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## Introduction

Let $M$ be a connected surface of finite topological type ( $g, p, f$ ) i.e. $M$ is obtained by removing $p$ points and $f$ topological discs from a compact surface of genus $g \geqq 0$. We denote by $\mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ the space of isometry classes of complete metrics of curvature -1 on $M$.

The Laplace operator $\Delta$ of a surface $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ acts on $C_{00}^{\infty}(S)$ the space of $C^{\infty}$-functions with compact support and has a unique extension to an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(S)$. The essential spectrum of $\Delta$ is contained in $[1 / 4,+\infty)$ so that $\operatorname{Spec} A \cap[0,1 / 4)$ consists only of eigenvalues (see [DPRS] and 1.2). Moreover there are at most $4 g+2 p+3 f-2$ eigenvalues of $\Delta$ in $[0,1 / 4)$ and there exists a positive constant $\beta$ only depending on ( $g, p, f$ ) such that the number of eigenvalues in $[0, \beta]$ is at most $2 g+p+f-2$.

The aim of this work is to determine the behaviour of Spec $\Delta_{s}$ near 0 in function of $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$. For this we cover the infinite part of $\mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ by a finite number of "cusp neighborhoods". Each neighborhood is canonicaly associated to a finite graph. Then we show that the first order behaviour of $\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{\mathrm{s}} \cap[0, \varepsilon]$ for $S$ in such a neighborhood is given by the spectrum of a combinatorial Laplacian (see Theor. 1.1 and Theor. 1.2). Partial results in this direction were obtained by B. Colbois [B.C.], P. Gall [P.G.] and myself [B]. Such results were used by B. Colbois and Y. Colin de Verdière [C, CdV] to construct examples of surfaces whose second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ has large multiplicity. They obtain for all $g \geqq 2$ examples of compact surfaces with genus $g$ and multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}$ of size $\sqrt{8 g} / 2$. Known bounds on the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}$ (for small $\lambda_{2}$ ) are deduced from the fact that there are at most $2 g-2+p+f$ small eigenvalues [DPRS]. It follows also from the work of G. Besson [G.B.] that if $S$ is of signature $(g, p, f)$ then $4 g+3$ is a bound for the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}$.

We will apply our result on the behaviour of small eigenvalues to reduce the problem of bounding the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}$ (for $\lambda_{2}$ small) to the problem of bounding the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a weighted graph. The later problem will be discussed in part 2 of our paper.

[^0]The output of this method is that we can bound the number of eigenvalues in very small intervals around $\lambda_{2}(S)$ by $\frac{2}{3}[2 g-2+p+f]+2$ (see Coroll. 1.1, 1.2). In particular this gives a non-trivial bound on the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}(S)$ for $\lambda_{2}$ smaller than a constant only depending on ( $g, p, f$ ).

## 1 Behaviour of small eigenvalues

### 1.1 Statement of the results

Let $S$ be a Riemann surface of signature ( $g, p, f$ ) with $2 g-2+p+f \geqq 1$. Denote by

$$
L S p(S)=\left\{l_{1} \leqq l_{2} \leqq \ldots\right\}
$$

the length spectrum of $S$ i.e. the set of lengths of closed geodesics counted according to their multiplicity. Let $r(S)=l_{1}(S)$. The statement of the behaviour of small eigenvalues of $S$ depends on a description of the set of surfaces $S$ in $\mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ for which $r(S)$ is small. To do this we now define the cusp neighborhoods in $\mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$.

Cusp neighborhood: given a Riemann surface $S$ we call partition of $S$ any subset $A \subset S$ which is the union of simple closed pairwise non-intersecting geodesics. To such a partition $A \subset S$ we associate a pair ( $\mathscr{G}, \omega$ ) consisting of a graph $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ and a function $\omega: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{3}$ defined in the following way: the set of vertices $V$ is the set of connected components of $S \backslash A$. Each geodesic $\gamma \subset A$ is represented by an edge $e \in E$ connecting the vertices corresponding to the components of $S \backslash A$ joined by $\gamma$.

The function $\omega: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{3}$ associates to a vertex $v \in V$ the signature $\left(g_{v}, p_{v}, f_{v}\right)$ of the component represented by $v$.

Given ( $g, p, f$ ) with $2 g-2+p+f \geqq 1$ it is easily verified that the pairs $(\mathscr{G}, \omega)$ arising in this way are completely characterised by the following properties:
1.) $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ is a connected graph
2.) $\omega: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is a map such that $\omega(v)=\left(g_{v}, p_{v}, f_{v}\right)$ verifies $2 g_{v}-2+p_{v}+f_{v} \geqq 0$ with equality if and only if $\left(g_{v}, p_{v}, f_{v}\right)=(0,0,2)$.
3.) $\sum p_{v}=p, \quad \sum f_{v}=2|E|+f$
4.) Let $d: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the degree function of $\mathscr{G}$ where loops are counted twice. Then $d(v) \leqq f_{v}$ for all $v \in V$.
5.) $\sum g_{v}+\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})=g$ where $\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})$ is the first Betti number of $\mathscr{G}$.

Two pairs $(\mathscr{G}, \omega),(\mathscr{Z}, \alpha)$ are called isomorphic if the graphs $\mathscr{G} \cong \mathscr{Z}$ are isomorphic and the functions $\omega, \alpha$ correspond one to another under this isomorphism. Let us denote by $\mathscr{C}(g, p, f)$ the (finite) set of isomorphism classes of such pairs.

Given a Riemann surface $S$ and a partition $\Lambda \subset S$ we let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l(\Lambda) & =\max \{l(\gamma): \gamma \operatorname{simple} \text { closed, } \gamma \subset \Lambda\} \\
L(\Lambda) & =\min \{2 \operatorname{arcsh} 1, l(\eta): \eta \text { closed geodesic } \eta \cap A=\emptyset\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $[\mathscr{G}, \omega] \in \mathscr{C}(g, p, f)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we define $V_{\varepsilon}[\mathscr{G}, \omega] \subset \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ as the set of Riemann surfaces $S$ such that there exists a partition $\Lambda \subset S$ with associated pair
isomorphic to $(\mathscr{G}, \omega)$ and $l(\Lambda) / L(\Lambda)<\varepsilon$, modulo the relation identifying isometric surfaces.

The fact that there are at most $3 g-3+p+2 f$ simple closed geodesics of length smaller than 2 arcsh 1 (see 1.2) has the following easy consequence:

$$
\{S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f): r(S)<\varepsilon\} \subset \cup V_{\delta}[\mathscr{G}, \omega]
$$

where $\varepsilon<1, \delta^{3 g-3+p+2 f}=\varepsilon / 2$ arcsh 1 and the union is taken over all cusps $[\mathscr{G}, \omega] \in \mathscr{C}(g, p, f)$. In particular if $f=0$ the complement of the union of all cusp neighborhoods is compact in $\mathscr{M}(g, p, 0)$.
Behaviour of small eigenvalues: Let $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$. We denote by $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{k}$ the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{S}$ in $[0,1 / 4)$. For later purpose we also define:

$$
\lambda_{k+1}=\inf \left\{\lambda: \lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{s} \cap\left(\lambda_{k}, \infty\right)\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $S$ is a surface representing an element in $V_{\varepsilon}[\mathscr{G}, \omega]$. Then $S$ defines on the edge set $E$ of $\mathscr{G}$ an obvious length function $l: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$and a function $m: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $m(v)=2 g_{v}-2+p_{v}+f_{v}$ if $v$ corresponds to a component of finite volume and $m(v)=1$ otherwise.

In this way we obtain a weighted graph $G=(\mathscr{G}, m, l)$ (see Chap. 2 for definitions) and a distinguished subset

$$
P=\left\{v \in V: d(v)<f_{v}\right\}
$$

representing the set of unbounded components. Let:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)<\lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{N}^{P}(G), \quad N=|V \backslash P|
$$

be the spectrum of $(G, P)$ as defined in 2.1.
Theorem 1.1. For all $S \in V_{\varepsilon}[\mathscr{G}, \omega]$ and all $\varepsilon<\alpha_{1}$ we have:

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(1-\alpha_{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) \leqq \frac{\lambda_{i}(S)}{\lambda_{i}^{P}(G)} \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(1+\alpha_{3} \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon\right)
$$

where $G$ is the weighted graph attached to $S, 1 \leqq i \leqq N, N=|V \backslash P|$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are positive constants only depending on ( $g, p, f$ ).
In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we will prove a slightly stronger result whose statement needs some preliminary remarks.

It is a fundamental result due to [SWY] in the compact case and [DPRS] in the general case that the size of eigenvalues of $\Delta$ in $[0,1 / 4)$ is controlled by the lengths of small closed geodesics. More precisely:
(a) There exists a positive constant $\beta=\beta(g, p, f)$ such that the number of eigenvalues of $\Delta$ in $[0, \beta]$ is at most $2 g+p+f-2$.
Fix $0<\mu \leqq 2 \operatorname{arcsh} 1$. Let $L_{j}(S)$ be the minimum sum of lengths of simple closed geodesics of length $\leqq \mu$ separating $S$ into $j+1$ components where we regard the union of all pieces of infinite volume as a single component.
(b) If $\lambda_{j}<1 / 4$ then $\beta_{1} L_{j}(S) \leqq \lambda_{j} \leqq \beta_{2} L_{j}(S)$
(c) If $\beta_{1} L_{j}(S)<1 / 4$ then $\Delta$ has at least $j$ eigenvalues in [0,1/4) and (b) holds. Here $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are positive constants which depend only on ( $\mathrm{g}, p, f, \mu$ ).

