404	2	Mostly agree
666	3	Mostly disagree
230	4	Strongly disagree
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: personal\_2  
Description: Avoiding activities emitting CO2 contributes to solution

Count	Code	Label
245	1	Strongly agree
671	2	Mostly agree
337	3	Mostly disagree
247	4	Strongly disagree
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: personal\_3  
Description: Prefer not to worry about energy consumption

Count	Code	Label
302	1	Strongly agree
518	2	Mostly agree
450	3	Mostly disagree

230	4 Strongly disagree
0	8 Skipped
0	9 Not Asked

=====

Name: cartype  
Description: Car purchase choice

Count	Code	Label
-----	----	-----
343	1	Larger, more powerful car consuming more fuel
1157	2	Smaller, less powerful car consuming less fuel
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: lottery  
Description: Cash prize or carbon offset

Count	Code	Label
-----	----	-----
1069	1	A cash prize of \$25:If you choose this option and win, \$25 w
431	2	A so called carbon offset of \$25:If you choose this option a
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: econ\_1  
Description: Household income over past 3 years

Count	Code	Label
-----	----	-----
51	1	Increased a lot
349	2	Increased somewhat
565	3	Stayed the same
330	4	Decreased somewhat
205	5	Decreased a lot
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: econ\_2  
Description: Household income in next 3 years

Count	Code	Label
-----	----	-----
75	1	Increase a lot
451	2	Increase somewhat
636	3	Stay the same
244	4	Decrease somewhat
94	5	Decrease a lot
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: econ\_3  
Description: Risk of job loss in next 3 years

Count	Code	Label
-----	----	-----
178	1	Very low
264	2	Low
317	3	Moderate
84	4	High
61	5	Very high
596	6	I am currently unemployed
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_4
Description: Ease/Difficulty of finding new job
```

Count	Code	Label
84	1	Very easy
254	2	Easy
598	3	Hard
564	4	Very hard
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_5
Description: Regional economy over past 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
196	1	Become a lot worse
417	2	Become worse
582	3	Stayed the same
275	4	Become better
30	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_6
Description: Regional economy in next 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
150	1	Become a lot worse
323	2	Become worse
602	3	Stay the same
388	4	Become better
37	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_7
Description: Regional unemployment in past 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
185	1	Become a lot worse
408	2	Become worse
602	3	Stayed the same
279	4	Become better
26	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_8
Description: Regional unemployment in next 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
143	1	Become a lot worse
319	2	Become worse
618	3	Stay the same
387	4	Become better
33	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped



0 9 Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_9
Description: U.S. economy in past 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
333	1	Become a lot worse
430	2	Become worse
345	3	Stayed the same
359	4	Become better
33	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_10
Description: U.S. economy in next 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
258	1	Become a lot worse
379	2	Become worse
423	3	Stay the same
397	4	Become better
43	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_11
Description: U.S. unemployment in past 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
267	1	Become a lot worse
439	2	Become worse
365	3	Stayed the same
400	4	Become better
29	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      econ_12
Description: U.S. unemployment in next 3 years
```

