

Swiss Panel Global Cooperation 2022 - Statistical Annex

(for the total sample, by urbanization status, by migration background, and by income group)

Authors: Yael Borofsky, Nicolas Büttner, Isabel Günther

ETH Zurich: NADEL - Center for Development and Cooperation

Clausiusstrasse 37, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Contact: info@nadel.ethz.ch

Explanatory notes:

This statistical annex provides summary statistics for the Swiss Panel Global Cooperation 2022 Survey. All statistics were calculated with sampling weights. It is the second round of this survey. Information on the findings of the 2021 survey round can be found under <https://nadel.ethz.ch/swiss-panel/2021.html>.

Section A presents socioeconomic, demographic, and other basic information about survey participants, and, where possible, compares them to the general Swiss population based on statistics provided by the Federal Statistical Office (FSO). Section B provides summary statistics of the survey questions for the *total sample* (3,256 observations), as well as separately by *urbanization* (urban-dominated cantons (Zurich, Geneva, and Basel-City): 1,158; rural-dominated cantons (all other cantons): 2,051), *migration status* (no migration background (both parents born in Switzerland): 1,835; migration background (at least one parent born outside of Switzerland): 1,323), and *income group* (lower income (less than CHF 60,000): 659; middle income (CHF 60,000 to CHF 119,999): 1,065; higher income (CHF 120,000 or more): 677) of the participants. The median duration to complete the survey among all participants was 17 minutes and 11 seconds.

This data is presented with the following caveats: 47 participants lived outside of Switzerland, 98 participants provided no information on migration background, and 855 participants provided no information on their income, and thus could not be considered in the heterogeneity analysis by urbanization status, migration status, and income group, respectively.

A subset of questions was phrased in two different ways and each version of these questions was shown to half of the sample by random choice. This concerns Tables 7a/7b, 8a/8b, 9a/9b, and 15a/15b. Another subset of questions was also shown to only half of the sample by random choice. This concerns Tables 10 and 17. Some questions contained multiple statements to which participants could express their agreement or disagreement. For these questions, only a random selection of statements was shown to the participants. In Tables 19a/19b and 20a/20b each participant was shown only one statement. In Tables 21a/21b and 22a/22b each participant was shown four statements.

Section A: Socioeconomic, demographic and other basic information about survey participants

Table 1: Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of survey participants (in comparison with Swiss population)

	Survey participants	Swiss population
<u>Gender</u>		
Female	47.9%	50.7%
Male	49.4%	49.3%
Other	2.7%	N.A.
<u>Age</u>		
Mean	44.4	49.6
18 to 39	43.5%	34.2%
40 to 59	35.6%	35.0%
60+	20.9%	30.8%
<u>Language</u>		
German	66.1%	62.7%
French	22.8%	22.8%
Italian	8.2%	8.3%
English	2.9%	6.5%
<u>Highest level of education</u>		
Compulsory (9 years)	6.9%	17.9%
Upper secondary: Vocational education and training	27.7%	32.7%
Upper secondary: General education	8.1%	9.3%
Tertiary: Higher vocational education and training	18.9%	17.6%
Tertiary: Institutions of higher education (e.g., universities)	31.9%	22.5%
Other / Prefer not to say	6.5%	N.A.

<u>Annual household income (before taxes, including pension subsidies)</u>		
Mean	CHF 100,357	CHF 114,984
Median	CHF 90,000	N.A.
<u>Household composition</u>		
Single-person household	16.3%	19.2%
Couple without children under 25 years	31.6%	29.2%
Household with children under 25 years	35.7%	40.5%
Other household type	16.4%	11.1%
<u>Migration status</u>		
No migration background	58.7%	60.0%
With migration background	41.3%	38.0%
Unclear migration status	N.A.	2.0%

Note: Data sources for statistics on Swiss Population: Gender, Age: FSO (2021), Ständige Wohnbevölkerung nach Geschlecht und Alter, 1860-2021 (based on population aged 18 and older); Language: FSO (2021), Statistical Data on Switzerland 2021 (several answers possible, based on population aged 15 and older); Education: FSO (2022), Höchste abgeschlossene Ausbildung in der Schweiz, 2020 (based on population aged 25 and older); Income: FSO (2019), Household income and expenditure of all households (based on all households); Household composition: FSO (2021), Familien in der Schweiz: Statistischer Bericht 2021 (based on all households); Migration status: FSO (2022), Bevölkerung nach Migrationsstatus (based on population aged 15 and older). Language refers to the language used by participants to fill out the survey. None of the participants used Romansh.

Table 2: Share of participants by canton (in comparison with Swiss population)

<i>Canton</i>	Survey participants (%)	Swiss population (%)
Zuerich	17.78	17.89
Bern	13.50	12.08
Vaud	12.48	9.36
Ticino	7.53	4.08
Aargau	6.88	7.97
Luzern	6.02	4.80
Geneva	4.32	5.86
St. Gallen	3.94	5.93
Fribourg	3.23	3.74
Solothurn	3.01	3.20
Basel-Stadt	2.62	2.28
Basel-Landschaft	2.58	3.36
Valais	2.30	4.01
Neuchatel	2.26	2.05
Zug	2.06	1.48
<i>Outside Switzerland</i>	<i>2.00</i>	-
Thurgau	1.92	3.25
Graubuenden	1.44	2.31
Schwyz	1.09	1.86
Schaffhausen	1.08	0.96
Nidwalden	0.44	0.50
Jura	0.43	0.86
Uri	0.35	0.43

Appenzell Ausserrhoden	0.28	0.64
Glarus	0.26	0.47
Appenzell Innerrhoden	0.14	0.19
Obwalden	0.06	0.44

Note: Source for Swiss population statistics: own calculations based on FSO (2021), Statistical Data on Switzerland 2021.