Let us draw a consequence of this Theorem. Define for $1 \leqq j \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ and $\delta>0$ :

$$
\mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}=\left\{S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f): \lambda_{j}(S)<\beta_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{j} / \lambda_{j+1} \leqq \delta\right\}
$$

where

$$
\beta_{3}=\min \left(\beta_{1}\left[4 \beta_{2}(3 g-3+p+2 f)\right]^{-1}, \beta_{1} \mu, 1 / 4\right)
$$

and for a surface $S$ :

$$
\text { Geod }(\varepsilon)=\{\gamma: \text { closed geodesic in } S \text { of length } l(\gamma) \leqq \varepsilon\}
$$

then we have:

## Lemma 0.

(a) Let $\delta<4 \beta_{3}$ and $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$. Then $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$ cuts $S$ into $j+1$ pieces exactly.
(b) Let $\varepsilon \leqq \beta$ and $\delta=(\varepsilon / \beta)^{\frac{1}{2 g+p+f-2}}$ then
$\left\{S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f): \Delta_{S}\right.$ has at least $k$ eigenvalues in $\left.(0, \varepsilon]\right\}$
is contained in $\bigcup_{j=k}^{2 g-2+p+f} \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$.
Proof. (a) That $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$ cuts $S$ into at least $j+1$ pieces follows from $L_{j}(S)$ $\leqq \lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}$. Suppose that there are more than $j+1$ pieces. Then $\beta_{2} L_{j+1}(S) \leqq(3 g$ $+p+2 f-3) \beta_{2} \lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}<1 / 4$ since there are at most $3 g+p+2 f-3$ closed geodesics of length smaller than $\mu$ (cf. 1.2). Thus $\lambda_{j+1}<1 / 4$ and $\lambda_{j+1} \leqq \beta_{2} L_{j+1}(S) \leqq(3 \mathrm{~g}$ $-3+p+2$ f) $\beta_{2} \lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}$ which contradicts the assumption that $\lambda_{j} / \lambda_{j+1} \leqq \delta<4 \beta_{3}$.
(b) Let $\operatorname{Spec} A \cap[0,1 / 4)=\left\{\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{k} \leqq \lambda_{k+1} \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{r}\right\}$. If $r \geqq 2 g-1$ $+p+f$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \left\{\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)^{2 g-2+p+f}: k \leqq j \leqq 2 g-2+p+f\right\} & \leqq \prod_{j=k}^{2 g-2+p+f}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{2 g-1+p+2 f}} \\
& \leqq \varepsilon / \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ for some $j \geqq k$.
If $r \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ an analogous argument shows that $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ for some $j \geqq k$ and $\delta^{2 g-2+p+f} \leqq 4 \varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon / \beta$. Q.E.D.
This being said we will prove
Theorem 1.2. Let $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ and $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$. Let $G$ be the weighted graph associated to the partition $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$. Then:

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(1-\alpha_{2} \sqrt{\delta}\right) \leqq \frac{\lambda_{i}(S)}{\lambda_{i}^{P}(G)} \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(1+\alpha_{3} \delta \ln \delta\right)
$$

for all $\delta \leqq \alpha_{1}$ and $1 \leqq i \leqq j$. Here $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are positive constants only depending on ( $g, p, f$ ).

Remark. 1.) In general $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ does not imply that $S$ belongs to the cusp neighborhood defined by the partition $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$.
2.) Let $S \in \mathscr{M}_{\varepsilon}[\mathscr{G}, \omega]$ and let $A$ be the corresponding partition. Let $j$ be the number of bounded components of $S \backslash A$. Then it is clear that for $\varepsilon$ small $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$. Moreover it is also easily checked that $\lambda_{j} / \lambda_{j+1} \leqq c \cdot \varepsilon$ where $c$ is some constant depending only on (g, p,f). This shows that $\mathscr{M}_{\varepsilon}[\mathscr{G}, \omega] \subset \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ where $\delta=c \cdot \varepsilon$. Since $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$ cuts $S$ into $j$ bounded components as does $\Lambda$, both associated weighted graphs have the same spectrum. This shows that Theor. 1.2 implies Theor. 1.1.
3.) Lemma 0 b.) and Theorem 1.2 show that for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small the first order behaviour of $\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{S} \cap[0, \varepsilon]$ is given by the spectrum of a weighted graph associated to some partition $\Lambda \subset S$.

In Chap. 2 we will obtain upper bounds on the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a weighted graph. These bounds together with Theor. 1.2. will imply the following
Corollary 1.1. Let $\varepsilon:[0,1 / 4] \rightarrow[0,1 / 4]$ be any function such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(x)=0$.
There exists a constant $c=c(g, p, f, \varepsilon)>0$ such that for all surfaces $S$ for which $\lambda_{2}(S)<c$ we have:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Spec} A_{S} \cap\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right| \leqq \frac{2}{3}[2 g-2+p+f]+2
$$

In particular the same bound holds for the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}(S)$.
Example. There exists a constant $K(g)>0$ and a sequence of compact surfaces $S_{n}$ of genus $g \geqq 2$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)=0$ and the number of eigenvalues in $\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\left(1+K \sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right]$ is at least $g-1$. These surfaces are modelled on a star on $g$ vertices (see Example 2.1) and all small geodesics have the same length. This example shows that the estimate of Corollary 1.1 has the true order of magnitude in $g$. However for the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}(S)$ it is conjectured that it does not exceed $\sqrt{g}$, at least if $S$ is compact (see [C, CdV]).

The next corollary shows that if the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(S), 2 \leqq i \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ are all of the same size then one has a bound on the number of eigenvalues in $\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right)\right]$ which depends only on the genus of $S$.
Corollary 1.2: Let $\varepsilon:[0,1 / 4] \rightarrow[0,1 / 4]$ be any function such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(x)=0$
and let $K>0$. Then there is a constant $c=c(\varepsilon, K, g, p, f)>0$ such that if $\lambda_{2}(S)<c$ and $\lambda_{2 \mathrm{~g}-2+p+f}(S)<K \lambda_{2}(S)$ we have:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{\mathrm{S}} \cap\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right| \leqq g+3 .
$$

### 1.2 Preliminaries

Here we collect some well-known facts about the geometry and the spectrum of geometrically finite Riemann surfaces.
1.2.1 Let $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$. Then according to [Bu] any closed geodesic of length $l \leqq 2 \operatorname{arcsh} 1$ is simple and there are at most $3 g-3+p+2 f$ simple closed geodesics of length $\leqq 2 \operatorname{arcsh} 1$.
Collar theorem (see [R, Bu]). Let $\gamma$ be a simple closed geodesic on $S$ of length $l=l(\gamma)$ and let $\mathrm{d}(p, \gamma)$ denote the distance of a point $p \in S$ to $\gamma$. Then:

$$
C_{\gamma}=\left\{p \in S: \operatorname{sh} \mathrm{d}(\gamma, p) \operatorname{sh} \frac{l}{2} \leqq 1\right\}
$$

is a topological cylinder isometric to

$$
\left[-d_{\gamma}, d_{y}\right] \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { with metric } \mathrm{d} x^{2}+l^{2} \operatorname{ch}^{2} x \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2} \quad \text { where } \operatorname{sh} d_{\gamma}=1 / \operatorname{sh} \frac{l}{2}
$$

Moreover if $\gamma, \eta$ are (simple) closed geodesics of length $l \leqq 2$ arcsh 1 then

$$
C_{\gamma} \cap C_{\eta}=\emptyset
$$

For more detailed information about the geometry of such surfaces we refer the reader to [DPRS] \&. 4, [Be, Bu].
1.2.2 Let $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ and $S_{0} \subset S$ be a connected surface with smooth compact boundary. The Laplacian $\Delta$ acts in the space of $C^{2}$-functions on $S_{0}$ which are with compact support and with vanishing normal derivative on $\partial S_{0}$. It has an extension to a self-adjoint operator $\Delta_{n}$ on $L^{2}\left(S_{0}\right)$. Then one proves exactly as in ([DPRS] Lemma 3.2) that the essential spectrum of $A_{n}$ is contained in $[1 / 4,+\infty)$. Suppose that each boundary component $\gamma \subset \partial S_{0}$ has a neighborhood which is isometric to

$$
[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { with metric } \mathrm{d} x^{2}+l^{2} \operatorname{ch}^{2} x \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}
$$

for some $l \leqq 2$ arcsh 1 and $b-a \geqq 1, b>a \geqq 0$. Then, along the same lines that in [DPRS] one can show that the small eigenvalues of $\Delta_{n}$ are controlled in terms of the small simple closed geodesics contained in $S_{0}$.

We introduce one further notation:
$\mu_{1}\left(S_{0}\right)$ is the infimum of the $L^{2}$-spectrum of $\Delta_{n}$. If $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{0}\right)<+\infty$ then $\mu_{1}\left(S_{0}\right)=0$ and $\mu_{2}\left(S_{0}\right)$ denotes the infimum of the $L^{2}$-spectrum of the operator $\Delta_{n}$ acting in the space of $L^{2}$-functions of mean zero.

