

# Exercise 4

## Behavioral Subtyping and Inheritance

October 21, 2016

### Task 1

Investigate the behavior of the following Java code:

```
interface I {};  
  
class C {};  
  
public class E2_1  
{  
    public static void main(String [] argv)  
    {  
        C c = new C();  
        I i = (I) c;  
    }  
}
```

Try to compile it. If it compiles, try to execute it. What happens? Why?

### Task 2

Consider the example in Slide 58 of the lecture 2:

```
class Number {  
    int n;  
  
    /// requires true  
    /// ensures n == p  
    void set(int p) { n = p; }  
}  
  
class UndoNaturalNumber extends Number {  
    int undo;  
  
    /// requires 0 < p  
    /// ensures n == p && undo == old(n)  
    void set(int p) { undo = n; n = p; }  
  
    /// requires true  
    /// ensures n == undo && undo == old(undo)  
    void reset() { n = undo; }  
}
```

where the invariants have been removed. Class `UndoNaturalNumber` is not a behavioral sub-type of `NaturalNumber`. One solution is to use specification inheritance. What are the effective pre/post-conditions of method `UndoNaturalNumber.set` according to the rules of Slides 68 and 72?

## Task 3 Behavioral Subtyping

Assume the following types in Java:

```
enum Shift {DayShift, NightShift, SpecialShift}

interface PostalWorker {
    boolean sick();

    ///ensures sick()
    void catchDisease();

    ///requires when == SpecialShift || when == DayShift
    ///requires !sick()
    int work(Shift when);
}

interface Bartender {
    boolean sick();

    ///ensures sick()
    void catchDisease();

    ///requires when == SpecialShift || when == NightShift
    ///requires !sick()
    int work(Shift when);
}
```

The `work()` method can be called in order to request that the corresponding person work the requested shift. The value returned by `work()` is the average hourly wage that was earned during the working shift including tips.

A) Now we introduce another interface:

```
interface HardWorker extends PostalWorker, Bartender {
    ///requires true
    int work(Shift when);
}
```

Assuming the improved rule for specification inheritance discussed in the course, what is the effective precondition of the `work()` method of the `HardWorker` interface?

B) Now we add postconditions to all `work()` methods. Everything else remains as before.

```
interface PostalWorker {
    ...
    ///ensures result ≥ 15 && result ≤ 25
    int work(Shift when);
}

interface Bartender {
    ...
    ///ensures result ≥ 20 && result ≤ 30
    int work(Shift when);
}

interface HardWorker extends PostalWorker, Bartender {
    ...
    ///ensures result ≥ 25 && result ≤ 50
    int work(Shift when);
}
```

Assuming the improved rules for specification inheritance, what is the effective postcondition of the `work()` method of `HardWorker`?

C) Consider the following code:

```
///requires worker != null
///requires !worker.sick()
int foo(HardWorker worker) {
    return worker.work(Shift.SpecialShift);
}
```

What is the range of possible return values of the `foo()` method?

D) Change the body of method `foo()` such that it calls the `work()` method of `worker` in a way that makes it possible for this call to return 50.

## Task 4

Consider the following Java method and its pre- and postcondition, where  $[0..n)$  denotes a right-open interval that includes 0 but excludes  $n$  (reminder: `final` parameters cannot be assigned to):

```
///requires  $0 < n \wedge xs \neq \text{null} \wedge 2*n < xs.length$ 
///ensures  $\forall i \in [0..n) :: xs[2*i] \neq 0$ 
void foo(final int n, final int[] xs)
```

Assume that method `foo` is overridden in a subclass, and that we do not use specification inheritance. Which of the following pre- and postconditions would **not** be allowed if the subclass should be a behavioral subtype?

- (a) **requires**  $0 < n \wedge xs \neq \text{null} \wedge 2*n < xs.length$   
**ensures**  $\forall i \in [0..n) :: xs[2*i] \geq n$
- (b) **requires**  $0 < n \wedge xs \neq \text{null}$   
**ensures**  $\forall i \in [0..n) :: xs[2*i] \geq i$
- (c) **requires**  $0 \leq n \wedge xs \neq \text{null} \wedge 2*n \leq xs.length$   
**ensures**  $\forall i \in [0..n) :: xs[2*i] \geq i+n$
- (d) **requires**  $0 \leq n \wedge xs \neq \text{null} \wedge 2*n \leq xs.length$   
**ensures**  $\forall i \in [0..n) :: xs[2*i] \geq n$
- (e) All of the above would be allowed

## Task 5

Consider two classes `Stack` and `Queue`, implementing the standard LIFO/FIFO data structures, both of which have methods with the following signatures:

```
void push(Object o);
Object pop();
bool isEmpty();
int size();
void reverse();
```

A) Despite having identical signatures, these two classes cannot be behavioral subtypes of one another. Why not?

B) When implementing these two classes, is there any possibility of code reuse? If so, give details.

C) Describe at least one way of reusing the code in one class by the other - which programming language features are needed for this to work?

## Task 6

Suppose that we have a database, for which we want an “automated key generation” feature. This means that each time the user inserts a new tuple, a unique key is automatically generated for the tuple by the system. An obvious way to do that is to write a counter, which increments by 1 the value that it returns each time it is called. The method that generates a new key is called `generate`.

A) Write a Java class `IncCounter` and an accompanying specification for such a counter.

B) Annotate the following Java class with specifications and show that it is not a behavioural subtype of `IncCounter`.

```
class DecCounter
{
    int key;
    DecCounter () { key = 0; }
    int generate () { return key--; }
}
```

C) Write an abstract class `GenerateUniqueKey` together with a specification, such that both `IncCounter` and `DecCounter` are behavioural subtypes of `GenerateUniqueKey`. In the specification, you may use helper methods and fields.