

Exercise 11 (Solution)

Exercise 1

Recall from the lecture that the pointer analysis abstract domain is defined as:

$$\text{Labs} \rightarrow ((\text{PtrVar} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{AbsObj})) \times (\text{AbsObj} \times \text{Field} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{AbsObj})))$$

, where the abstract domain keeps two maps at every program label. The first map contains a mapping from a pointer variable to a set of abstract objects. The second map contains a mapping from the fields of abstract objects to the set of abstract objects they point to.

- Write down the formal definition for all the abstract transformers that capture the effect of program statements manipulating pointers on the abstract domain:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(object creation)} & p := \text{newObject}^l \\ \text{(compare two pointers)} & p = q \\ \text{(pointer assignment)} & p := q \\ \text{(pointer heap store)} & p.f := q \\ \text{(pointer heap load)} & p := q.f \end{array}$$

Solution: Let (m_p, m_h) be a tuple where m_p is a pointer map and m_h is a heap map, pointed to by some label l . The notation $m[x \mapsto y]$ denotes the map m modified to yield y when applied to x .

Object creation:

$$\llbracket p := \text{newObject}^l \rrbracket(m_p, m_h) = (m_p[p \mapsto l], m_h)$$

Comparing two pointers:

$$\llbracket p = q \rrbracket(m_p, m_h) = (m_p[p \mapsto m_p(p) \cap m_p(q), q \mapsto m_p(p) \cap m_p(q)], m_h)$$

Pointer assignment:

$$\llbracket p := q \rrbracket(m_p, m_h) = (m_p[p \mapsto m_p(q)], m_h)$$

Pointer heap store (weak-update):

$$\llbracket p.f := q \rrbracket(m_p, m_h) = (m_p, m_h[a.f \mapsto m_h(a.f) \cup m_p(q) \mid a \in m_p(p)])$$

Pointer heap load:

$$\llbracket p := q.f \rrbracket(m_p, m_h) = (m_p[p \mapsto \bigcup_{x \in m_p(q)} m_h(x.f)], m_h)$$

- Define:

1. the partial order \sqsubseteq

Solution:

$$((m_{p1}, m_{h1}) \sqsubseteq (m_{p2}, m_{h2}))(l) \leftrightarrow \lambda p. m_{p1}[p](l) \subseteq m_{p2}[p](l) \wedge \lambda(a.f). m_{h1}[a.f](l) \subseteq m_{h2}[a.f](l)$$

2. the least (\perp) and greatest (\top) elements

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \perp(l) &= (m_p^\perp, m_h^\perp) \text{ where } \begin{cases} m_p^\perp = \lambda p. \emptyset \\ m_h^\perp = \lambda(a.f). \emptyset \end{cases} \\ \top(l) &= (m_p^\top, m_h^\top) \text{ where } \begin{cases} m_p^\top = \lambda p. \text{AbsObj} \\ m_h^\top = \lambda(a.f). \text{AbsObj} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3. the meet \sqcap

Solution:

$$((m_{p1}, m_{h1}) \sqcap (m_{p2}, m_{h2}))(l) = (m_p, m_h) \text{ where } \begin{cases} m_p = \lambda p. m_{p1}[p](l) \cap m_{p2}[p](l) \\ m_h = \lambda(a.f). m_{h1}[a.f](l) \cap m_{h2}[a.f](l) \end{cases}$$

4. the join \sqcup

Solution:

$$((m_{p1}, m_{h1}) \sqcup (m_{p2}, m_{h2}))(l) = (m_p, m_h) \text{ where } \begin{cases} m_p = \lambda p. m_{p1}[p](l) \cup m_{p2}[p](l) \\ m_h = \lambda(a.f). m_{h1}[a.f](l) \cup m_{h2}[a.f](l) \end{cases}$$

Exercise 2

You are given the following program:

```

0:  c = newObject T;
1:  t = c;
2:  i = 0;
3:  while (i < count) {
4:    n = newObject T;
5:    c.f = n;
6:    c = n;
7:    i++;
8:  }
```

```

9:  c.f = t;
10: assert t != n;

```

1) Run the flow-sensitive pointer analysis from the lecture on it.

Solution:

```

0:  c = newObject T;
    { t->{}, c->{A0}, n->{} }
1:  t = c;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0}, n->{} }
2:  i = 0;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0}, n->{} }
3:  while (i < count) {
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0, A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
4:    n = newObject T;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0, A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
5:    c.f = n;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0, A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
6:    c = n;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
7:    i++;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
8:  }
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0,A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A4}, A4.f->{A4} }
9:  c.f = t;
    { t->{A0}, c->{A0,A4}, n->{A4}, A0.f->{A0,A4}, A4.f->{A0,A4} }
10: assert t != n;

```

2) Can you prove the assertion on line 10 using the results of the analysis?

Solution: No, since variables `t` and `n` could be both null.

Exercise 3

Write a program for which the flow-sensitive pointer analysis from the lecture infers the following abstract state at the end of the program:

```

{ a->{A0}, b->{A0,A1}, A0.f->{A0}, A1.f->{A0} }

```

Solution:

```

0:  a = newObject T;
    { a->{A0} }
1:  b = newObject T;
    { a->{A0}, b->{A1} }

```

```

2: if (*) {
    { a->{A0}, b->{A1} }
3:   b = a;
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0} }
4: }
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0,A1} }
5: b.f = a;
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0,A1}, A0.f->{A0}, A1.f->{A0} }

```

Exercise 4

Run both the flow-sensitive and the flow-insensitive pointer analysis on the following program:

```

0: a = newObject T;
1: b = a;
2: if (a == b) {
3:   b = newObject T;
4: } else {
5: }

```

Solution:

1) Flow-sensitive pointer analysis:

```

0: a = newObject T;
    { a->{A0} }
1: b = a;
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0} }
2: if (a == b) {
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0} }
3:   b = newObject T;
    { a->{A0}, b->{A3} }
4: } else {
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0} }
5: }
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0,A3} }

```

2) Flow-insensitive pointer analysis:

```

0: a = newObject T;
1: b = a;
2: if (a == b) {
3:   b = newObject T;
4: } else {
5: }
    { a->{A0}, b->{A0,A3} }

```