### 1.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2: the upper bound

The upper bound of Theor. 1.2 follows essentially from work of B. Colbois and Y. Colin de Verdière. (see [C, CdV]). Our treatment differs from theirs in that it gives an improvement of a $\ln \varepsilon$-factor in the final result. We recall the main facts for the convenience of the reader.
1.3.1 Let $\varepsilon \leqq 2 \operatorname{arcsh} 1$ and $G_{\varepsilon}=(V, E, m, l)$ be the weighted graph associated to Geod (c). We identify $\mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ with a subspace of

$$
H^{1}(S)=\left\{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R},\|f\|_{2}+\|\nabla f\|_{2}<+\infty\right\}
$$

in the following way:
Let $a>0$ be such that $\operatorname{sh} a \operatorname{sh} \frac{\varepsilon}{2}=1$ and for $\gamma \in \operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$ define:

$$
C_{\gamma}(a)=\{p \in S: d(p, \gamma)<a\} \subset C_{\gamma} .
$$

Recall that if $S_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup S_{k}$ is the decomposition of $S \backslash \operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$ into connected components then:

$$
V=\left\{S_{i}: 1 \leqq i \leqq k\right\} .
$$

We denote by $S_{i}^{\prime}$ the complement in $S_{i}$ of all cylinders $C_{\gamma, a}$ meeting $S_{i}$. Given $F \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ we define $f \in H^{1}(S)$ as follows:

$$
\text { - } f(x)=F\left(S_{i}\right) \quad \text { for all } x \in S_{i}^{\prime}, \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq k
$$

Then $f$ is already defined on $\partial C_{\gamma, a}$ and we define $f$ on $C_{\gamma, a}$ to be the unique harmonic extension of this function.

This defines a subspace of $H^{1}(S)$ denoted by $H_{\varepsilon}(S)$. It is associated in a canonical way to Geod ( $\varepsilon$ ).

Using the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}_{P}[V] & \rightarrow H_{\varepsilon}(S) \\
F & \mapsto f
\end{aligned}
$$

we want to compare $Q(F)$ with $\|\nabla f\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\|F\|$ with $\|f\|_{2}$. In order to do this we have to establish some elementary estimates about harmonic functions on cylinders $C_{\gamma}(a)$.
1.3.2 Let $a>0, l>0$ and consider the cylinder $C=[-a, a] \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the metric $\mathrm{d} x^{2}+l^{2} \operatorname{ch}^{2} x \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}$. The volume element is $\mathrm{d} v(x, \theta)=l \operatorname{ch} x \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \theta$ and the Laplacian $\Delta=\partial_{x}^{2}+l^{-2} \mathrm{ch}^{-2} x \partial_{\theta}^{2}+\operatorname{th} x \partial_{x}$.

It is easy to verify that the harmonic function $f$ on $C$ with boundary values $c_{-}$on $\{-a\} \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{+}$on $\{a\} \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ is given by:

$$
f(x, \theta)=\frac{\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right)}{2}+\frac{\left(c_{+}-c_{-}\right)}{2} \frac{\operatorname{arcsinth} x}{\operatorname{arcsinth} a} .
$$

Lemma 1. (compare with [C, CdV] Prop. III.3).
Let $C_{+}=[0, a] \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $C_{-}=[-a, 0] \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have:
(a) $\|f\|_{2}^{2}<c_{+}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{+}\right)+c_{-}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{-}\right)$
(b)

$$
\|f\|_{2}^{2}>c_{+}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{+}\right)+c_{-}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{-}\right)-\frac{l a\left(c_{+}-c_{-}\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{arcsinth} a}
$$

(c)

$$
\|\nabla f\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{\left(c_{+}-c_{-}\right)^{2} l}{2 \operatorname{arcsinth} a}
$$

Proof (c) is obtained by explicit integration.
We prove (a) and (b):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{2}^{2}= & 2 \operatorname{sh} a \cdot l \cdot\left(\frac{c_{+}-c_{-}}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{2 l}{(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{+}-c_{-}}{2}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{a} \mathrm{~d} x \operatorname{ch} x(\operatorname{arcsinth} x)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \mathrm{~d} x \operatorname{ch} x(\operatorname{arcsinth} x)^{2}<\operatorname{sh} a(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2}
$$

which proves (a).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{a} \mathrm{~d} x \operatorname{ch} x(\operatorname{arcsinth} x)^{2} & =\operatorname{sh} a(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2}-2 \int_{0}^{a} \mathrm{~d} x \operatorname{th} x \operatorname{arcsinth} x \\
& >\operatorname{sh} a(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2}-2 a \operatorname{arcsinth} a
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (b). Q.E.D.

### 1.3.3 Let:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{R}_{P}[V] \rightarrow H_{\varepsilon}(S) \\
F \mapsto f
\end{gathered}
$$

be the map defined in 1.3.1. Then we have:

## Lemma 2.

(a) $\frac{1}{\pi} Q(F) \leqq\|\nabla f\|_{2}^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{\pi} Q(F)(1+c \cdot \varepsilon)$
(b) $\|f\|_{2}^{2} \leqq 2 \pi\|F\|^{2}$
(c) $\|f\|_{2}^{2} \geqq 2 \pi\|F\|^{2}(1-c \cdot \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon)$.

Here $c>0$ is some universal constant.
Proof. (a) and (b) follows immediately from Lemma 1 and the fact that $\operatorname{sh} a \operatorname{sh} \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ $=1$. To prove (c) we remark that Lemma 1 implies: •

$$
\|f\|^{2} \geqq 2 \pi\|F\|^{2}-Q(F) \frac{a}{\operatorname{arcsinth} a} .
$$

Now we have to bound $Q(F)$ :
Clearly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(F) & \leqq 2 \varepsilon \sum_{x, y \in V}\left(F(x)^{2}+F(y)^{2}\right) \\
& =4 \varepsilon \sum_{x \in V} F(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}(x)$ is the degree of the vertex $x$. Let $S_{x}$ be the surface of finite volume corresponding to $x$. Let $g_{x}$ be its genus, $p_{x}$ the number of cusps and $f_{x}$ the number of boundary geodesics. Then:

$$
\mathrm{d}(x)=f_{x}
$$

and we have by Gauss-Bonnet:

$$
m(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{x}\right)=2 g_{x}-2+p_{x}+f_{x}
$$

This quantity is always bigger or equal to $f_{x} / 3$ as is easily verified.
Thus:

$$
Q(F) \leqq 12 \varepsilon\|F\|^{2}
$$

On the other hand $a / \arcsin t h a \leqq c \cdot \ln \varepsilon$ where $c>0$ is some constant. This proves (c). Q.E.D.
1.3.4 The upper bound in Theor. 1.2 is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.

### 1.4 Proof of Theorem 1.2: the lower bound

1.4.1 The case of one separating geodesic. Let $S \in \mathscr{M}(g, p, f)$ and $F \subset S$ a surface with smooth compact boundary. We assume that there is a simple closed geodesic $\gamma \subset F$ of length $l \leqq 2$ arcsh 1 separating $F$ into two components $F_{1}, F_{2}$. We assume also that the cylinder $C_{\gamma}(a)$ is contained in $F$ for some $a \leqq d_{\gamma}$. (cf. 1.2.1)

Using a method introduced by Y. Colin de Verdière (cf. [C, CdV] Lemma PVP) we prove the following

Lemma 3. a.) Suppose $\operatorname{Vol}(F)<+\infty$ and $\mu_{2}(F)<\frac{1}{4}$. Then:

$$
\mu_{2}(F) \geqq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(F) l(\gamma)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{1}\right) \operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{2}\right)}\left[1-c l(\gamma)\left(1+\eta^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

where $\eta=\min \left(\mu_{2}\left(F_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(F_{2}\right)\right)$.
b.) Suppose $\operatorname{Vol}(F)=+\infty, \operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{1}\right)<+\infty$ and $\mu_{1}(F)<\frac{1}{4}$. Then:

$$
\mu_{1}(F) \geqq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{l(\gamma)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{1}\right)}\left[1-\operatorname{cl}(\gamma)\left(1+v^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

where $v=\min \left(\mu_{2}\left(F_{1}\right), \mu_{1}\left(F_{2}\right)\right)$.
In both cases $c$ is a constant only depending on a lower bound for $\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\nu}\right)$.
Proof. We prove a.) since the proof of $b$.) is the same.
Let $h \in H^{1}(F)$ such that $h$ is constant $=c_{i}$ on $F_{i} \backslash C_{\gamma}(a)$ and harmonic inside $C_{\gamma}(a)$. Set:

$$
c_{1}=\left[\operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{2}\right) / \operatorname{Vol}(F) \operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c_{2}=-\left[\operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{1}\right) / \operatorname{Vol}(F) \operatorname{Vol}\left(F_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

In particular we have using Lemma 1:

$$
\int_{F} h(x) \mathrm{d} v(x)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\|h\|_{2} \leqq 1
$$

Let $h=\varphi+\varphi_{\infty}$ where $\varphi$ is the orthogonal projection of $h$ on the eigenspace of $\Delta_{n}$ (cf. 1.2.2) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{2}(F)$ (recall that $\mu_{2}(F)<\frac{1}{4}$ ) and $\left\langle\varphi_{\infty}, \varphi\right\rangle=0$.