Section B: Survey questions

Table 3: Familiarity with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
I have never heard of the SDGs	38.34	38.00	38.70	37.93	37.74	46.01	37.78	27.42
I'm not sure if I've heard of the SDGs	21.74	25.03	20.67	22.48	20.95	17.13	22.71	23.37
I have heard of them but cannot explain the broad idea of the SDGs	22.97	21.45	23.57	24.02	21.70	21.99	23.62	23.73
I can explain the broad idea of the SDGs to somebody else	13.64	12.65	13.70	13.17	15.00	11.95	13.51	19.21
I can explain the details of the SDGs to somebody else	1.87	1.43	1.97	1.36	2.74	2.54	1.04	2.87
I use the SDGs in my work	1.44	1.45	1.38	1.04	1.87	0.39	1.34	3.40

Table 4: Estimate of the change in the number of people living in extreme poverty in the last two decades (defined as living on less than \$2 a day)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Increased by 50%	24.24	21.77	25.06	22.22	26.97	29.13	22.46	18.03
Increased by 20%	51.15	52.06	51.09	52.97	48.45	49.82	52.91	46.66
Remained more or less the same	10.18	9.28	10.44	10.50	9.45	9.81	9.07	12.07
Decreased by 20%	11.30	14.34	10.15	11.31	11.70	9.22	11.91	17.69
Decreased by 50%	3.13	2.56	3.27	3.00	3.43	2.02	3.66	5.56

Table 5: Estimate of the number of people in the world that currently live on less than \$10 a day

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
1 billion	7.10	6.67	7.31	6.66	6.93	4.94	5.98	8.83
2 billion	21.68	20.94	22.03	23.12	20.35	18.79	22.13	25.44
3 billion	27.47	26.68	27.82	28.55	26.15	27.73	29.35	23.43
4 billion	22.15	23.01	21.67	21.70	23.06	23.86	20.68	22.96
5 billion	15.78	17.41	15.24	15.01	16.67	16.60	16.38	15.47
6 billion	4.17	3.79	4.30	3.63	4.88	4.19	4.77	3.13
7 billion	1.64	1.49	1.64	1.33	1.97	3.89	0.71	0.75

Table 6: Estimate of own position in the global income distribution (from the poorest 10% to the richest 10%)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Poorest 10%	1.38	0.88	1.53	1.21	1.36	2.20	0.87	0.32
11th to 20th percentile	3.19	2.37	3.44	2.70	3.46	7.84	1.80	1.08
21st to 30th percentile	7.26	6.20	7.63	6.71	7.59	12.33	6.86	1.07
31st to 40th percentile	12.18	13.15	11.95	12.40	11.89	17.70	11.58	4.44
41st to 50th percentile	12.18	11.65	12.56	11.32	12.94	12.34	12.54	5.32
51st to 60th percentile	15.27	13.59	15.87	14.65	15.82	13.47	15.75	10.96
61st to 70th percentile	14.63	13.54	15.07	16.89	11.95	13.19	17.54	15.08
71st to 80th percentile	13.04	14.51	12.46	13.45	13.19	8.82	14.46	20.20
81st to 90th percentile	11.64	14.03	10.67	11.59	12.32	8.19	10.76	19.56
Richest 10 %	9.23	10.08	8.82	9.09	9.48	3.92	7.84	21.96

Table 7a: Opinion about the level of Swiss public spending on foreign aid (no information provided)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Should increase a lot	19.58	22.18	18.93	17.77	21.72	20.53	20.66	20.75
Should increase a little	29.39	28.36	29.61	27.58	33.15	29.46	27.03	33.55
Should stay more or less the same	37.24	37.37	37.20	40.49	31.63	36.82	37.84	30.64
Should decrease a little	5.76	5.15	5.95	5.95	5.79	5.04	5.01	6.94
Should decrease a lot	8.03	6.94	8.32	8.20	7.72	8.15	9.46	8.12

Table 7b: Opinion about the level of Swiss public spending on foreign aid (providing information on current per-capita aid spending)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Should increase a lot	28.13	28.66	27.88	25.97	31.74	30.04	30.70	26.20
Should increase a little	37.16	37.02	37.09	36.91	37.75	35.34	36.40	41.05
Should stay more or less the same	27.13	25.90	27.93	29.23	23.60	26.79	25.40	26.24
Should decrease a little	3.84	4.57	3.58	3.86	3.28	3.97	3.91	2.88
Should decrease a lot	3.74	3.84	3.52	4.04	3.63	3.86	3.59	3.63

Table 8a: Level of concern about global poverty (no information provided)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Very concerned	25.98	25.47	26.01	24.98	27.88	30.86	28.02	19.67
Moderately concerned	38.89	38.81	39.14	39.48	38.41	37.05	35.76	41.88
A bit concerned	25.44	27.29	24.69	25.36	24.90	21.97	25.22	27.94
Not very concerned	8.10	5.89	8.94	8.35	7.47	9.16	8.28	9.45
Not at all concerned	1.59	2.54	1.22	1.83	1.35	0.97	2.71	1.06