Count	Code	Label
244	1	Become a lot worse
374	2	Become worse
413	3	Stay the same
430	4	Become better
39	5	Become a lot better
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      aq1
Description: Agree/Disagree - Policies lead to innovations and jobs
```

Count	Code	Label
342	1	Strongly agree
488	2	Agree
276	3	Disagree
203	4	Strongly disagree

191	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name:           aq2  
Description:   Agree/Disagree – Policies hurt economy, lead to unemployment

Count	Code	Label
286	1	Strongly agree
346	2	Agree
402	3	Disagree
266	4	Strongly disagree
200	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name:           aq3  
Description:   Agree/Disagree – Policies lead to reduction of gov't spending

Count	Code	Label
130	1	Strongly agree
317	2	Agree
403	3	Disagree
358	4	Strongly disagree
292	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name:           aq4  
Description:   Agree/Disagree – Policies lead to gov't interfering in people's lives

Count	Code	Label
487	1	Strongly agree
323	2	Agree
272	3	Disagree
223	4	Strongly disagree
195	5	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name:           policy\_protect  
Description:   Social policies protect too much or too little

Count	Code	Label
256	1	Far too much
246	2	Too much
452	3	About right amount of protection
371	4	Too little
175	5	Far too little
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name:           conjoint1  
Description:   Conjoint Experiment – Table 1

Count	Code	Label
816	1	Proposal 1
684	2	Proposal 2

0 8 Skipped  
0 9 Not Asked

=====

Name: conjoint2  
Description: Conjoint Experiment - Table 2

Count	Code	Label
767	1	Proposal 1
733	2	Proposal 2
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: conjoint3  
Description: Conjoint Experiment - Table 3

Count	Code	Label
761	1	Proposal 1
739	2	Proposal 2
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: conjoint4  
Description: Conjoint Experiment - Table 4

Count	Code	Label
786	1	Proposal 1
714	2	Proposal 2
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: conjoint5  
Description: Conjoint Experiment - Table 5

Count	Code	Label
809	1	Proposal 1
691	2	Proposal 2
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: conjoint6  
Description: Conjoint Experiment - Table 6

Count	Code	Label
782	1	Proposal 1
718	2	Proposal 2
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: damage1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
425	1	Small damage from global warming
367	2	Moderate damage from global warming
375	3	Large damage from global warming
333	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
395	1	Small damage from global warming
353	2	Moderate damage from global warming
381	3	Large damage from global warming
371	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
362	1	Small damage from global warming
392	2	Moderate damage from global warming
360	3	Large damage from global warming
386	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
380	1	Small damage from global warming
355	2	Moderate damage from global warming
379	3	Large damage from global warming
386	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage3a\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
378	1	Small damage from global warming
367	2	Moderate damage from global warming
408	3	Large damage from global warming
347	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage3b\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
373	1	Small damage from global warming
355	2	Moderate damage from global warming
363	3	Large damage from global warming
409	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage4a\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Damage

Count	Code	Label
388	1	Small damage from global warming
375	2	Moderate damage from global warming
347	3	Large damage from global warming
390	4	Very large damage from global warming

=====

Name: damage4b\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
375	1	Small damage from global warming
370	2	Moderate damage from global warming
376	3	Large damage from global warming
379	4	Very large damage from global warming

Name: damage5a\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
379	1	Small damage from global warming
363	2	Moderate damage from global warming
384	3	Large damage from global warming
374	4	Very large damage from global warming

Name: damage5b\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
390	1	Small damage from global warming
369	2	Moderate damage from global warming
382	3	Large damage from global warming
359	4	Very large damage from global warming

Name: damage6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
376	1	Small damage from global warming
377	2	Moderate damage from global warming
366	3	Large damage from global warming
381	4	Very large damage from global warming

Name: damage6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - Damage

Count	Code	Label
376	1	Small damage from global warming
383	2	Moderate damage from global warming
384	3	Large damage from global warming
357	4	Very large damage from global warming

Name: income1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
364	1	Similar to the U.S.
400	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
373	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
363	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

Name: income1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
345	1	Similar to the U.S.
403	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
386	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
366	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
364	1	Similar to the U.S.
338	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
400	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
398	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
376	1	Similar to the U.S.
363	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
409	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
352	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income3a\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
356	1	Similar to the U.S.
358	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
383	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
403	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income3b\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
394	1	Similar to the U.S.
350	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
364	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
392	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income4a\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
353	1	Similar to the U.S.
359	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
370	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
418	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: income4b\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
-------	------	-------

417	1	Similar to the U.S.
369	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