Let $C=C_{\gamma}(a)$. Then

$$
\int_{C}|\nabla h(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)=\|\nabla h\|_{2}^{2}=\mu_{2}\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{F}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) .
$$

Now:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{F}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) & =\int_{F}\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{\infty}(x), \nabla h(x)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} v(x) \\
& =\int_{\boldsymbol{C}}\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{\infty}(x), \nabla h(x)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} v(x) \\
& =\frac{l\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)}{2 \operatorname{arcsinth} a} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \int_{-a}^{a} \mathrm{~d} x \partial_{x} \varphi_{\infty}(x, \theta) \text { where } l=l(\gamma) \\
& =\frac{l\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)}{2 \operatorname{arcsinth}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left\{\varphi_{\infty}(a, \theta)-\varphi_{\infty}(-a, \theta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An integration by parts of $\int_{0}^{a} \partial_{x} f(x, \theta) d x$ where $f$ is any $C^{1}$-function gives the formula:

$$
l \operatorname{sh} a \int_{0}^{1} f(a, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=l \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \int_{0}^{a} \operatorname{ch} x f(x, \theta) \mathrm{d} x+l \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \int_{0}^{a} \operatorname{sh} x \partial_{x} f(x, \theta) \mathrm{d} x
$$

using that $\operatorname{sh} x<\operatorname{ch} x$ and applying Cauchy-Schwarz we obtain:

$$
\left|l \operatorname{sh} a \int_{0}^{1} f(a, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta\right| \leqq(l \operatorname{sh} a)^{1 / 2}\left\{\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(C \cap F_{2}\right)}+\|\nabla f\|_{L^{2}\left(C \cap F_{2}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Similarly

$$
\left|l \operatorname{sh} a \int_{0}^{1} f(-a, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta\right| \leqq(l \operatorname{sh} a)^{1 / 2}\left\{\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(C \cap F_{1}\right)}+\|\nabla f\|_{L^{2}\left(C \cap F_{1}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Applying this to $f=\varphi_{\infty}$ we obtain:

$$
\int_{F}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \leqq \frac{l\left|c_{2}-c_{1}\right|}{\operatorname{arcsinth} a} \frac{1}{(l \operatorname{sh} a)^{1 / 2}}\left\{\left\|\varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}\right\} .
$$

Now: $\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geqq \mu_{3}(F)\left\|\varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\mu_{3}(F) \geqq \min \left(\mu_{2}\left(F_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(F_{2}\right)\right)=\eta$. Thus:

$$
\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq \frac{\| c_{2}-c_{1} \mid \sqrt{2}}{\operatorname{arcsinth} a \operatorname{Vol}(C)^{1 / 2}}\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}\left(1+\eta^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\left\|\nabla \varphi_{\infty}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq \frac{2 l^{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)^{2}}{(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(C)}\left(1+\eta^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2}
$$

From this follows that:

$$
\int_{C}|\nabla h(x)|^{2} d v(x) \leqq \mu_{2}(F)+\frac{2 l^{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)^{2}}{(\operatorname{arcsinth} a)^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(C)}\left(1+\eta^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, Lemma 1 shows that:

$$
\int_{C}|\nabla h(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)=\frac{\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)^{2} l}{2 \operatorname{arcsinth} a}
$$

Putting everything together we obtain a.). Q.E.D.
Remark. For later applications it is crucial that the error term in Lemma 3 is of the form $l(\gamma) / \eta$. This means that the estimate is optimal as long as $\mu_{2}(F)$ is small when compared to $\min \left(\mu_{2}\left(F_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(F_{2}\right)\right)$. A similar statement holds for b.).
1.4.2 A modified graph. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 it is convenient to modify the graph $G_{\varepsilon}$ associated to $\operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$, keeping its spectrum fixed. This is done in the following way:

Let $\operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \subset \operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$ be the subset of those geodesics which connect two distinct components of $S \backslash \operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$ one of which at least is of finite volume. Let

$$
\left\{S_{v}: v \in V^{\prime}\right\}
$$

be the set of connected components of $S \backslash \operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$. Then $V^{\prime}$ is the vertex set of our new graph. We have a distinguished subset

$$
P^{\prime}=\left\{v \in V^{\prime}: \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)=+\infty\right\}
$$

and a weight function $m: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(v)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right) \text { if } \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)<+\infty \\
& m(v)=1 \quad \text { if } v \in P^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The edge set $E^{\prime}$ is identified with $\operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ and we get an obvious length function $l^{\prime}$ on $E^{\prime}$.

Let $G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, m, l^{\prime}\right)$. It is clear that the spectrum of $\left(G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ is the same than the spectrum of the pair $\left(G_{\varepsilon}, P\right)$.

Let $\delta \leqq 4 \beta_{3}$ and $\varepsilon=\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}, 1 \leqq j \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ and let $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$. Then we know by Lemma 0 that $\operatorname{Geod}(\varepsilon)$ cuts $S$ into $j+1$ pieces exactly where the union of all components of infinite volume is seen as one piece.

Let $T$ be a connected component of $S \backslash \mathrm{Geod}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$. About each boundary geodesic $\gamma \subset \partial T$ there is a half cylinder

$$
C_{\gamma}^{+}(a)=\{p \in T: d(p, \gamma) \leqq a\} \quad 0<a \leqq d_{\gamma}
$$

Lemma 4. There are positive constants $\alpha$, $\alpha^{\prime}$ depending only on $(g, p, f)$ such that if $\delta \leqq \alpha$ and $T^{\prime}$ is the surface obtained from $T$ by removing half-cylinders $C_{\gamma}^{+}(a), 0 \leqq a \leqq d_{\gamma}-1$ then:
(a) If $\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=+\infty: \mu_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}(S)$
(b) If $\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<+\infty: \mu_{2}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}(S)$.

Proof. (a) $\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=+\infty$. From the discussion in 1.2.2 it follows that

$$
\mu_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geqq \beta_{1} L_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right) .
$$

But:

$$
L_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+L_{j}(S) \geqq L_{j+1}(S) \geqq \beta_{2}^{-1} \lambda_{j+1}(S)
$$

in virtue of ([DPRS]).
On the other hand $L_{j}(S) \leqq \beta_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{j}(S) \leqq \beta_{1}^{-1} \delta \lambda_{j+1}(S)$. Thus:

$$
\mu_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geqq \beta_{1} L_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geqq \beta_{1}\left(\beta_{2}^{-1}-\beta_{1}^{-1} \delta\right) \lambda_{j+1}(S)
$$

which proves (a) for sufficiently small $\delta$.
(b) same proof. Q.E.D.
1.4.3 Fix $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$. Let $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ where $\delta \leqq 4 \beta_{3}$ and consider the graph $G_{\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}}^{\prime}$ defined in 1.4.2.

We define a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{1}(S) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{P^{\prime}}\left[V^{\prime}\right] \\
f & \mapsto F
\end{aligned}
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(v)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)} \int_{S_{v}} f(x) \mathrm{d} v(x) \quad \text { if } \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)<+\infty \\
& F(v)=0 \quad \text { if } v \in P^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $E_{j}$ be the subspace of $H^{1}(S)$ spanned by all eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{S}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda \leqq \lambda_{j}$.
Lemma 5. There are constants $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}>0$ only depending on ( $g, p, f$ ) such that if $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}$ and $0<\delta \leqq \alpha$ we have:

$$
2 \pi\|F\|^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{\prime} \delta\right) \geqq\|f\|_{2}^{2} \geqq 2 \pi\|F\|^{2}
$$

for all $f \in E_{j}$.
Proof. Let $v \in V^{\prime}$, and $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)<+\infty$. Then we have:

$$
\int_{s_{v}}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{2}\left(S_{v}\right) \int_{S_{v}}[f(x)-F(v)]^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)
$$

and if $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)=+\infty:$

$$
\int_{S_{v}}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{1}\left(S_{v}\right) \int_{S_{v}} f(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) .
$$

By Lemma 4 :

$$
\mu_{2}\left(S_{v}\right) \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}(S) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{1}\left(S_{v}\right) \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}(S)
$$

Summing over $v \in V^{\prime}$ we obtain:

$$
\lambda_{j}\|f\|_{2}^{2} \geqq \int_{S}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}\left\{\|f\|_{2}^{2}-2 \pi\|F\|^{2}\right\}
$$

which proves the upper bound for $\|f\|_{2}^{2}$. The lower bound follows from CauchySchwarz. Q.E.D.
1.4.4 A lower bound for $\|\nabla f\|_{2}^{2}$. Let $S$ be a geometrically finite surface and $f \in L^{1}(S)$. For each subset $A \subset S$ of positive volume we define:

$$
f(A)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(A)} \int_{A} f(x) \mathrm{d} v(x)
$$

in particular $f(A)=0$ if $\operatorname{Vol}(A)=+\infty$. Then we have:
Lemma 6. Let $A, B$ be surfaces with smooth boundary, $A, B \subset S$ such that $\operatorname{Vol}(A \cap$ $B)=0$. Set $D=A \cup B$
a.) If $\operatorname{Vol}(D)<+\infty$ then we have for all $f \in H^{1}(S)$ :

$$
\int_{D}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{2}(D) \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(A) \operatorname{Vol}(B)}{\operatorname{Vol}(D)}(f(A)-f(B))^{2}
$$

b.) If $\operatorname{Vol}(A)<+\infty$ and $\operatorname{Vol}(B)=+\infty$ then we have for all $f \in H^{1}(S)$ :

$$
\int_{D}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{1}(D) \operatorname{Vol}(A) f(A)^{2}
$$

Proof. a.) By definition of $\mu_{2}(D)$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) & \geqq \mu_{2}(D) \int_{D}[f(x)-f(D)]^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \\
& =\mu_{2}(D)\left\{\int_{A} f(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)+\int_{B} f(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\operatorname{Vol}(D) f(D)^{2}\right\} \\
& \geqq \mu_{2}(D)\left\{\operatorname{Vol}(A) f(A)^{2}+\operatorname{Vol}(B) f(B)^{2}-\operatorname{Vol}(D) f(D)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\mu_{2}(D) \operatorname{Vol}(A) \operatorname{Vol}(B)}{\operatorname{Vol}(D)}(f(A)-f(B))^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

b.) Is obvious. Q.E.D.
1.4.5 A combinatorial Lemma. Let $S \in \mathscr{M}_{j, \delta}, \delta$ small and $G_{\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}}^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, m, l^{\prime}\right)$.