Table 8b: Level of concern about global poverty (providing information on % of people living on less than 10\$ a day)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Very concerned	33.46	35.52	32.69	29.32	40.09	36.82	33.38	30.73
Moderately concerned	39.95	37.25	40.89	41.56	37.73	36.85	40.47	40.88
A bit concerned	17.90	18.91	17.64	19.67	15.66	20.18	17.21	17.95
Not very concerned	7.15	7.38	7.08	7.99	5.46	4.58	7.43	8.92
Not at all concerned	1.54	0.94	1.71	1.47	1.07	1.56	1.51	1.52

Table 9a: Opinion about the likelihood of ending extreme poverty by 2030 (providing information on 50% reduction in extreme poverty over the last 30 years)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Will definitely be achieved	1.22	0.82	1.38	0.96	1.48	1.37	0.70	0.94
Will likely be achieved	7.76	7.49	7.53	5.52	10.29	6.44	6.78	7.82
50-50 chance	20.45	21.45	20.36	19.60	21.48	19.21	21.35	21.26
Will likely not be achieved	50.71	50.67	50.82	53.53	47.21	52.61	49.85	48.40
Will definitively not be achieved	19.86	19.57	19.91	20.39	19.54	20.36	21.31	21.58

Table 9b: Opinion about the likelihood of ending extreme poverty by 2030 (providing information on 10% of global population currently living in extreme poverty)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Will definitely be achieved	1.51	1.98	1.38	1.53	1.25	1.15	1.04	2.05
Will likely be achieved	4.26	4.03	4.18	2.92	4.97	4.94	2.74	3.72
50-50 chance	12.22	12.57	12.22	10.38	15.08	14.29	9.95	10.79
Will likely not be achieved	48.60	48.17	48.71	50.61	46.46	49.35	48.20	47.08
Will definitively not be achieved	33.42	33.24	33.51	34.57	32.24	30.28	38.07	36.37

Table 10: Estimate of level of Swiss public spending on foreign aid per resident (correct answer: CHF 410)

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
less than CHF 500	22.06	18.18	23.06	23.44	19.36	17.49	21.64	28.75
CHF 500 to CHF 999	10.27	11.93	9.84	10.14	11.05	8.86	10.38	17.29
CHF 1,000 to CHF 1,999	18.16	20.15	17.65	17.20	19.42	17.82	22.36	20.21
CHF 2,000 to CHF 4,999	17.46	19.78	16.64	18.17	17.52	16.00	16.71	18.39
CHF 5,000 to CHF 9,999	15.62	15.56	15.77	15.12	16.42	18.22	16.65	8.37
CHF 10,000 or more	16.42	14.40	17.04	15.93	16.23	21.61	12.26	6.99

Table 11: Respondent's engagement with the topic of global poverty and inequality in 2022

<i>Percentage shares per category; multiple answers possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Shared articles or information on the topic with others	18.40	19.87	17.76	16.57	21.76	20.39	16.77	20.63
Discussed the topic with family or friends	45.90	47.75	45.13	42.25	52.36	43.12	46.18	50.55
Written about global inequality or poverty, incl. on social media	2.67	3.08	2.46	1.79	3.87	3.71	1.84	3.01
Refrained from purchasing certain products	44.55	47.47	43.63	46.72	43.31	43.15	47.12	50.34
Donated money to reduce global poverty	31.96	31.57	32.02	32.36	32.66	24.05	36.55	40.92
Donated goods such as clothing, books, toys etc.	40.21	39.24	40.36	36.66	45.75	36.53	39.48	41.87
Volunteered for an organization in Switzerland	5.45	7.59	4.79	5.60	5.34	5.55	5.31	7.46
Volunteered for an organization abroad	2.22	2.05	2.19	1.96	2.65	2.26	2.16	2.61
Participated in a march, rally, or similar event related to the issue	2.17	2.10	2.15	2.10	2.30	3.70	2.15	1.03
Worked for an organization with a focus on reducing global poverty and inequality	1.91	1.72	1.93	1.61	2.43	2.12	1.43	3.00
Set up an organization	0.42	0.49	0.41	0.23	0.70	0.92	0.07	0.93
None of the options above	20.73	19.86	21.06	21.75	17.79	23.06	18.70	16.06

Table 12: Opinion about which of the following groups have the highest moral responsibility to reduce global poverty

<i>Percentage shares per category; up to two answers possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Governments of low-income countries	37.45	40.74	36.27	40.63	34.12	30.95	38.87	49.61
Governments of high-income countries	34.99	35.42	34.74	32.62	38.88	33.82	36.51	38.44
Multilateral Organizations (UN, WHO, World Bank)	31.62	33.77	30.92	30.66	33.38	32.56	31.22	26.81
NGOs / Non-Profit Organizations	7.68	5.00	8.70	8.05	7.04	9.38	7.15	4.56
Private sector in low-income countries	8.29	7.69	8.37	8.35	8.56	8.10	8.74	9.21
Citizens in low-income countries	6.58	5.86	6.92	6.88	5.84	4.89	7.14	7.61
Citizens in high-income countries	6.71	8.38	6.22	7.06	6.24	5.63	7.28	8.21
Very rich individuals regardless of where they live	17.60	15.40	18.41	15.94	19.07	22.95	15.74	13.54
International corporations	28.68	29.78	28.24	30.97	26.46	32.35	31.02	27.36
Other	3.49	2.71	3.78	2.62	4.12	2.18	2.60	2.29

Table 13: Opinion about which of the following groups have the most power to reduce global poverty