345	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
369	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: income5a\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
339	1	Similar to the U.S.
400	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
379	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
382	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: income5b\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
371	1	Similar to the U.S.
398	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
371	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
360	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: income6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - Income

Count	Code	Label
371	1	Similar to the U.S.
354	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
389	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
386	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: income6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - Income

Count	Code	Label
386	1	Similar to the U.S.
389	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
348	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
377	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: carbon1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
572	1	Similar to the U.S.
570	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
173	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
185	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====  
Name: carbon1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
575	1	Similar to the U.S.

548 2 Somewhat lower than in the U.S.  
180 3 Considerably lower than in the U.S.  
197 4 Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
573	1	Similar to the U.S.
532	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
197	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
198	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
583	1	Similar to the U.S.
530	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
186	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
201	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon3a\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
546	1	Similar to the U.S.
525	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
218	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
211	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon3b\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
580	1	Similar to the U.S.
537	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
181	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
202	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon4a\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
554	1	Similar to the U.S.
556	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
189	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
201	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon4b\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
573	1	Similar to the U.S.
563	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
178	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.



186 4 Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon5a\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
553	1	Similar to the U.S.
556	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
181	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
210	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon5b\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
560	1	Similar to the U.S.
587	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
166	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
187	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
575	1	Similar to the U.S.
555	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
176	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
194	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: carbon6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - Carbon

Count	Code	Label
587	1	Similar to the U.S.
549	2	Somewhat lower than in the U.S.
182	3	Considerably lower than in the U.S.
182	4	Much lower than in the U.S.

=====

Name: efficiency1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 1 - Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
357	1	Highly efficient
364	2	Moderately efficient
414	3	Moderately inefficient
365	4	Highly inefficient

=====

Name: efficiency1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 - Proposal 2 - Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
354	1	Highly efficient
321	2	Moderately efficient
417	3	Moderately inefficient
408	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
374	1	Highly efficient
401	2	Moderately efficient
348	3	Moderately inefficient
377	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
385	1	Highly efficient
365	2	Moderately efficient
387	3	Moderately inefficient
363	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency3a\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
383	1	Highly efficient
375	2	Moderately efficient
372	3	Moderately inefficient
370	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency3b\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
365	1	Highly efficient
379	2	Moderately efficient
370	3	Moderately inefficient
386	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency4a\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
358	1	Highly efficient
389	2	Moderately efficient
374	3	Moderately inefficient
379	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency4b\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
381	1	Highly efficient
385	2	Moderately efficient
365	3	Moderately inefficient
369	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency5a\_code

Description: TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
402	1	Highly efficient
368	2	Moderately efficient
350	3	Moderately inefficient
380	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency5b\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
389	1	Highly efficient
349	2	Moderately efficient
390	3	Moderately inefficient
372	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
353	1	Highly efficient
392	2	Moderately efficient
368	3	Moderately inefficient
387	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: efficiency6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Efficiency

Count	Code	Label
373	1	Highly efficient
366	2	Moderately efficient
381	3	Moderately inefficient
380	4	Highly inefficient

=====  
Name: funddec1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions

Count	Code	Label
510	1	Countries that contribute funding
521	2	Countries that receive funding
469	3	Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

=====  
Name: funddec1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions

Count	Code	Label
497	1	Countries that contribute funding
500	2	Countries that receive funding
503	3	Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

=====  
Name: funddec2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions

Count	Code	Label
-------	------	-------