In order to apply Lemma 6 we want to cover $S$ using surfaces $S_{e}, e \in E^{\prime}$ such that
(1) $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{e} \cap S_{e^{\prime}}\right)=0$ if $e \neq e^{\prime}$
(2) Let $\gamma_{e} \in \operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$ be the geodesic labelled by $e \in E^{\prime}$. Then $\gamma_{e}$ cuts $S_{e}$ into two pieces exactly and the cylinder $C_{\gamma}(a)$ is contained in $S_{e}$ where $a$ $=\operatorname{arcsh}\left(1 / \operatorname{sh} \frac{\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}}{2}\right)-1$.

To do this we need the following Lemma:
Lemma 7. Let $\mathscr{G}=(W, E)$ be a finite connected graph and $v_{0} \in W$ a fixed vertex. Then there exists an injective map

$$
\phi: W-\left\{v_{0}\right\} \rightarrow E
$$

such that for all $v \neq v_{0}, v$ is an extremity of $\phi(v)$.
Proof. Straightforward induction on the number of vertices of $\mathscr{G}$. Q.E.D.
For $v \in V^{\prime}$ we let $K_{v}$ be the complement in $S_{v}$ of the union of all cylinders $C_{\gamma}(a)$ meeting $S_{v}$ where $\gamma \in \operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right\}$.

We fix $v_{0} \in V^{\prime}$ and let $\phi: V^{\prime}-\left\{v_{0}\right\} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be the map given by Lemma 7. In order to define the surfaces $S_{e}$ we have to distinguish two cases:
1.) Im $\phi$ does not contain any edge whose extremity is $v_{0}$. Then we extend $\phi$ to $V^{\prime}$ by $\phi\left(v_{0}\right)=e$ where $e$ is some edge issued from $v_{0}$.

- if $e \notin \operatorname{Im} \phi$ we define $S_{e}=C_{\gamma}(a)$ where $\gamma \in \operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right)$ corresponds to $e$.
- if $e \in \operatorname{Im} \phi$, then $e=\phi(v)$ for a unique $v \in V^{\prime}$ and we set $S_{e}=K_{v} \cup C_{\gamma}(a)$ where $\gamma$ corresponds to $e$.
2.) Im $\phi$ contains edges issued from $v_{0}$. Let $e_{1}=\phi\left(v_{1}\right)$ be one of these edges.
- if $e \notin \operatorname{Im} \phi$ we set $S_{e}=C_{\gamma}(a)$ as before.
- if $e=\phi(v)$ and $v \neq v_{1}$ we set $S_{e}=K_{v} \cup C_{\gamma}(a)$.
- if $e=e_{1}$ we set $S_{e}=K_{v_{1}} \cup C_{\gamma}(a) \cup K_{v_{0}}$.

In each case we obtain a family of surfaces $\left\{S_{e}: e \in E^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfying properties 1.) and 2.).

### 1.4.6 End of the proof. Let $S=\cup_{v \in V^{\prime}} S_{v}=\cup_{e \in E^{\prime}} S_{e}$.

According to Lemma 5 it suffices to prove that if $\varphi \in H^{1}(S)$ then:

$$
\int_{S}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(1-\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}}\right) \sum l(\gamma)\left(\varphi\left(S_{V}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{W}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

this last sum being over all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Geod}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}\right), \gamma \subset S_{V} \cap S_{W}$.
Each surface $S_{e}$ is cut by $\gamma=\gamma_{e}$ into two surfaces $A_{e}$ and $B_{e}$. We apply Lemma 6 to $S_{e}=A_{e} \cup B_{e}$ and obtain:
a.) If $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{e}\right)<+\infty$ :

$$
\int_{S_{e}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{2}\left(S_{e}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{e}\right) \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{e}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{e}\right)}\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

b.) If $\operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{e}\right)<+\infty$ and $\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{e}\right)=+\infty$ :

$$
\int_{S_{e}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \mu_{1}\left(S_{e}\right) \operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{e}\right)\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

since $\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)=0$.
From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$
\int_{S_{e}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \frac{l(\gamma)}{\pi}\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2}\left(1-c \frac{l}{\eta}\right)
$$

where $\eta \geqq \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{j+1}(S)$ using Lemma 4 and $l \leqq \lambda_{j} / \beta_{1}$. Here $c, \alpha^{\prime}$ constants which only depend on ( $g, p, f$ ). This shows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{e}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \frac{l(\gamma)}{\pi}\left(1-c^{\prime} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v, w \in V^{\prime}$ such that $A_{e} \subset S_{v}$ and $B_{e} \subset S_{w}$. We can assume that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{e}\right)<+\infty$. Now we estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right)^{2}\right| \leqq & {\left[\left|\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)\right|+\left|\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right|\right] } \\
\cdot & {\left[\left|\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right|+\left|\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)\right|\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A^{\prime}=S_{v} \backslash A_{e}$, then a simple computation shows that:

$$
\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{v}\right)}\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Now Lemma 4 and 6 imply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda_{j+1}} \int_{S_{v}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\alpha(g, p, f)$. Remark that the inequality is trivialy satisfied if $\operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{e}\right)=\infty$.
Consider the surfaces $A_{e} \cup S_{w}$ and $B_{e} \cup S_{v}$. Then the same arguments as in the proof of inequality (1) show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right| \leqq \alpha l(\gamma)^{-1 / 2}\left[\int_{S_{v} \cup S_{w}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)\right| \leqq \alpha l(\gamma)^{-1 / 2}\left[\int_{S_{v} \cup S_{w}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting the inequalities (2), (3) and (4) together we obtain that

$$
l(\gamma)\left|\left(\varphi\left(A_{e}\right)-\varphi\left(B_{e}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right)^{2}\right|
$$

is bounded by:

$$
\alpha \cdot\left(\frac{l(\gamma)}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot \int_{s_{v} \cup S_{w}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \leqq \alpha^{\prime} \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot \int_{S_{v} \cup S_{w}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) .
$$

This together with inequality (1) shows that:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{S_{e}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x) \geqq \frac{l(\gamma)}{\pi}\left(1-c^{\prime} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)\left(\varphi\left(S_{v}\right)-\varphi\left(S_{w}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\\
-\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j+1}}} \int_{S_{v} \cup S_{w}}|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v(x)
\end{array}
$$

here $\alpha=\alpha(g, p, f)$ always denotes some constant depending only on ( $g, p, f$ ). Summing over $e \in E^{\prime}$ we obtain the desired estimate. Q.E.D.

### 1.5 Proof of the corollaries

We prove Corollary 1.1. The proof of Corollary 1.2 is completely analogous, and uses Coroll. 2 of Theor. 2.1.

Suppose that the corollary is false. Then there exists a sequence of Riemann surfaces $\left\{S_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that:
(a) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)=0$
(b) $\left|\operatorname{Spec} A_{S_{n}} \cap\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right| \geqq q$ where $q=\frac{2}{3}[2 g-2+p+f]+3$.

Take $i$ minimal such that $q \leqq i \leqq 2 g-2+p+f$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i}\left(S_{n}\right) / \lambda_{i+1}\left(S_{n}\right)=0$.
By passing to a subsequence of $\left\{S_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we can assume that the graph with weight function associated to $\operatorname{Geod}\left(\lambda_{i}\left(S_{n}\right) / \beta_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to a fixed one $(\mathscr{G}, m)$, $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$. From the definition of $i$ it follows that there exists $c>0$ such that $\lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right) \geqq c \lambda_{i}\left(S_{n}\right)$ for all $n \geqq 1$. If $l_{n}$ is the length function on $E$ defined by $S_{n}$ then we have for all $e \in E$ :

$$
l_{n}(e) \leqq \lambda_{i}\left(S_{n}\right) / \beta_{1} \leqq \lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right) / \beta_{1} c .
$$

Thus we can assume that the sequence $l_{n} / \lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)$, converges to a function $l$ : $E$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$. Let $E^{\prime}=\{e \in E: l(e) \neq 0\}$. Then it follows from Theor. 1.2 and the hypotheses of Corollary 1.1 that the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{p}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ of the weighted graph:

$$
G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}, m, l\right)
$$

is equal to $2 \pi^{2}$ and has multiplicity at least $q$. Moreover this graph satisfies the hypothesis of Theor. 2.2. Indeed let $S_{v}$ be the component corresponding to $v \in V \backslash P$ and let $\mathrm{d}(v)$ be the degree of the vertex $v$. The $\mathrm{d}(v)=f_{v}$ and:

$$
\mathrm{d}(v)-2=f_{v}-2 \leqq 2 g_{v}-2+p_{v}+f_{v}=m(v)
$$

In this way we obtain a contradiction with Theor. 2.2. Q.E.D.