<i>Percentage shares per category; up to two answers possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Governments of low-income countries	28.97	30.28	28.51	31.58	26.17	27.77	30.02	37.04
Governments of high-income countries	34.28	32.18	34.83	33.27	36.82	32.67	35.18	35.54
Multilateral Organizations (UN, WHO, World Bank)	35.72	36.86	35.44	36.14	35.38	31.75	37.02	35.26
NGOs / Non-Profit Organizations	13.85	14.37	13.81	14.58	12.41	13.22	13.66	13.08
Private sector in low-income countries	7.58	6.67	7.71	7.49	8.10	9.35	7.47	7.97
Citizens in low-income countries	5.22	6.42	4.75	6.02	4.18	4.98	5.80	4.46
Citizens in high-income countries	6.94	7.99	6.64	6.89	7.15	6.85	7.44	6.99
Very rich individuals regardless of where they live	15.64	13.46	16.44	12.53	18.96	19.00	15.21	11.81
International corporations	30.57	30.68	30.63	31.21	30.68	32.41	31.69	32.04
Other	2.79	3.08	2.74	2.37	2.76	2.18	1.88	1.61

Table 14: Opinion about which of the following groups is currently most effective in reducing global poverty

<i>Percentage shares per category; up to two answers possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Governments of low-income countries	8.78	9.61	8.54	8.48	9.19	10.32	9.57	9.54
Governments of high-income countries	15.51	13.39	16.37	15.42	15.67	17.29	14.06	16.18
Multilateral Organizations (UN, WHO, World Bank)	46.27	49.28	45.35	46.51	46.90	45.27	46.96	49.08
NGOs / Non-Profit Organizations	50.41	49.54	51.07	54.87	45.30	45.32	53.55	54.44
Private sector in low-income countries	7.81	8.22	7.45	7.20	8.99	7.47	7.37	10.31
Citizens in low-income countries	9.65	9.91	9.30	9.09	10.07	9.93	10.03	11.05
Citizens in high-income countries	6.22	6.52	6.08	6.41	6.09	6.00	7.13	5.43
Very rich individuals regardless of where they live	7.49	8.17	7.41	5.79	9.40	8.92	5.89	6.28
International corporations	10.13	9.91	10.19	9.00	11.61	10.72	9.05	9.63
Other	4.97	4.01	5.27	3.97	5.75	4.68	4.28	2.05

Table 15a: Respondent's donations to charitable organizations in 2022

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	33.67	34.68	33.22	32.58	35.26	43.37	33.21	28.32
Up to CHF 50	4.83	3.74	5.21	4.69	4.98	6.70	4.04	2.43
CHF 51 - CHF 100	10.22	10.54	10.31	12.11	7.80	14.55	11.10	8.07
CHF 101 - CHF 500	21.01	21.46	20.93	22.30	20.28	15.42	25.60	28.81
CHF 501 - CHF 1,000	7.09	7.27	7.09	7.01	7.49	3.72	8.84	12.53
More than CHF 1,000	5.83	5.65	5.74	6.61	4.97	3.58	6.31	11.01
More than CHF 5,000	1.89	2.43	1.66	2.10	1.68	2.13	2.03	2.42
More than CHF 10,000	0.50	0.42	0.45	0.53	0.48	0.68	0.34	1.20
Not sure / prefer not to say	14.97	13.81	15.40	12.07	17.04	9.85	8.53	5.20

Table 15b: Respondent's donations to charitable organizations – working on reducing global poverty – in 2022

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	38.83	38.48	39.06	38.29	39.86	52.01	33.46	35.73
Up to CHF 50	5.41	4.63	5.54	4.95	6.01	7.67	5.71	2.93
CHF 51 - CHF 100	11.35	9.72	11.98	12.23	10.55	10.01	14.47	10.42
CHF 101 - CHF 500	16.18	17.68	15.73	15.97	17.43	11.51	23.31	18.77
CHF 501 - CHF 1,000	6.60	7.69	6.35	8.53	4.42	3.89	7.45	12.17
More than CHF 1,000	4.34	6.05	3.66	5.09	3.74	1.89	4.59	9.49
More than CHF 5,000	1.09	1.14	1.10	1.27	0.84	0.63	1.49	1.42
More than CHF 10,000	2.79	3.06	2.75	3.04	1.86	1.59	0.65	4.40
Not sure / prefer not to say	13.41	11.56	13.82	10.62	15.30	10.79	8.87	4.68

Table 16: Reason for NOT donating money to charitable organizations

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Lack of financial capacity / cannot afford to	32.56	33.40	32.45	31.21	34.13	58.58	25.86	6.92
Lack of information or knowledge on which organization to donate to	11.49	11.31	11.43	11.92	10.19	6.73	12.67	13.27
Don't believe my contribution would make a difference	4.45	5.64	4.01	4.77	4.19	4.32	2.96	9.11
Don't believe it's my responsibility	5.05	3.08	5.71	6.44	3.15	3.43	8.08	3.63
Don't trust charitable organizations	16.45	14.59	17.31	15.35	18.66	10.74	19.53	21.24
Don't think charitable organizations are effective	9.74	8.08	10.04	10.69	8.78	5.28	11.69	15.98
Other	11.56	11.17	11.58	11.30	12.75	6.13	10.91	23.49
Don't know	3.62	5.92	2.89	4.53	2.50	2.33	4.35	3.37
Prefer not to say	5.07	6.82	4.57	3.78	5.65	2.45	3.95	2.99

