

# Exercise 6

## Multiple Inheritance, Multiple Dispatch and Traits

October 30, 2015

### Task 1

Consider the following C++ program:

```
class X {
public:
    X(int p) : fx(p) {}
    int fx;
};
class Y {
public:
    Y(int p) : fy(p) {}
    int fy;
};
class B : public virtual X, public Y {
public:
    B(int p) : X(p-1), Y(p-2) {}
};
class C : public virtual X, public Y {
public:
    C(int p) : X(p+1), Y(p+1) {}
};
class D : public B, public C {
public:
    D(int p) : X(p-1), B(p-2), C(p+1) {}
};

int main() {
    D* d = new D(5);
    B* b = d;
    C* c = d;
    std::cout << b->fx << b->fy
               << c->fx << c->fy;
    return 0;
}
```

What is the output of running the program?

- (a) 5555
- (b) 2177
- (c) 4147
- (d) 7177
- (e) 7777
- (f) None of the above

## Task 2

Consider the following C++ code:

```
class Person
{
    Person *spouse;
    string name;

public:
    Person (string n) { name = n; spouse = nullptr; }

    bool marry (Person *p)
    {
        if (p == this) return false;
        spouse = p;
        if (p) p->spouse = this;
        return true;
    }

    Person *getSpouse () { return spouse; }
    string getName () { return name; }
};
```

The method marry is supposed to ensure that a person cannot marry him-/herself. Without changing the code above, create a new object that belongs to a subclass of Person and marry it with itself.

Hint: use multiple inheritance. Explain exactly what happens.

## Task 3

Consider the following C++ code:

```
class Person
{
    bool likesDiamonds;

public:
    Person (bool l) { likesDiamonds = l; }
};

class Programmer : virtual public Person
{
public:
    Programmer () : Person (false) {}
    // diamonds are a programmer's worst enemy
};
```

A) It is expected that !likesDiamonds is an invariant in class Programmer. Use virtual inheritance to break this invariant, without altering the above code.

B) Assuming we have  $n$  constructors for class Person, where constructor  $i$  has the postcondition  $Q_i$  (where inputs are existentially quantified), what can we assume at the entry to the body of the Programmer constructor?

## Task 4

Consider the following C# classes:

```

public class Matrix {
    public virtual Matrix add(Matrix other) {
        Console.WriteLine("Matrix/Matrix");
        return null;
    }
}

public class SparseMatrix : Matrix {
    public virtual SparseMatrix add(SparseMatrix other) {
        Console.WriteLine("SparseMatrix/SparseMatrix");
        return null;
    }
}

public class MainClass {
    public static void Main(string[] args) {
        Matrix m = new Matrix();
        Matrix s = new SparseMatrix();
        add(m,m);
        add(m,s);
        add(s,m);
        add(s,s);
    }

    public static Matrix add(Matrix m1, Matrix m2) {
        return m1.add(m2);
    }
}

```

- A) What is the output of this program? Please explain.
- B) Without breaking modularity, change only the body of `MainClass.add` to make it possible to always call the most specific `add` method from the matrix hierarchy.

## Task 5

Java 8 allows interface methods to have a default implementation directly in the interface.

- A) What are some advantages of this feature?
- B) What could be some problems with this feature? How can they be resolved?
- C) What problems of C++ multiple inheritance are avoided by this new design for Java interfaces?
- D) Now suppose that, in addition to method implementations, Java also allowed interfaces to define fields. Interfaces would not have constructors and interface fields would always be initialized with a default value.
- What are some advantages of this feature?
  - Given the restrictions above, are there any problems left with such an implementation of multiple inheritance? If so what are they? Propose a solution for each problem you have identified.

## Task 6

Consider the following declarations in Scala:

```
class C
trait T extends C
trait U extends C
class D extends C
```

Find all the types that can be created with or without traits, as well as the subtype relations between them.

## Task 7

Consider the following Scala code:

```
class Cell
{
  private var x:int = 0
  def get() = { x }
  def set(i:int) = { x=i }
}

trait Doubling extends Cell
{
  override def set(i:int) = { super.set(2*i) }
}

trait Incrementing extends Cell
{
  override def set(i:int) = { super.set(i+1) }
}
```

A) What is the difference between the following objects?

```
val a = new Cell
val b = new Cell with Incrementing
val c = new Cell with Incrementing with Doubling
val d = new Cell with Doubling with Incrementing
```

B) We use the following code to implement a cell that stores the argument of the set method multiplied by four:

```
val e = new Cell with Doubling with Doubling
```

Why doesn't it work? What does it do? How can we make it work?

C) Find a modularity problem in the above, or a similar, situation. Hint: a client that gets given a class C does not necessarily know if a trait T has been mixed in that class.

D) We propose the following solution to support traits together with behavioral subtyping: Assume C is a class with specification S. Each time we create a new trait T that extends C, we must ensure that C with T also satisfies S.

Show a counterexample that demonstrates that this approach does not work.