## 2 Weighted graphs

A weighted graph $G=(V, E, m, l)$ is a graph $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ together with a weight function

$$
m: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

defined on the set of vertices and a length function

$$
l: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

defined on the set of edges. We assume that these two functions take strictly positive values. Given a distinguished subset $P \subset V$ we define the spectrum of the pair $(G, P)$ in the following way:
On $\mathbb{R}[V]$ we have a quadratic form

$$
Q(F)=\sum_{e \in E} \partial F(e)^{2} l(e), \quad F \in \mathbb{R}[V]
$$

where

$$
\partial F(e)=F(v)-F(w) \in \mathbb{R} /\{ \pm 1\}, \quad e=\{v, w\}
$$

and a scalar product:

$$
\left\langle F_{1}, F_{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{v \in V} F_{1}(v) F_{2}(v), \quad F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathbb{R}[V] .
$$

Let $\mathbb{R}_{p}[V]$ be the subspace of functions $f \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ that vanishes on $P$.
The restriction of $F \rightarrow Q(F)$ to $\mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ defines a symmetric operator $M_{P}$ :

$$
\left\langle M_{P} F, F\right\rangle=Q(F), \quad F \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V] .
$$

The spectrum of $(G, P)$ is the set of eigenvalues of $M_{P}$ listed according to their multiplicities:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P}(G) \leqq \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{N}^{P}(G)
$$

where $N=|V|-|P|$. If $P=\emptyset$ this is simply the spectrum of $G$.
Let $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ be the graph obtained from $\mathscr{G}$ by replacing all multiple edges by one edge and by deleting all loops. Up to a obvious modification $l^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ of our length function $l$ we obtain a weighted graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}, m, l^{\prime}\right)$ such that the spectrum of $\left(G^{\prime}, P\right)$ is identical with the spectrum of $(G, P)$.

This being said we will assume throughout this chapter that the graph $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ is finite without loops and without multiple edges.

We will see that if $V \backslash P$ generates a connected graph $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ is of multiplicity one. Our first estimate of the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$ involves the following invariant of a graph $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ :
Let $d: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the degree function of $\mathscr{G}$ and $d_{\mathscr{S}}=\max _{v \in V} \mathrm{~d}(v)$ the maximal degree of a vertex $v \in V$. If $A \subset V$ we denote by $G(A)$ the graph generated by $A$. Then we define:

$$
\alpha(\mathscr{G})=\min _{Q \in V}\left(d_{\tau}+|Q|\right)
$$

where the minimum is taken over all subsets $Q \subset V$ such that $\mathscr{T}=G(V \backslash Q)$ is a connected tree.
Theorem 2.1. Let $G=(V, E, m, l)$ be a weighted graph and $P \subset V$ a distinguished subset such that $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected. Then the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$ is at most $\alpha(\mathscr{G})$ where $\mathscr{G}=G(V \backslash P)$.

Examples. 1. $K_{n}$ is the complete graph on $n$ vertices. We set the edge and length function to be identically 1 . Then $\lambda_{2}\left(K_{n}\right)=n$ is of multiplicity $n-1$ and it is easily seen that $\alpha\left(K_{n}\right)=n-1$.
2. $S_{n}$ is the star with $n$ vertices. As before edge and length function are identically 1 . Then $\lambda_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)=1$ is of multiplicity $n-2$ and $\alpha\left(S_{n}\right)=n-1$.

Corollary 1. Let $T=(\mathscr{T}, m, l)$ be a weighted connected tree. Then:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2}(T) \leqq d_{\mathscr{I}}
$$

In 2.2 we will prove that if $\mathscr{G}$ is a connected graph and $\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})$ its first Betti number then:

$$
\alpha(\mathscr{G}) \leqq d_{\mathscr{G}}+\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G}) .
$$

From this follows:
Corollary 2. Let $G=(V, E, m, l)$ be a weighted graph and $P \subset V$ a distinguished subset such that $\mathscr{Z}=G(V \backslash P)$ is connected. Suppose that $d_{\mathscr{Y}} \leqq 3$ then:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq \frac{1}{2}|V \backslash P|+4 .
$$

In 2.3 we will show another approach to the problem of bounding the multiplicity of $\lambda_{2}$. This will lead us to the following result which is well suited for applications to Riemann surfaces:

Theorem 2.2. Let $G=(V, E, m, l)$ be a weighted graph and $P \subset V$ such that $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected. Assume that $m$ is integer valued and that $d(v)-2 \leqq m(v)$ for all $v \in V \backslash P$. Then:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq \frac{2}{3} m(V \backslash P)+2
$$

Here we set for $A \subset V, m(A)=\sum_{v \in A} m(v)$. Essential use of Theor. 2.2 will be made in the proof of Corollary 1.2.

### 2.1 The first method

Let $G=(V, E, m, l)$ be a weighted graph and $P \subset V$ a subset such that $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected. One verifies that $M_{P}$ acts on functions $f \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x \in V \backslash P & M_{P} f(x)=\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{x \equiv y} l(\{x, y\}(f(x)-f(y)) \\
x \in P & M_{P} f(x)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Here $\{x, y\}$ is the edge joining $x$ and $y$, the symbol $x \equiv y$ means that $x, y$ are adjacent vertices.

We have the following easy
Lemma 1. If $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ is of multiplicity one and any nonzero eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ is everywhere nonzero on $V \backslash P$.

Proof. For all $f \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ we have $Q(|f|) \leqq Q(f)$ with equality if and only if for all $x, y$ such that $x \equiv y$ we have $f(x) \cdot f(y) \geqq 0$. Since

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)=\min \frac{Q(f)}{\|f\|^{2}}
$$

where the minimum is taken over $f \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$, the inequality $Q(|f|) \leqq Q(f)$ shows that if $f$ is an eigenfunction of eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ then $|f|$ has also this property. Let $f$ be an eigenfunction such that for some $x \in V \backslash P f(x)=0$. Since $\varphi=|f|$ is also eigenfunction we have:

$$
\sum_{y \equiv x} l(\{x, y\}) \varphi(y)=0
$$

from which follows $\varphi(y)=0$ for all $y \equiv x$. Since $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected this implies $\varphi=0$ and hence $f=0$. This shows that $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ is of multiplicity one. Q.E.D.

Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a graph. For $A \subset V$ we define the boundary of $A$ :

$$
\partial A=\{a \in A: a \text { is adjacent to some point in } V \backslash A\} .
$$

Given a weighted graph $G=(V, E, m, l)$ and a subset $P \subset V$ we take two pairs of subsets $\left(P_{1}, V_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}, V_{2}\right)$ with the following properties:
(1) $P_{i} \subset V_{i} \subset V \quad i=1,2$
(2) $G_{i}=G\left(V_{i} \backslash P_{i}\right)$ is a nonvoid connected graph for $i=1,2$
(3) $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \subset P_{1} \cap P_{2}$
(4) $P_{1} \cap P_{2} \supset P$
(5) $P_{i} \supset \partial V_{i}$ for $i=1,2$
(6) $V_{i} \backslash P_{i} \nsubseteq P$ for $i=1,2$.

Lemma 2. Assume that $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected, then

$$
\max \left(\lambda_{1}^{P_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right), \lambda_{1}^{P_{2}}\left(G_{2}\right)\right) \geqq \lambda_{2}^{P}(G)
$$

with equality if and only if:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{P_{2}}\left(G_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)
$$

Proof. Let $F_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{P_{i}}\left[V_{i}\right]$ be a positive eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{P_{i}}\left(G_{i}\right)$. We extend $F_{i}$ to $V$ by setting $F_{i}=0$ on $V \backslash V_{i}$. Let $F \neq 0$ be an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$. Property (6) and Lemma 1 implies that $\left\langle F, F_{i}\right\rangle \neq 0$ for $i=1,2$. From this follows that there exist $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0$ such that $f=c_{1} F_{1}+c_{2} F_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ is orthogonal to $F$.

Property (3) implies that $\|f\|^{2}=c_{1}^{2}\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left\|F_{2}\right\|^{2}$.
Properties (1) to (6) imply $Q(f)=c_{1}^{2} Q\left(F_{1}\right)+c_{2}^{2} Q\left(F_{2}\right)$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}(f) & =c_{1}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{P_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \lambda_{1}^{P_{2}}\left(G_{2}\right)\left\|F_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geqq \lambda_{2}^{P}(G)\|f\|^{2}=\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)\left(c_{1}^{2}\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left\|F_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this follows $\max \left(\lambda_{1}^{P_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right), \lambda_{1}^{P_{2}}\left(G_{2}\right)\right) \geqq \lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$. Q.E.D.
Here is a immediate consequence of Lemma 2.

Corollary. Let $\left(P_{1}, V_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(P_{n}, V_{n}\right)$ be pairs of subsets of $V$ such that for all $i \neq j$, $\left(P_{i}, V_{i}\right),\left(P_{j}, V_{j}\right)$ verify properties (1) to (6) above.

Then either (1.) there is a unique $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$ such that $\lambda_{1}^{P_{i}}<\lambda_{2}^{P}$ and $\lambda_{1}^{P_{j}}>\lambda_{2}^{P}$ for all $j \neq i$ or
(2.) $\lambda_{1}^{P_{j}} \geqq \lambda_{2}^{P}$ for all $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq n$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $Q \subset V \backslash P$ such that $G\left(V^{\prime}\right)=T$ is a connected tree where $V^{\prime}=V \backslash(P \cup Q)$.