Table 17: Biggest influencing factor for decisions on donations

<i>Percentage shares per category; up to two answers possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Cause the organization is working for	51.95	51.41	52.09	52.79	50.99	51.43	50.86	52.70
Documented impact of the organization	29.26	32.93	27.74	28.51	30.65	25.66	26.13	34.65
Reputation of the organization	13.09	13.89	12.88	11.03	17.00	9.52	15.28	14.88
Recommendations from family, friends, or colleagues	4.25	3.45	4.14	3.30	5.76	4.71	4.00	4.40
Knowing somebody working at the organization	7.30	7.17	7.31	7.14	7.33	8.17	9.18	6.97
Low administration cost at the organization	15.42	17.43	14.68	17.26	12.59	11.82	13.79	18.88
Countries the organization is working in	8.51	8.96	8.35	8.15	8.68	6.17	8.40	9.07
Size and visibility of the organization	5.16	5.69	5.06	4.72	6.06	7.13	4.20	6.37
Talent of fundraiser	0.26	0.70	0.12	0.28	0.23	0.00	0.71	0.00
Transparency of organization	35.95	30.89	38.12	38.93	30.65	35.06	39.85	30.49
Religious affiliation	3.73	3.66	3.81	4.68	2.16	6.48	3.87	3.10
Other	4.55	4.51	4.48	3.58	6.11	4.37	4.53	3.12

Table 18: Most used source of information when making charitable donations

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Family, friends, colleagues	21.28	19.94	21.63	18.91	24.46	19.52	18.81	23.54
Media / news outlets	14.95	14.27	15.20	14.02	16.65	17.53	15.47	14.94
Swiss Development Cooperation (SDC)	10.42	11.04	10.30	12.79	6.53	10.15	11.73	9.82
Universities / academic research	7.91	8.46	7.76	6.85	9.51	9.56	7.23	8.10
ZEWO (https://zewo.ch/de/)	14.03	12.86	14.44	15.87	11.56	10.77	16.90	15.84
Charity annual reports or websites	11.67	10.78	11.78	11.49	12.36	13.07	11.58	10.76
Other	8.21	7.65	8.46	8.25	8.23	10.42	6.98	7.52
None of the above	11.53	14.99	10.42	11.81	10.71	8.98	11.29	9.48

Table 19a: Agreement with potential migration policies, in particular settlement of refugees

<i>Percentage shares per statement who selected 'strongly agree'</i> *	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
We should let people fleeing come and settle in Switzerland.								
... conflict zones ...	17.93	24.77	15.47	10.22	27.02	18.68	20.04	16.44
... climate disasters ...	10.44	17.72	7.55	6.42	15.39	7.27	9.13	11.03
... middle-income countries but with abusive governments ...	11.96	22.48	8.21	10.16	14.19	14.20	13.84	13.06
... very economically poor countries ...	9.72	15.46	6.73	7.72	13.30	15.88	9.35	7.45
It is a good thing for Switzerland to be made up of people with backgrounds from many different countries.	36.38	47.13	31.47	25.48	51.55	45.19	28.11	40.23
Migration into Switzerland is a threat to the political stability of Switzerland.	8.15	11.97	6.63	9.18	5.99	15.06	5.37	7.95
Migration into Switzerland is a threat to the economic development of Switzerland.	7.52	1.24	9.38	9.48	4.44	4.90	6.48	8.55

* Other options were 'somewhat agree', 'neither agree nor disagree', 'somewhat disagree', 'strongly disagree'.

Table 19b: Agreement with potential migration policies, in particular settlement of refugees

<i>Percentage distribution across answer options per statement</i>	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
We should let people fleeing come and settle in Switzerland.					
... conflict zones ...	17.93	35.27	22.42	16.96	7.42
... climate disasters ...	10.44	29.30	28.10	20.12	12.05
... middle-income countries but with abusive governments ...	11.96	24.16	24.76	25.63	13.49
... very economically poor countries ...	9.72	23.44	24.52	22.86	19.46
It is a good thing for Switzerland to be made up of people with backgrounds from many different countries.	36.38	30.95	17.73	11.44	3.51
Migration into Switzerland is a threat to the political stability of Switzerland.	8.15	19.37	25.12	27.64	19.73
Migration into Switzerland is a threat to the economic development of Switzerland.	7.52	14.83	29.65	20.70	27.29

Table 20a: Agreement with potential migration policies, in particular work permits of refugees

<i>Percentage shares per statement who selected 'strongly agree'</i> *	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
We should grant people fleeing the immediate right to work in Switzerland.								
... conflict zones ...	18.33	21.36	17.62	19.03	16.84	20.51	20.52	21.31
... climate disasters ...	12.56	16.31	10.58	7.69	20.34	15.09	11.69	13.49
... middle-income countries but with abusive governments ...	11.46	15.99	9.64	9.11	15.28	14.81	9.38	12.52
... very economically poor countries ...	10.49	11.43	9.61	8.98	12.16	16.80	7.41	5.03

* Other options were 'somewhat agree', 'neither agree nor disagree', 'somewhat disagree', 'strongly disagree'.