```

477    1 Countries that contribute funding
517    2 Countries that receive funding
506    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec2b_code
Description: TABLE 2 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
474    1 Countries that contribute funding
524    2 Countries that receive funding
502    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec3a_code
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 1 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
500    1 Countries that contribute funding
480    2 Countries that receive funding
520    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec3b_code
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
493    1 Countries that contribute funding
517    2 Countries that receive funding
490    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec4a_code
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
481    1 Countries that contribute funding
540    2 Countries that receive funding
479    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec4b_code
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
485    1 Countries that contribute funding
511    2 Countries that receive funding
504    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec5a_code
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - Funding Decisions

```

```

Count Code Label
-----
496    1 Countries that contribute funding
492    2 Countries that receive funding
512    3 Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

```

```

=====
Name:      funddec5b_code
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - Funding Decisions

```

Count	Code	Label
527	1	Countries that contribute funding
482	2	Countries that receive funding
491	3	Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

=====

Name: funddec6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Funding Decisions

Count	Code	Label
522	1	Countries that contribute funding
494	2	Countries that receive funding
484	3	Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

=====

Name: funddec6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Funding Decisions

Count	Code	Label
505	1	Countries that contribute funding
504	2	Countries that receive funding
491	3	Jointly by countries that contribute and countries that rece

=====

Name: funduse1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
503	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
526	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
471	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: funduse1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
489	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
484	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
527	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: funduse2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
507	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
485	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
508	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: funduse2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
478	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
521	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
501	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: funduse3a\_code

Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
502	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
475	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
523	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse3b\_code

Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
513	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
480	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
507	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse4a\_code

Description: TABLE 4 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
491	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
506	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
503	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse4b\_code

Description: TABLE 4 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
484	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
531	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
485	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse5a\_code

Description: TABLE 5 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
476	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
517	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
507	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse5b\_code

Description: TABLE 5 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
512	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
493	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
495	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

Name: funduse6a\_code

Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 1 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
476	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
520	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
504	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: funduse6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 – Proposal 2 – Funding Uses

Count	Code	Label
473	1	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries
525	2	Protect recipient countries against global warming damages
502	3	Fund carbon dioxide reductions in recipient countries and pr

=====

Name: contribut1a\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 1 – U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
290	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
291	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
301	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
314	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
304	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====

Name: contribut1b\_code  
Description: TABLE 1 – Proposal 2 – U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
298	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
302	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
316	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
281	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
303	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====

Name: contribut2a\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 1 – U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
308	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
288	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
310	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
296	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
298	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====

Name: contribut2b\_code  
Description: TABLE 2 – Proposal 2 – U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
320	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
307	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
292	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
290	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
291	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====

Name: contribut3a\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 – Proposal 1 – U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
321	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
274	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
311	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
286	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion

308 5 U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut3b\_code  
Description: TABLE 3 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
300	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
312	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
287	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
330	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
271	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut4a\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
322	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
317	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
300	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
282	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
279	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut4b\_code  
Description: TABLE 4 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
300	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
297	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
286	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
313	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
304	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut5a\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
287	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
317	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
307	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
287	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
302	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut5b\_code  
Description: TABLE 5 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
285	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
304	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
290	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
315	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
306	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut6a\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 1 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
-------	------	-------



287	1 U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
310	2 U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
321	3 U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
292	4 U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
290	5 U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: contribut6b\_code  
Description: TABLE 6 - Proposal 2 - U.S. Contribution vs. other countries

Count	Code	Label
287	1	U.S.: \$ 10 billion; Other countries: \$ 90 billion
287	2	U.S.: \$ 30 billion; Other countries: \$ 70 billion
302	3	U.S.: \$ 50 billion; Other countries: \$ 50 billion
294	4	U.S.: \$ 70 billion; Other countries: \$ 30 billion
330	5	U.S.: \$ 90 billion; Other countries: \$ 10 billion

=====  
Name: birthyr  
Description: Birth Year

Count	Code	Label
0	9998	Skipped
0	9999	Not Asked

=====  
Name: gender  
Description: Gender

Count	Code	Label
716	1	Male
784	2	Female
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name: pid3  
Description: 3 point party ID

Count	Code	Label
543	1	Democrat
344	2	Republican
457	3	Independent
78	4	Other
78	5	Not sure
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name: pid7  
Description: 7 point Party ID

Count	Code	Label
386	1	Strong Democrat
157	2	Not very strong Democrat
140	3	Lean Democrat
265	4	Independent
163	5	Lean Republican
136	6	Not very strong Republican
208	7	Strong Republican
45	8	Not sure
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      race
Description: Race
```

Count	Code	Label
1155	1	White
137	2	Black
108	3	Hispanic
23	4	Asian
15	5	Native American
33	6	Mixed
25	7	Other
4	8	Middle Eastern
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      educ
Description: Education
```

Count	Code	Label
59	1	No HS
519	2	High school graduate
321	3	Some college
158	4	2-year
278	5	4-year
165	6	Post-grad
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      marstat
Description: Marital Status
```

Count	Code	Label
793	1	Married
26	2	Separated
159	3	Divorced
62	4	Widowed
386	5	Single
74	6	Domestic partnership
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      employ
Description: Employment Status
```

Count	Code	Label
539	1	Full-time
184	2	Part-time
11	3	Temporarily laid off
119	4	Unemployed
323	5	Retired
111	6	Permanently disabled
110	7	Homemaker
63	8	Student
40	9	Other
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

