

# Exercise 3

## Behavioral Subtyping

1. Let `sortedArray` be a Java class, which supports a single private field `content`. The field `content` must be an array of integers with no duplicates, which is sorted in increasing order. The following is a method for the insertion of a value in the array:

```
void insert (int newElement)
{
    int[] newContent = new int[content.length+1];
    int i = 0;
    while (i<content.length && content[i]< newElement)
    {
        newContent[i]=content[i];
        i++;
    }
    newContent[i]= newElement;
    while (i<content.length)
    {
        newContent[i+1]= content[i];
        i++;
    }
    content=newContent;
}
```

Write an appropriate invariant for the class, as well as a pre- and postcondition for the method `insert`. Make the specification as precise as possible. In these conditions, you may use the logical quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ .

2. Consider the following code:

```
class A
{
    int x;
    public int getX () {return x;}
    public void setX (int x) {this.x = x;}
};

class B
{
    //requires a1 != a2 && x1 > x2;
    //ensures result > 0;
    int m (A a1, A a2, int x1, int x2)
    {
        a1.setX (x1);
        a2.setX (x2);
        return a1.getX() - a2.getX();
    }
}
```

Add pre/post conditions to the methods of class *A* in a way that satisfies the postcondition of method *m*.

3. Let *C* be a class with an integer field *x* and a method *m*. Let *m* have

- Precondition  $x > 0$
- Postcondition  $x < 1$

Suppose now that there is a class *D* with an integer field *x* and a method *m*. In which of the following cases does the displayed specification of *m* in *D* permit *D* to be a behavioral subtype of *C*?

- (a) Pre  $x > 0$  Post  $x < -1$
- (b) Pre  $x > 0$  Post  $x < 2$
- (c) Pre  $x > -1$  Post  $x < 1$
- (d) Pre  $x > 2$  Post  $x < 1$
- (e) Pre  $x > -4$  Post  $x < -\text{old}(x) * \text{old}(x)$
- (f) Pre true Post false

4. Consider the following Java code annotated with specifications:

```
class A
{
    int f;
    //invariant f>0;

    //requires p > f;
    //ensures result > 0;
    int m (int p) { ... }
};

class B
{
    int f;
    //invariant f>0;

    //requires p > 0;
    //ensures result == old(p) + f;
    int m (int p) { ... }
};
```

Can you prove that *B* is a behavioral subtype of *A*? If not, then provide a generalization of the behavioral subtyping rules that:

- Has the substitution property.
- Is flexible enough to prove that *B* is a behavioral subtype of *A*.

## Concepts of Object-Oriented Programming

5. You are provided with three classes `ArrayNonDecreasing`, `ArrayIncreasing`, and `ArrayNoDuplicates`. Each of them has a private field `content` of type `array of integers`. The classes have the following properties:
- `ArrayNonDecreasing` – elements of `content` must be in non-decreasing order
  - `ArrayIncreasing` – elements of `content` must be in increasing order
  - `ArrayNoDuplicates` – elements of `content` must be unique
- (a) Write invariants that express these properties
- (b) Suppose that there are no mutator methods. Could there be any behavioral subtype relations between these classes? If yes, then describe them.
- (c) Can you find mutator methods that break the potential for behavioral subtyping relations between the classes?
6. Consider two classes `Stack` and `Queue`, implementing the obvious data structures, both of which have methods with the following signatures:
- ```
void push(Object o);
Object pop();
bool isEmpty();
int size();
void reverse();
```
- Despite having identical signatures, these two classes cannot be behavioral subtypes of one another. Why not?
  - When implementing these two classes, is there any possibility of code re-use? If so, give details.
  - What programming languages/features could support such code re-use without subtyping? Which of these do you think would be most suitable here?