Table 20b: Agreement with potential migration policies, in particular work permits of refugees

<i>Percentage distribution across answer options per statement</i>	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
We should grant people fleeing the immediate right to work in Switzerland.					
... conflict zones ...	18.33	31.42	24.99	17.87	7.39
... climate disasters ...	12.56	29.39	23.48	20.17	14.40
... middle-income countries but with abusive governments ...	11.46	28.28	24.70	25.61	9.95
... very economically poor countries ...	10.49	23.95	23.17	22.86	19.52

Table 21a: Opinion about possible threats to Switzerland (from 'extremely threatening' to 'not a threat')

<i>Percentage shares per statement who selected 'extremely threatening' *</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Climate change	28.43	29.39	28.41	28.40	28.69	24.22	29.30	27.21
Terrorism	6.85	4.77	7.68	4.88	9.47	12.29	5.78	2.35
Capitalism	10.36	10.04	10.55	10.45	10.46	11.79	11.55	6.69
Global inequalities	8.58	8.05	8.94	6.31	12.06	11.37	7.33	6.96
Nationalism	10.76	13.50	9.55	10.84	11.15	10.77	11.08	12.93
Violence and conflict between or within countries	11.07	7.72	12.34	10.54	11.31	15.09	10.02	9.79
Spread of infectious diseases	8.09	7.91	8.13	5.65	10.38	16.12	4.33	3.11
Biodiversity loss	23.72	21.03	24.64	23.90	23.71	24.75	25.84	20.37
Fake news	23.37	24.06	23.18	21.64	25.71	29.09	19.87	20.73
Digitalization and automation	6.87	7.91	6.63	6.74	7.18	11.89	5.87	2.14
Unemployment	5.05	4.96	5.21	3.78	6.91	6.38	3.45	1.21
Unstable financial system	12.08	12.44	12.00	9.16	16.49	13.15	11.80	12.09
Globalization	7.72	6.39	8.21	6.33	10.03	14.16	4.58	6.35
Migration	6.57	6.69	6.46	6.30	6.23	8.10	6.80	4.69
Global population growth	14.46	13.98	14.65	16.85	11.21	15.90	15.04	18.00

* Other options were 'very threatening', 'moderately threatening', 'slightly threatening', 'not a threat'.

Table 21b: Opinion about possible threats to Switzerland (from 'extremely threatening' to 'not a threat')

<i>Percentage distribution across answer options per statement</i>	Extremely threatening	Very threatening	Moderately threatening	Slightly threatening	Not a threat
Climate change	28.43	39.55	21.11	7.55	3.35
Terrorism	6.85	17.87	36.76	30.59	7.93
Capitalism	10.36	22.94	31.63	17.64	17.42
Global inequalities	8.58	32.76	32.35	20.12	6.19
Nationalism	10.76	23.30	31.75	22.12	12.06
Violence and conflict between or within countries	11.07	31.89	32.63	19.54	4.87
Spread of infectious diseases	8.09	28.67	33.59	24.06	5.60
Biodiversity loss	23.72	35.94	24.54	10.94	4.87
Fake news	23.37	36.36	24.45	12.13	3.69
Digitalization and automation	6.87	19.08	30.85	23.84	19.35
Unemployment	5.05	19.78	31.75	33.42	10.01
Unstable financial system	12.08	30.89	31.15	19.14	6.73
Globalization	7.72	21.67	35.55	21.40	13.64
Migration	6.57	17.43	31.70	25.00	19.30
Global population growth	14.46	25.96	33.76	16.87	8.95

Table 22a: Agreement with potential Swiss policies on issues of climate change and global sustainable development

<i>Percentage shares per statement who selected 'strongly agree'</i> *	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
People in low-income countries are suffering disproportionately from extreme climate and weather-related events. Switzerland must contribute financially to protect these vulnerable communities.	15.56	19.81	14.34	14.91	16.85	16.14	19.81	18.53
Switzerland must reduce its own carbon footprint in order to protect the world's most vulnerable communities from the effects of climate change.	31.56	33.75	30.75	30.93	34.50	28.07	35.98	38.52
Switzerland must act decisively to address climate change so that the people are not forced to migrate.	33.49	36.35	32.74	31.98	37.43	29.92	35.73	43.01
Switzerland's investments in foreign aid makes the world a better place.	8.73	10.11	8.14	7.11	11.27	7.14	9.07	8.78
Switzerland's investments in diplomacy makes the world a better place.	5.69	5.25	5.96	4.29	7.92	5.99	4.82	9.04
Preventing and resolving violent conflict through foreign aid makes Swiss residents safer.	15.37	14.27	15.80	14.73	16.64	15.34	14.93	22.88
Swiss foreign aid is a win-win: it helps people in developing countries and people living in Switzerland.	11.20	12.33	10.82	8.87	14.57	9.61	12.08	11.61
Foreign aid is about 1.5% of Switzerland's public budget but yields considerable results given the relatively small investment.	4.15	4.37	3.85	2.85	6.09	4.50	2.69	4.38
Switzerland's foreign aid budget is an essential modern day tool for managing migration into Switzerland.	9.37	9.29	9.42	9.85	9.19	11.71	7.37	11.92
It is unacceptable that 800 million people around the world go to bed hungry. Switzerland can and must do more to reduce poverty in all its forms.	21.89	24.76	20.59	20.78	24.04	22.22	27.57	22.83
We cannot prevent future pandemics unless Switzerland does more to ensure equitable access to newly developed vaccines.	14.40	15.25	14.01	13.04	17.51	11.94	16.69	17.55

Switzerland should provide additional funds as a matter of solidarity with vulnerable countries that are facing unavoidable and existential threats.	13.30	15.03	12.85	12.52	14.95	12.89	13.42	17.05
Switzerland should discourage multinationals from shifting their profits from developing countries to Switzerland for tax reasons.	26.55	29.77	25.16	26.78	27.54	33.10	29.82	28.54
Swiss companies should be required to screen their supply chains for human-rights risks and environmental damage.	48.96	46.09	50.22	46.64	53.62	57.66	51.45	49.96
Switzerland should adopt measures to encourage safe, orderly, and regular migration into Switzerland.	17.20	16.94	17.47	15.68	20.35	18.69	17.23	16.23
Switzerland should rather focus on its domestic crises instead of providing foreign aid for foreign countries.	11.54	12.35	11.27	12.59	10.71	14.00	9.17	11.13

* Other options were 'somewhat agree', 'neither agree nor disagree', 'somewhat disagree', 'strongly disagree'.