1. If $d_{T}(p)=1$ for all $p \in V^{\prime}$ then $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=2$. Choose $p \in V^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ such that $f=0$ on $Q \cup P \cup\{p\}$. Then it is easily seen that $f=0$ on $V$.
2. $\mathbf{T}=\left\{p \in V^{\prime}: d_{T}(p) \geqq 2\right\}$ is non void.

To each $p \in \mathbf{T}$ we associate $d_{T}(p)=l$ pairs of subsets $\left(P_{1}, V_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(P_{l}, V_{l}\right)$ in the following way:

Let $V^{\prime}-\{p\}=W_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup W_{l}$ where $G\left(W_{i}\right)$ are the connected components of $G\left(V^{\prime}-\{p\}\right)$.

Define $V_{i}=\left\{p \in V ; p\right.$ is adjacent to a point of $\left.W_{i}\right\} \cup P$ and $P_{i}=V_{i} \backslash W_{i}$.
We claim that for all $i \neq j\left(P_{i}, V_{i}\right),\left(P_{j}, V_{i}\right)$ verify properties (1) to (6). Let us verify (3) and (5):
(3) $i \neq j, V_{i} \cap V_{j} \subset P_{i} \cap P_{j}$ : Let $x \in V_{i} \cap V_{j}$. If $x \in P$ then $x \in P_{i} \cap P_{j}$ by construction. If $x \notin P$ there exist $x_{i} \in W_{i}$ and $x_{j} \in W_{j}$ such that $x \equiv x_{i}$ and $x \equiv x_{j}$. If $x$ were in $W_{i}$ or $W_{j}$ then $G\left(W_{i} \cup W_{j}\right)$ would be connected. This is a contradiction. Thus $x \in P_{i} \cap P_{j}$.
(5) $P_{i} \supset \partial V_{i}$ : by definition $V_{i} \backslash \partial V_{i} \supset W_{i}$.

Remark that a similar argument than in (3) shows that for all $i$ : $\{p\}=P_{i} \cap V^{\prime}$. Let us say that a point $p \in \mathbf{T}$ has property (*) if there exists $1 \leqq i \leqq l$ such that:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P_{1}}\left(G_{i}\right)<\lambda_{2}^{P}(G), \quad G_{i}=G\left(V_{i}\right),
$$

and thus $\lambda_{1}^{P_{j}}\left(G_{j}\right)>\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$ for all $j \neq i$.
[Recall that $P_{i}, V_{i}, l$ depend on $p$ ].
We distinguish two cases:

1. There is a point $p \in \mathbf{T}$ not having property ( $*$ ).
2. All points in $\mathbf{T}$ have property (*).

First case. Take $p \in \mathbf{T}$ not having property (*). The corollary of Lemma 2 implies that

$$
\lambda_{1}^{P_{i}}\left(G_{i}\right) \geqq \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \quad \text { for all } i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l .
$$

Let $A_{p}=\left\{q \in V^{\prime}, q \equiv p\right\}$ and choose a point $q \in A_{p}$. Suppose $f \in \mathbb{R}_{p}[V]$ is an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$ and $f$ is zero on $Q \cup\{p\} \cup\left(A_{p}-\{q\}\right)$. Then we have $0=\lambda_{2} f(p)=\sum_{x \equiv p} l(\{p, x\})(f(p)-f(x))$ which implies that $f(q)=0$. Remark also that since $P_{i} \cap V^{\prime}=\{p\}, f=0$ on $P_{i}$ and $\left.f\right|_{V_{i}} \in \mathbb{R}_{P_{i}}\left[V_{i}\right]$ is an eigenfunction of $M_{P_{i}}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq \lambda_{1}^{P_{i}}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Moreover $A_{p} \cap\left(V_{i} \backslash P_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\left.f\right|_{A_{p}}=0$ together with Lemma 1 implies that $f=0$ on $V_{i}$ for all $i$. Thus $f$ is identically 0 . This shows that

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq|Q|+d_{T}(p)
$$

Second case. All points in $\mathbf{T}$ have property (*). To each $p \in \mathbf{T}$ we associate a unique point $p^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ in the following way: since $p$ has property $(*)$ there is a unique component $G\left(W_{i}\right)$ of $G\left(V^{\prime}-\{p\}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1}^{P_{i}}\left(G_{i}\right)<\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$. In $W_{i}$ there is a unique point $p^{\prime} \equiv p$. Now given $p \in \mathbf{T}$ we construct a maximal path in $V^{\prime}$ :

$$
p_{0}=p, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}
$$

with the following properties: $p_{i}=p_{i-1}^{\prime}, 1 \leqq i \leqq r$ all $p_{i}$ are distinct and $p_{i} \in \mathbf{T}$ for all $i, 0 \leqq i \leqq r$.

Now we make the following remark: let $p \in \mathbf{T}$ and $f$ be an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$. If $f$ is zero on $Q \cup\{p\}$ then $\left.f\right|_{P_{i}}=0$ for all $j$ and $f$ is eigenfunction of $M_{P_{j}}$. Thus $\left.f\right|_{V_{j}}=0$ for all $j$ for which $\lambda_{1}^{P_{j}}\left(G_{j}\right)>\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$. By definition of $p^{\prime}$ this implies that $f$ is zero on all components of $G\left(V^{\prime}-\{p\}\right)$ which do not contain $p^{\prime}$. In particular $f(q)=0$ for all $q \equiv p, q \neq p^{\prime}$. Since $f(p)=0$ this implies that $f\left(p^{\prime}\right)=0$.

The maximality of the path has the following consequence. There are two cases:

1. $p_{r}^{\prime} \notin \mathbf{T}$ : This means that the component of $V^{\prime}-\left\{p_{r}\right\}$ containing $p_{r}^{\prime}$ is $\left\{p_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$. From the preceeding discussion it follows that if $f$ is zero on $Q \cup\left\{p_{r}\right\}$ then $f$ is identically zero.
2. $p_{r}^{\prime}=p_{r-1}$ : If $f$ is zero on $Q \cup\left\{p_{r-1}\right\}$ then $f$ is zero on all components of $G\left(V^{\prime}-\left\{p_{r-1}\right\}\right)$ not containing $p_{r}$ and $f\left(p_{r}\right)=0$. But then $f$ is zero on all components of $G\left(V \backslash\left\{p_{r}\right\}\right)$ not containing $p_{r-1}$. Since $G\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ is a tree this implies that $f$ is zero on $V^{\prime}$ hence $f$ is identically zero.

In both cases we obtain mult $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq|Q|+1$. Q.E.D.
Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. We show now how to construct a set $Q \subset V$ such that $G(V \backslash Q)$ is a connected tree. Doing this we prove:
Lemma 3. $\alpha(\mathscr{G}) \leqq d_{\mathscr{G}}+\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})$.
Proof. Let $T=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ be a maximal tree in $\mathscr{G}$ and $d: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the degree function of $\mathscr{G}$. Let $W_{i}=\left\{v \in V: d_{T}(v)=1, d(v)>1\right\}$ and $W_{1}^{\prime}$ a maximal subset of points in $W_{1}$ that are pairwise non-adjacent in $\mathscr{G}$. Let $V_{1}=V \backslash W_{1}^{\prime}, \mathscr{G}_{1}=G\left(V_{1}\right)$ the subgraph of $\mathscr{G}$ generated by $V_{1}$ and $T_{1}$ the subtree of $T$ generated by $V_{1}$. Then $T_{1}$ is connected and is a maximal tree in $\mathscr{G}_{1}$. Let $E_{1}$ resp. $E_{1}^{\prime}$ be the edge set of $\mathscr{G}_{1}$ resp. $T_{1}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=E \backslash\left\{\text { all edges issued from points in } W_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& E_{1}^{\prime}=E^{\prime} \backslash\left\{\text { all edges in } E^{\prime} \text { issued from } W_{1}^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}_{1}\right) & =\left|E_{1}\right|-\left|E_{1}^{\prime}\right| \\
& =\beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})-\sum_{v \in W_{1}}(\mathrm{~d}(v)-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular $\beta_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}_{1}\right) \leqq \beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})-\left|W_{1}^{\prime}\right|$.
We can apply the same procedure to $\left(\mathscr{G}_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ and so on to get after a finite number of steps a pair $\left(\mathscr{G}_{n}, T_{n}\right)$ such that $\mathscr{G}_{\mathrm{n}}=T_{n}$ and such that if $V_{n} \subset V$ is the vertex set of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ we have:

$$
0=\beta_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{n}\right) \leqq \beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})-\left|V \backslash V_{n}\right| .
$$

Then $Q=V \backslash V_{n}$ satisfies obviously the property that $G(V \backslash Q)$ is a connected tree. Moreover $|Q| \leqq \beta_{1}(\mathscr{G})$. Q.E.D.

