## Formal Methods and Functional Programming

## Session Sheet 10: IMP States and Expressions

## Assignment 1 (Simplifying State Updates)

Task 1.1: Prove that for all states $\sigma$ and variables $x$, it holds that $\sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(x)]=\sigma$.
Solution. Note that no induction is necessary here. We assume the state $\sigma$ and the variable $x$ be arbitrary and need to show that $\forall y \cdot \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(x)](y)=\sigma(y)$. To do so, we assume the variable $y$ to be arbitrary. Using the definition of state update, we get

$$
\sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(x)](y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma(x) & \text { if } y \equiv x \\
\sigma(y) & \text { if } y \not \equiv x
\end{array}\right\}=\sigma(y) .
$$

Task 1.2: Assume that for all states $\sigma$, for all variables $x, y$, and for all values $v, w$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \not \equiv y \Longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v][y \mapsto w]=\sigma[y \mapsto w][x \mapsto v] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this statement is left for the exercise sheet.
Prove that for all variables $x$, for all values $v$, for all natural numbers $n$, for all sequences of length $n$ of variables $\vec{y} \equiv\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\rangle$ and corresponding values $\vec{w} \equiv\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$, and for all states $\sigma$ :

$$
x \notin \vec{y} \Longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v][\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}]=\sigma[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}][x \mapsto v] .
$$

Note: By $x \notin \vec{y}$, we mean that $x \not \equiv y_{i}$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Note: We use $\sigma[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}]$ to denote the sequence of updates $\sigma\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right] \ldots\left[y_{n} \mapsto w_{n}\right]$.
Solution. Let $x$ and $v$ be arbitrary and let

$$
P(n) \equiv \forall \sigma, \vec{y}, \vec{w} \cdot|\vec{y}|=|\vec{w}|=n \wedge x \notin \vec{y} \Longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v][\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}]=\sigma[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}][x \mapsto v],
$$

where $|\vec{y}|$ and $|\vec{w}|$ denote the length of the sequences $\vec{y}$ and $\vec{w}$, respectively. We show $\forall n \cdot P(n)$ by weak induction on $n$.

- Base Case: We have to show that $P(0)$ holds. Let $\sigma, \vec{y}$, and $\vec{w}$ be arbitrary. Since $n=0$, the sequences $\vec{y}$ and $\vec{w}$ can only be empty. Thus, after assuming (the vacuous property) $x \notin \vec{y}$, we are left with showing that $\sigma[x \mapsto v]=\sigma[x \mapsto v]$, which is trivially true.
- Step Case: As our induction hypothesis, we assume that $P(n)$ holds for some natural number $n$. We have to show that $P(n+1)$ holds. Let $\sigma$ be arbitrary, and let $\vec{y}$, and $\vec{w}$ be arbitrary sequences of length $n+1$. We need to show that

$$
x \notin \vec{y} \Longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v][\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}]=\sigma[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}][x \mapsto v] .
$$

We assume $x \notin \vec{y}$ and seek to prove $\sigma[x \mapsto v][\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}]=\sigma[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{w}][x \mapsto v]$. Since the sequences are of length at least 1 , there have to be first elements $y_{1}$ and $w_{1}$, respectively. By (1), with $x \notin \vec{y} \Longrightarrow x \not \equiv y_{1}$, we obtain $\sigma[x \mapsto v]\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right]=\sigma\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right][x \mapsto v]$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma[x \mapsto v]\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right]\left[y_{2} \mapsto w_{2}\right] \ldots\left[y_{n+1} \mapsto w_{n+1}\right] \\
= & \sigma\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right][x \mapsto v]\left[y_{2} \mapsto w_{2}\right] \ldots\left[y_{n+1} \mapsto w_{n+1}\right] \\
= & \sigma\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right]\left[y_{2} \mapsto w_{2}\right] \ldots\left[y_{n+1} \mapsto w_{n+1}\right][x \mapsto v], \tag{IH}
\end{align*}
$$

as required (note that in the last step, we instantiated $P(n)$ with $\sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma\left[y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}\right]$, and $\vec{y} \rightsquigarrow\left\langle y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n+1}\right\rangle, \vec{w} \rightsquigarrow\left\langle w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n+1}\right\rangle$, which are both sequences of length $n$ ).

## Assignment 2 (Substitution on Arithmetic Expressions)

Intuitively, $e\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right]$ denotes the arithmetic expression $e$ with all occurrences of $x$ replaced with the arithmetic expression $e^{\prime}$. Recall the formal definition:

$$
e\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] \equiv \begin{cases}n & \text { if } e \equiv n \text { for some numerical value } n \\ e^{\prime} & \text { if } e \equiv y \text { for some variable } y \text { with } y \equiv x \\ y & \text { if } e \equiv y \text { for some variable } y \text { with } y \not \equiv x \\ e_{1}\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] \text { op } e_{2}\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] & \text { if } e \equiv e_{1} \text { op } e_{2}, \text { for some } e_{1}, e_{2}, \text { and } o p\end{cases}
$$

Task: Prove the following statement:

$$
\forall \sigma, e, e^{\prime}, x \cdot\left(\mathcal{A} \llbracket e\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket e \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right)\right)
$$

Hint: Define a suitable predicate $P(e)$ and prove $\forall e \cdot P(e)$ by either weak structural induction or strong structural induction on the arithmetic expression $e$. If you choose to do a strong structural induction, you have to prove $P(e)$ for some arbitrary $e$ and may assume $\forall e^{\prime \prime} \sqsubset e \cdot P\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as your induction hypothesis. Note that, here, $e^{\prime \prime} \sqsubset e$ denotes that $e^{\prime \prime}$ is a proper sub-expression of $e$. Since arithmetic expressions are finite, the relation $\sqsubset$ is a well-founded ordering. Thus, strong structural induction on arithmetic expressions can be seen as a special case of well-founded induction.