Table 22b: Agreement with potential Swiss policies on issues of climate change and global sustainable development

<i>Percentage distribution across answer options per statement</i>	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
People in low-income countries are suffering disproportionately from extreme climate and weather-related events. Switzerland must contribute financially to protect these vulnerable communities.	15.56	35.90	25.70	14.07	8.77
Switzerland must reduce its own carbon footprint in order to protect the world's most vulnerable communities from the effects of climate change.	31.56	31.40	16.56	11.60	8.88
Switzerland must act decisively to address climate change so that the people are not forced to migrate.	33.49	33.99	18.54	8.98	4.99
Switzerland's investments in foreign aid makes the world a better place.	8.73	37.33	34.47	13.47	6.01
Switzerland's investments in diplomacy makes the world a better place.	5.69	36.51	38.84	13.62	5.33
Preventing and resolving violent conflict through foreign aid makes Swiss residents safer.	15.37	33.47	33.97	12.62	4.58
Swiss foreign aid is a win-win: it helps people in developing countries and people living in Switzerland.	11.20	34.03	32.21	16.44	6.13
Foreign aid is about 1.5% of Switzerland's public budget but yields considerable results given the relatively small investment.	4.15	23.48	57.69	10.68	4.01
Switzerland's foreign aid budget is an essential modern day tool for managing migration into Switzerland.	9.37	34.66	34.03	14.34	7.60
It is unacceptable that 800 million people around the world go to bed hungry. Switzerland can and must do more to reduce poverty in all its forms.	21.89	37.52	21.95	11.63	7.01
We cannot prevent future pandemics unless Switzerland does more to ensure equitable access to newly developed vaccines.	14.40	31.27	28.22	13.59	12.53

Switzerland should provide additional funds as a matter of solidarity with vulnerable countries that are facing unavoidable and existential threats.	13.30	41.32	24.81	13.16	7.41
Switzerland should discourage multinationals from shifting their profits from developing countries to Switzerland for tax reasons.	26.55	26.58	28.36	12.34	6.17
Swiss companies should be required to screen their supply chains for human-rights risks and environmental damage.	48.96	33.39	10.52	3.36	3.77
Switzerland should adopt measures to encourage safe, orderly, and regular migration into Switzerland.	17.20	38.08	24.28	11.89	8.55
Switzerland should rather focus on its domestic crises instead of providing foreign aid for foreign countries.	11.54	17.59	19.02	31.92	19.93

Table 23: Sources of knowledge about developing countries

<i>Percentage shares per category; up to three sources possible</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Print media (printed)	28.21	28.51	28.16	34.22	21.09	25.94	31.31	30.27
Print media (online)	47.93	49.91	47.07	47.81	49.93	41.24	48.90	60.44
TV	52.61	48.60	54.18	55.92	49.66	46.18	54.26	52.00
Radio	28.58	25.92	29.59	33.75	22.12	28.11	31.54	29.00
Twitter	1.81	2.39	1.60	1.48	2.05	1.15	2.07	1.79
Facebook	4.46	4.47	4.45	2.59	6.23	5.66	4.85	1.77
Instagram / TikTok	5.48	6.25	5.20	4.50	6.34	9.61	3.96	2.32
YouTube	6.77	6.59	6.75	5.25	8.42	9.04	6.73	4.79
LinkedIn	1.03	1.04	1.05	0.78	1.47	0.46	1.23	2.08
Movies	3.98	4.65	3.79	4.04	4.06	4.27	3.62	4.07
Google / Internet	25.02	24.95	25.03	21.79	29.62	27.09	25.01	24.11
Friends and colleagues	14.03	13.45	14.21	13.33	15.11	16.34	14.73	11.55
Own experience	13.55	15.23	12.87	11.25	16.95	12.71	14.89	16.59
Books	5.65	6.01	5.56	5.80	5.76	6.64	5.11	7.28
School and education	10.25	9.77	10.36	9.06	11.85	11.84	7.86	7.72
Academic publications	3.89	4.92	3.58	3.14	5.14	4.11	3.46	4.07
Charitable organizations	9.69	11.32	9.11	10.86	8.36	9.38	10.77	11.33
Events	2.29	1.90	2.39	2.41	2.19	3.49	1.78	2.23
None of the above	2.35	1.93	2.46	2.32	1.37	1.59	1.68	1.40

Table 24: Self-assessed level of information about global inequality and living realities in developing countries

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Very well informed	2.84	4.12	2.29	2.24	3.76	2.40	3.32	3.90
Well informed	34.34	32.86	34.62	37.50	30.62	34.87	32.81	36.26
Well informed, but I would still like to know more	20.08	22.06	19.62	18.28	23.39	16.61	22.77	22.20
Not well informed, but I would like to know more	25.50	25.11	25.62	23.62	28.77	27.69	24.58	25.04
Not well informed, but I don't feel like I need more information	17.25	15.85	17.85	18.37	13.46	18.43	16.52	12.61

Table 25a: How much of this knowledge about global inequality was learnt in school (up to age 15)?

