

Semantics of Programming Languages

Operational Semantics

Prof. Peter Müller

Software Component Technology

2. Operational Semantics

2.1 Big-Step Semantics

2.2 Small-Step Semantics

2.3 Equivalence

2.4 Applications of Operational Semantics

Semantic Functions

- The meaning of statements can be expressed as a **partial function** from State to State:

$$\mathcal{S}_{NS} : \text{Stm} \rightarrow (\text{State} \hookrightarrow \text{State})$$
$$\mathcal{S}_{NS}[[s]]\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{SOS} : \text{Stm} \rightarrow (\text{State} \hookrightarrow \text{State})$$
$$\mathcal{S}_{SOS}[[s]]\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- The semantic functions are well-defined because the semantics are deterministic

Equivalence Theorem

Theorem: For every statement of IMP we have $\mathcal{S}_{NS}[[s]] = \mathcal{S}_{SOS}[[s]]$

- ▶ If the execution of s from some state terminates in one of the semantics then it also terminates in the other and the resulting states will be equal
- ▶ If the execution of s from some state loops in one of the semantics then it will also loop in the other

Equivalence Lemma 1

Lemma: For every statement s of IMP and states σ and σ' we have

$$\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \Rightarrow \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$$

- ▶ If the execution of s from σ terminates in the natural semantics then it will terminate in the same state in the structural operational semantics
- ▶ The proof runs by induction on the shape of the derivation tree for $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

Induction Base

- ▶ Case assign-axiom:

The derivation tree is the axiom instance

$$\langle x := e, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[e]]\sigma].$$

From the SOS rule we get

$$\langle x := e, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow_1 \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[e]]\sigma]$$

- ▶ Case skip-axiom: Analogously
- ▶ Case while-rule ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = ff$): Analogously

Induction Step: Seq. Composition

► Case sequence-rule:

The root of the derivation tree is $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$.

- There are derivation trees for $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_0$ and $\langle s_2, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ for some state σ_0
- By the induction hypothesis, we get $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma_0$ and $\langle s_2, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$
- By Exercise 16, we get $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \langle s_2, \sigma_0 \rangle$
- Finally, $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \langle s_2, \sigma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle s_2, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$ imply $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$

Induction Step: **if**

- Case if-rule ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = tt$):

The root of the derivation tree is

$$\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

- There is a derivation tree for $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- By $\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = tt$ and the induction hypothesis, we get
 $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$

- Case if-rule ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = ff$): Analogously

Induction Step: while

► Case while-rule ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = tt$):

The root of the derivation tree is

$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

- There are derivation trees for $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_0$ and $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ for some state σ_0
- By the induction hypothesis, we get $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma_0$ and $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$
- We derive:

$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1$ [while-rule]

$\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s ; \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1$ [$\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = tt$]

$\langle s ; \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^*$ [Exercise 16]

$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma'$

Equivalence Lemma 2

Lemma: For every statement s of IMP, states σ and σ' , and natural number k we have that

$$\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^k \sigma' \Rightarrow \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

- ▶ If the execution of s from σ terminates in the structural operational semantics then it will terminate in the same state in the natural semantics
- ▶ The proof runs by induction on the length of the derivation sequence for $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^k \sigma'$, that is, by induction on k
- ▶ Induction base: For $k = 0$, the result holds trivially

Induction Step

- ▶ Assume that lemma holds for $k \leq m$
- ▶ Prove that lemma holds for $m + 1$:
$$\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^{m+1} \sigma' \Rightarrow \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$
- ▶ We consider the first step of the derivation sequence
$$\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \gamma \rightarrow_1^m \sigma' \Rightarrow \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$
- ▶ We inspect the derivation tree for the first step
$$\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \gamma$$

Induction Step (cont'd)

► Case assign-axiom

- We have $\langle x := e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[e]]\sigma]$
- In this case $\gamma = \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[e]]\sigma] = \sigma'$ is a state and $m = 0$
- From the NS-axiom we get $\langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

► Case skip-axiom: Analogously

► Case Sequential composition

- We have $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^{m+1} \sigma'$
- There are a state σ'' and numbers k_1, k_2 such that $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^{k_1} \sigma''$ and $\langle s_2, \sigma'' \rangle \rightarrow_1^{k_2} \sigma'$ where $k_1 + k_2 = m + 1$
- By the induction hypothesis, we get $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ and $\langle s_2, \sigma'' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- By the NS-rule, we get $\langle s_1 ; s_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

Induction Step (cont'd)

- ▶ Case if-axiom ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = tt$)
 - We have $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^m \sigma'$
 - By the induction hypothesis, we get $\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
 - By the NS-rule, we get $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- ▶ Case if-axiom ($\mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = ff$): Analogously
- ▶ Case while-axiom
 - We have $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s ; \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^m \sigma'$
 - By the induction hypothesis, we get $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } s ; \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
 - By the lemma about unfolding loops, we get $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } s \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

Equivalence Theorem: Proof

$$\mathcal{S}_{NS}[[s]]\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{SOS}[[s]]\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle s, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \sigma' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- We have proved: $\mathcal{S}_{NS}[[s]]\sigma = \sigma' \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{SOS}[[s]]\sigma = \sigma'$
- This is sufficient to prove $\mathcal{S}_{NS}[[s]] = \mathcal{S}_{SOS}[[s]]$ because one function is defined iff the other is defined

Equivalence: Summary

- ▶ The natural semantics and structural operational semantics are equivalent
 - Proof of Lemma 1 runs by induction on the shape of the derivation tree
 - Proof of Lemma 2 runs by induction on the length of the derivation sequence
- ▶ For extended languages, different formalization of the equivalence theorem could be necessary
 - Non-deterministic languages
 - Consider only finite derivation sequences that end in terminal configurations