

# Homework # 5

## due March 25, 13:00

Please turn in §2 on paper and §3 electronically. The skeleton file `stlc.slf.SKEL` is available on the course web page.

## 1 Reading

Please read Chapter 9 in the textbook.

## 2 Discussion

The first attempts to define type rules often fail. Consider the following dubious type rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{T-VAR}A \\
 \hline
 x : T
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{T-ABS}A \\
 t : T \\
 \hline
 \lambda x. t : T \rightarrow T
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{T-APP}A \\
 t_1 : T \rightarrow T' \quad t_2 : T \\
 \hline
 (t_1 t_2) : T'
 \end{array}$$

... additional rules for booleans and “if” ...

1. Can one prove progress for closed terms for this type system? Explain! If not, give a counter-example.
2. What about preservation?
3. A type system can be sound but not useful. Are there simple, reasonable program that this type system rejects? Explain with examples.

## 3 Proofs

Complete the proof of the type safety of the simply-typed lambda calculus (STLC) using the skeleton provided. In particular:

**3.5.7'** Prove non-evaluation of values for STLC.

**9.3.1** Not needed: SASyLF's `inversion` suffices.

**9.3.2** Prove that if  $\Gamma \vdash tt : T$  then we have a contradiction.

**9.3.3** Done for you.

**9.3.4** Done for you.

**9.3.5** PROGRESS: You need to prove the application case.

**9.3.6** Not needed: SASyLF's `exchange` suffices.

**9.3.7** Not needed: SASyLF's `weakening` suffices.

**9.3.8** SUBSTITUTION

**9.3.9** PRESERVATION