```
=====
Name:      pew_bornagain
```

Description: Born Again (Pew version)

Count	Code	Label
438	1	Yes
1062	2	No
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: pew\_religimp

Description: Importance of religion (Pew version)

Count	Code	Label
607	1	Very important
360	2	Somewhat important
207	3	Not too important
326	4	Not at all important
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: pew\_churatd

Description: Church attendance (Pew version)

Count	Code	Label
146	1	More than once a week
253	2	Once a week
108	3	Once or twice a month
177	4	A few times a year
336	5	Seldom
441	6	Never
39	7	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: pew\_prayer

Description: Frequency of Prayer (Pew version)

Count	Code	Label
465	1	Several times a day
201	2	Once a day
175	3	A few times a week
37	4	Once a week
93	5	A few times a month
198	6	Seldom
266	7	Never
65	8	Don't know
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

=====

Name: religpew

Description: Pew religion

Count	Code	Label
533	1	Protestant
301	2	Roman Catholic
30	3	Mormon
11	4	Eastern or Greek Orthodox
41	5	Jewish
13	6	Muslim
13	7	Buddhist

1	8	Hindu
98	9	Atheist
73	10	Agnostic
309	11	Nothing in particular
77	12	Something else
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

=====  
Name: religpew\_protestant  
Description: Protestant Church

Count	Code	Label
172	1	Baptist
89	2	Methodist
128	3	Nondenominational or Independent Church
57	4	Lutheran
29	5	Presbyterian
55	6	Pentecostal
29	7	Episcopalian
27	8	Church of Christ or Disciples of Christ
14	9	Congregational or United Church of Christ
10	10	Holiness
4	11	Reformed
6	12	Adventist
5	13	Jehovah's Witness
47	90	Something else
0	98	Skipped
828	99	Not Asked

=====  
Name: ideo5  
Description: Ideology

Count	Code	Label
122	1	Very liberal
274	2	Liberal
455	3	Moderate
350	4	Conservative
175	5	Very conservative
124	6	Not sure
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name: newsint  
Description: Interest in news and public affairs

Count	Code	Label
880	1	Most of the time
357	2	Some of the time
156	3	Only now and then
67	4	Hardly at all
40	7	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====  
Name: faminc  
Description: Family income

Count	Code	Label
100	1	Less than \$10,000
119	2	\$10,000 - \$19,999

165	3	\$20,000 - \$29,999
148	4	\$30,000 - \$39,999
132	5	\$40,000 - \$49,999
119	6	\$50,000 - \$59,999
90	7	\$60,000 - \$69,999
79	8	\$70,000 - \$79,999
115	9	\$80,000 - \$99,999
59	10	\$100,000 - \$119,999
61	11	\$120,000 - \$149,999
40	12	\$150,000 - \$199,999
10	13	\$200,000 - \$249,999
10	14	\$250,000 - \$349,999
3	15	\$350,000 - \$499,999
5	16	\$500,000 or more
0	31	\$150,000 or more
245	97	Prefer not to say
0	98	Skipped
0	99	Not Asked

=====

Name: votereg

Description: Voter Registration Status

Count	Code	Label
1360	1	Yes
112	2	No
28	3	Don't know
0	8	Skipped
0	9	Not Asked

=====

Name: inputstate

Description: State of Residence

Count	Code	Label
14	1	Alabama
5	2	Alaska
47	4	Arizona
14	5	Arkansas
186	6	California
30	8	Colorado
13	9	Connecticut
4	10	Delaware
5	11	District of Columbia
130	12	Florida
45	13	Georgia
0	15	Hawaii
11	16	Idaho
56	17	Illinois
28	18	Indiana
14	19	Iowa
16	20	Kansas
21	21	Kentucky
16	22	Louisiana
8	23	Maine
25	24	Maryland
31	25	Massachusetts
43	26	Michigan
30	27	Minnesota
6	28	Mississippi
26	29	Missouri
3	30	Montana
7	31	Nebraska
15	32	Nevada
10	33	New Hampshire
33	34	New Jersey

12	35	New Mexico
78	36	New York
48	37	North Carolina
2	38	North Dakota
45	39	Ohio
14	40	Oklahoma
31	41	Oregon
74	42	Pennsylvania
7	44	Rhode Island
28	45	South Carolina
2	46	South Dakota
27	47	Tennessee
89	48	Texas
13	49	Utah
5	50	Vermont
45	51	Virginia
43	53	Washington
10	54	West Virginia
34	55	Wisconsin
1	56	Wyoming
0	60	American Samoa
0	64	Federated States of Micronesia
0	66	Guam
0	68	Marshall Islands
0	69	Northern Mariana Islands
0	70	Palau
0	72	Puerto Rico
0	74	U.S. Minor Outlying Islands
0	78	Virgin Islands
0	81	Alberta
0	82	British Columbia
0	83	Manitoba
0	84	New Brunswick
0	85	Newfoundland
0	86	Northwest Territories
0	87	Nova Scotia
0	88	Nunavut
0	89	Ontario
0	90	Prince Edward Island
0	91	Quebec
0	92	Saskatchewan
0	93	Yukon Territory
0	99	Not in the U.S. or Canada
0	998	Skipped
0	999	Not Asked