Solution. Let $\sigma, x$ and $e^{\prime}$ be arbitrary. We define

$$
P(e) \equiv\left(\mathcal{A} \llbracket e\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket e \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right)\right)
$$

and prove $\forall e . P(e)$ by strong structural induction on $e$ (note that a weak structural induction would also work). We have to show $P(e)$ for some arbitrary arithmetic expression $e$ and assume $\forall e^{\prime \prime} \sqsubset e \cdot P\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as our induction hypothesis. We proceed by a case analysis on $e$ :

- Case $e \equiv n$, for some numerical value $n$ : We have

$$
\mathcal{A} \llbracket n\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket n \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{N} \llbracket n \rrbracket=\mathcal{A} \llbracket n \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) .\right.
$$

- Case $e \equiv y$, for some variable $y$ : We make a further case distinction:
- Subcase $y \equiv x$ : We have

$$
\mathcal{A} \llbracket x\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma=\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right)(y)=\mathcal{A} \llbracket x \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) .\right.
$$

- Subcase $y \not \equiv x$ : We have

$$
\mathcal{A} \llbracket y\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket y \rrbracket \sigma=\sigma(y)=\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right)(y)=\mathcal{A} \llbracket y \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) .\right.
$$

- Case $e \equiv e_{1} o p e_{2}$, for some arithmetic expressions $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and some arithmetic operator op: Note that $e_{1} \sqsubset e$ and $e_{2} \sqsubset e$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \llbracket e_{i}\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right] \rrbracket \sigma=\mathcal{A} \llbracket e_{i} \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, and can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} \llbracket\left(e_{1} \text { op } e_{2}\right)\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma\right. & =\mathcal{A} \llbracket\left(e_{1}\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket o p \quad e_{2}\left[x \mapsto e^{\prime}\right]\right) \rrbracket \sigma\right. \\
& =\mathcal{A} \llbracket e_{1}\left[x \mapsto e ^ { \prime } \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma \overline { o p } \mathcal { A } \llbracket e _ { 2 } \left[x \mapsto e^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \sigma\right.\right. \\
& \stackrel{(? ?)}{=} \mathcal{A} \llbracket e_{1} \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) \overline{o p} \mathcal{A} \llbracket e_{2} \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) \\
& =\mathcal{A} \llbracket\left(e_{1} \text { op } e_{2}\right) \rrbracket\left(\sigma\left[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket \sigma\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assignment 3 (Big-Step Semantics)

Let $s$ be the following statement:

```
y := 1;
while x > 0 do
    y := y * 2;
    x := x - 1
end
```

Task 3.1. What function does the IMP statement $s$ compute when the variable x initially stores a non-negative integer?

Solution. The statement $s$ stores $2^{X}$ in variable y where $X$ is the initial value of variable x . The variable x is set to 0 by executing the statement $s$.

Task 3.2. Let $\sigma$ be a state with $\sigma(\mathrm{x})=2$. Prove that there is a state $\sigma^{\prime}$ with $\sigma^{\prime}(\mathrm{y})=4$ such that $\langle s, \sigma\rangle \rightarrow \sigma^{\prime}$ using the rules of the big-step semantics for IMP.

## Solution.

We use the following abbreviations: $s^{\prime}$ is the statement $\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{y} * 2 ; \mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{x}-1$ and $s_{w}$ the statement while $\mathrm{x}>0$ do $s^{\prime}$ end. Moreover, we abbreviate a state $\sigma\left[\mathrm{x}_{1} \mapsto v_{1}\right] \ldots\left[\mathrm{x}_{k} \mapsto v_{k}\right]$ as $\sigma\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{k} \mapsto v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$. Finally, we also use the simplifying properties of state updates from task 1 and the fact that $\mathrm{x} \not \equiv \mathrm{y}$.

$\langle s, \sigma\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4] \quad$ (SEQNS)
$(s, \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma[x, y \mapsto 0,4]$
where $T_{1}$ is the derivation tree:

[^0]
[^0]:    $\underline{\left\langle s^{\prime}, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 1,2]\right\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]}$
    $\overline{\left\langle s_{w}, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]\right\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]}\left(\mathrm{WHF}_{N S}\right)$
    $\left\langle s_{w}, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 1,2]\right\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4] \quad\left\langle s_{w}, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]\right\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]\left(\mathrm{WHT}_{N S}\right)$
    $\overline{\langle\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{y} * 2, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 1,2]\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 1,4]}\left(\mathrm{ASS}_{N S}\right) \quad \overline{\langle\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{x}-1, \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 1,4]\rangle \rightarrow \sigma[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mapsto 0,4]}\left(\operatorname{ASS}_{N S}\right)$