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	19.78	22.36	18.99	19.33	20.08	19.96	21.84	17.55
Little	43.70	46.32	43.13	46.48	40.62	42.86	41.88	49.41
Moderate amount	24.15	20.65	25.18	24.52	23.16	25.49	24.35	19.63
Quite a bit	9.77	9.31	9.69	8.03	12.10	9.30	9.26	9.68
A lot	2.60	1.37	3.02	1.65	4.04	2.39	2.67	3.73

Note: Based on participants that answered with 'very well informed', 'well informed' or 'well informed, but I would still like to know more' in previous question.

Table 25b: How much of this knowledge about global inequality was learnt in school (up to age 15)?

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	21.82	23.47	21.34	21.80	21.29	20.56	23.70	20.67
Little	45.08	46.90	44.75	46.85	43.20	44.47	43.20	49.17
Moderate amount	22.66	20.50	23.28	22.53	22.69	24.50	21.91	20.03
Quite a bit	8.52	7.83	8.49	7.55	9.84	8.78	8.84	7.38
A lot	1.93	1.30	2.14	1.27	2.98	1.70	2.35	2.76

Note: Based on all participants independent of self-assessed knowledge level.

Table 26: Self-assessed level of information about climate change and its impact on societies around the world

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Very well informed	9.25	10.25	8.76	9.42	9.21	7.50	9.30	11.50
Well informed	47.11	43.96	48.07	52.05	41.89	48.27	45.96	51.35
Well informed, but I would still like to know more	23.48	23.97	23.40	21.14	27.47	24.14	25.26	23.42
Not well informed, but I would like to know more	12.14	12.74	12.05	10.06	14.60	11.15	12.91	10.38
Not well informed, but I don't feel like I need more information	8.01	9.08	7.72	7.33	6.82	8.94	6.56	3.34

Table 27a: How much of this knowledge about climate change was learnt in school (up to age 15)?

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	40.82	44.23	39.73	42.30	39.64	36.45	45.72	43.64
Little	33.33	30.32	34.31	32.61	34.20	34.10	31.93	35.11
Moderate amount	16.29	15.71	16.62	16.38	15.70	17.87	13.89	13.91
Quite a bit	6.75	7.31	6.40	5.79	8.36	7.23	6.15	5.38
A lot	2.81	2.43	2.95	2.91	2.09	4.35	2.31	1.96

Note: Based on participants that answered with 'very well informed', 'well informed' or 'well informed, but I would still like to know more' in previous question.

Table 27b: How much of this knowledge about climate change was learnt in school (up to age 15)?

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
Nothing	40.40	42.66	39.65	42.13	38.85	36.83	45.38	44.66
Little	34.27	31.94	35.02	33.50	35.36	35.00	32.72	34.83
Moderate amount	16.31	16.26	16.48	16.23	15.72	17.41	14.00	13.14
Quite a bit	6.61	7.04	6.34	5.67	8.12	7.05	5.90	5.46
A lot	2.41	2.11	2.51	2.46	1.95	3.71	2.01	1.92

Note: Based on all participants independent of self-assessed knowledge level.

Table 28: Self-assessed political orientation (from '1' = 'left' to '6' = 'right')

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
'1' = 'left'	5.61	7.62	4.78	5.47	5.81	9.69	5.66	4.22
'2'	17.85	20.15	17.25	17.99	18.79	19.83	19.18	20.08
'3'	23.33	21.31	23.89	23.12	24.52	21.15	26.08	26.74
'4'	21.99	20.69	22.45	25.43	18.37	17.04	24.94	27.01
'5'	11.11	10.22	11.43	13.15	8.81	9.88	12.68	14.04
'6' = 'right'	3.25	3.29	3.18	3.38	3.14	4.31	3.22	3.63
Prefer not to say	16.85	16.70	17.03	11.45	20.57	18.09	8.24	4.27

Table 29: Current voting preferences for federal elections

<i>Percentage shares per category</i>	Total	Urban	Rural	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Lower inc.	Middle inc.	Higher inc.
FDP - The Liberals	10.83	9.87	11.20	13.42	7.77	8.53	10.18	16.96
Alliance of the Centre (CDP / BDP)	7.68	4.66	8.62	10.05	4.84	8.55	8.67	9.01
SP - Social Democratic Party of Switzerland	12.77	15.25	12.01	12.91	13.36	14.46	14.64	12.34
SVP - The Swiss People's Party (Democratic Union of the Centre)	10.56	9.48	11.01	13.52	6.57	13.54	12.49	8.52
GPS - Green Party of Switzerland	9.78	9.74	9.78	9.45	10.95	11.04	12.32	11.47
GLP - Green Liberal Party	7.79	8.27	7.70	9.22	6.31	5.76	8.44	13.72
TL - Ticino League	0.19	0.45	0.06	0.17	0.21	0.07	0.26	0.00
MCG - Geneva Citizen's Movement	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.00
CSP - Christian Social Party	0.22	0.33	0.19	0.09	0.43	0.53	0.31	0.07
EVP - Evangelical People's Party of Switzerland	1.19	0.97	1.30	1.37	1.04	0.63	1.72	0.88
EDU - Federal Democratic Union of Switzerland	0.52	0.22	0.60	0.68	0.35	0.34	0.80	0.27
SPL - Swiss Party of Labour	0.45	0.57	0.42	0.40	0.57	0.86	0.52	0.32
AL - Alternative List	0.50	0.54	0.45	0.65	0.32	0.40	0.62	0.70
Other party	2.41	2.53	2.42	2.30	2.72	1.97	3.20	2.63
Don't know	20.16	21.40	19.58	14.36	27.26	21.32	16.15	16.85
Prefer not to say	14.91	15.72	14.64	11.43	17.25	11.86	9.67	6.27