### 2.2 The second method

Let $G=(V, E, m, l)$ be a weighted graph and $P \subset V$ such that $G(V \backslash P)$ is connected. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ be an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda$. For $q \in V$ we denote by $A_{q}$ the set of $p \in V$ adjacent to $q$. If $f$ is zero on $A_{q}$ then:

$$
\lambda f(q)=\frac{1}{m(q)} f(q) \sum_{q \equiv p} l(\{p, q\})
$$

which implies $f(q)=0$ unless:

$$
\lambda=\frac{1}{m(q)} \sum_{p \equiv q} l(\{p, q\}) .
$$

From this follows that if

$$
B=\left\{q \in V \backslash P ; \lambda_{2}^{P}(G)=\frac{1}{m(q)} \sum_{p \equiv q} l(\{p, q\})\right\}
$$

and if $S$ is a subset of $V \backslash(P \cup B)$ of pairwise non-adjacent points then

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2}^{P}(G) \leqq|V \backslash P|-|S|+|B|
$$

Here is an application of this inequality in the case of ordinary graphs.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a connected regular graph of degree $r$ on $N$ vertices and

$$
0=\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq \lambda_{N}
$$

be the eigenvalues of $r I-A$ where $A$ is the adjacency matrix of $\mathscr{G}$. Then

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq N\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\frac{1}{r}
$$

Proof. If $B \neq \emptyset$ then $\lambda_{2}=r$. But $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \lambda_{i}=N \cdot r$ thus $\lambda_{i}=r$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq N$. From this follows that $\mathscr{G}$ is the complete graph on $r+1=N$ vertices and the inequality mult $\lambda_{2} \leqq N\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\frac{1}{r}$ holds.

If $B=\emptyset$ then it follows from the discussion above and Lemma 6 (see below) that:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq|V|-|S| \leqq|V|\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\frac{1}{r} \text {. Q.E.D. }
$$

To obtain a better estimate we study the structure of $B$ :

Lemma 4. Let $B$ be as defined above. $B$ is of diameter at most 2 and there are two cases: (1) $G(B)$ is connected. Fix $x_{1}, x_{2} \in B$ with $x_{1} \equiv x_{2}$. Then there are 3 types of vertices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left\{x \in B: x \equiv x_{1}, x \not \equiv x_{2}, x \neq x_{2}\right\} \\
& A_{2}=\left\{x \in B: x \equiv x_{2}, x \not \equiv x_{1}, x \neq x_{1}\right\} \\
& A_{3}=\left\{x \in B: x \equiv x_{1} \text { and } x \equiv x_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A_{1} \sqcup A_{2} \sqcup A_{3} \sqcup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=B$, for all $x \in A_{1}, y \in A_{2}$ we have $x \equiv y$, for all $x \in A_{3}$ and $y \in A_{1} \sqcup A_{2}$ we have $x \equiv y$.
(2) $G(B)$ is not connected: for all $x, y \in B \operatorname{dist}(x, y)=2$ and any $z \in V \backslash P$ adjacent to some point in $B$ is adjacent to all of them.
Proof. 1.) If for all, $x, y \in B x \equiv y$ then B is of the form (1) with $A_{1}=A_{2}=\emptyset$.
2.) Suppose that there exist $x, y \in B$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \geqq 2$. Let $F$ be a nonzero eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{P}(G)$ and let $f=c_{1} \delta_{x}+c_{2} \delta_{y} c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0$ such that $f$ is orthogonal to $F$. Then $Q(f)=\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)\|f\|^{2}$ as one verifies easily. From this follows that $f$ is an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$. In particular if $z \in V \backslash P$ is adjacent to $x$ then

$$
\left.0=\lambda_{2}^{P} f(z)=-\frac{1}{m(z)} \sum_{t \equiv z} l(t, z\}\right) f(t) .
$$

This forces $z$ to be adjacent to $y$ and thus dist $(x, y)=2$.
This shows that for $x, y \in B$ either $x \equiv y$ or dist $(x, y)=2$ in which case any $z \in V \backslash P$ adjacent to $x$ is also adjacent to $y$. (1) and (2) are then immediate consequences of this property. Q.E.D.

Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. We want to choose a subset $S \subset V$ of pairwise nonadjacent points in an optimal way. Let $S \subset V$ be any such subset. On $S$ we put the following graph structure: for $s, t \in S$ there is a edge between $s$ and $t$ if and only if $A_{s} \cap A_{t} \neq \emptyset$. We denote st $(S)$ the graph obtained in this way. A straightforward induction on the number of vertices in $\mathscr{G}$ shows the following:
Lemma 5. Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a connected graph and $p \in V$. Then there exists a maximal set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices $S \subset V$ such that $\operatorname{st}(S)$ is connected.
The following consequence is important for us:
Lemma 6. Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, E)$ be a connected graph and $p \in V$. Then there exists a maximal set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices $S \subset V$ such that:

$$
\sum_{p \in S} d(p) \geqq|V|-1 .
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be the set given by Lemma 5 and $e$ the number of edges of $\operatorname{st}(S)$. Since st $(S)$ is connected we have $e \geqq|S|-1$. Thus

$$
\sum_{p \in S} d(p) \geqq e+|V|-|S| \geqq|V|-1
$$

since each point $q \in V \backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one point in $S$ and is counted twice when it is in $A_{s} \cap A_{t}$ for some $s, t \in S$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $G=(V, E, m, l), P \subset V, d: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2. Let $V_{0}=V \backslash P$ and $B \subset V_{0}$ such that

$$
\left.B=\left\{x \in V_{0}: \lambda_{2}^{P}(G)=\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \equiv x} l(x, y\}\right)\right\} .
$$

Given $A \subset V$ we set $m(A)=\sum_{x \in A} m(x)$.
Let $V_{0} \backslash B=V_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup V_{n}$ such that the graphs $G\left(V_{i}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, are the connected components of $G\left(V_{0} \backslash B\right)$.

Let $\left|V_{i}\right| \geqq 2$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq k$ and $\left|V_{i}\right|=1$ for $k+1 \leqq i \leqq n$. For each $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq k$ we choose one point $p_{i} \in V_{i}$ such that $p_{i}$ is adjacent to some point in $B$. We let $S_{i} \ni p_{i}$ be the subset of $V_{i}$ given by Lemma 6.

Let now $f \in \mathbb{R}_{P}[V]$ be an eigenfunction of $M_{P}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{P}(G)$ and suppose that $f$ is zero on

$$
B \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(V_{i} \backslash S_{i}\right)
$$

then it is easily seen that $f$ is identically zero. From this follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq|B|+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\left|V_{i}\right|-\left|S_{i}\right|\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

we first estimate $\left|V_{i}\right|-\left|S_{i}\right|$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq k$ :
let $d_{i}$ be the degree function of the graph $G\left(V_{i}\right)$ and $r_{i}$ the number of points in $B$ adjacent to $p_{i}$. Then it follows from Lemma 6 that:

$$
\sum_{p \in S_{i}} d(p) \geqq r_{i}+\sum_{p \in S_{i}} d_{i}(p) \geqq\left|V_{i}\right|+r_{i}-1 .
$$

From this and the inequality $m(x) \geqq d(x)-2$ we obtain:

$$
m\left(S_{i}\right) \geqq\left|V_{i}\right|-2\left|S_{i}\right|+r_{i}-1
$$

On the other hand we have the obvious inequality:

$$
\left|V_{i}\right|-\left|S_{i}\right|+m\left(S_{i}\right) \leqq m\left(V_{i}\right)
$$

This and the preceeding inequality imply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\left|V_{i}\right|-\left|S_{i}\right|\right) \leqq m\left(V_{i}\right)+\left|S_{i}\right|-\left(r_{i}-1\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate $|B|$. According to Lemma 4 we distinguish two cases:
1.) $B$ is connected: $B=A_{1} \sqcup A_{2} \sqcup A_{3} \sqcup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$
then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(B) & \geqq \mathrm{d}\left(x_{1}\right)-2+\mathrm{d}\left(x_{2}\right)-2+(|B|-2) \\
& \geqq\left(\left|A_{1}\right|+\left|A_{3}\right|-1\right)+\left(\left|A_{2}\right|+\left|A_{3}\right|-1\right)+(|B|-2) \\
& \geqq 2|B|-6
\end{aligned}
$$

hence:

$$
|B| \leqq \frac{1}{2} m(B)+3 .
$$

2.) $B$ is not connected: in particular $|B| \geqq 2$. Because of Lemma 4 any point adjacent to one point in $B$ is adjacent to all of them and this implies that $r_{1}=|B|$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq k$ and that $\mathrm{d}(x) \geqq k-2$ for all $x \in B$. In particular $m(B) \geqq|B|(k$ -2 ).

Now we put (**) into the inequality (*) and obtain:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq|B|+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} m\left(V_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|S_{i}\right|-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{r_{i}-1}{2}\right)
$$

In case 1.) we use that $r_{i} \geqq 1$ and $|B| \leqq \frac{1}{2} m(B)+3$ to obtain:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} m\left(V_{0}\right)+3+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|S_{i}\right|
$$

In case 2.):

$$
|B|-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{r_{i}-1}{2}\right)=|B|\left(1-\frac{k}{2}\right)+\frac{k}{2} .
$$

If $k \geqq 2$ this is smaller than $\frac{1}{2}|B|(k-2)+1 \leqq \frac{1}{2} m(B)+1$. If $k=1$ this equals $\frac{|B|}{2}$ $+\frac{1}{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} m(B)+\frac{1}{2}$.

Thus:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} m\left(V_{0}\right)+1+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|S_{i}\right|
$$

In any case we obtain:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} m\left(V_{0}\right)+3+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|S_{i}\right| .
$$

On the other hand it follows immediately from (*) that:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} m\left(V_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|S_{i}\right| .
$$

Adding this to the preceeding inequality we obtain:

$$
\text { mult } \lambda_{2} \leqq \frac{2}{3} m\left(V_{0}\right)+2 . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$
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