

## INTERSECTION, THE MANHATTAN CURVE, AND PATTERSON-SULLIVAN THEORY IN RANK 2

MARC BURGER

Let  $\Gamma$  be a nonvirtually abelian, finitely generated group and let  $\text{Rep}_{\text{CC}}(\Gamma)$  denote the set of injective homomorphisms  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ , of  $\Gamma$  into the group of isometries  $G$  of a symmetric space  $X$  of rank one such that

- (1)  $\pi(\Gamma)$  is torsion-free
- (2) the  $\pi(\Gamma)$ -action on  $X$  is properly discontinuous and convex cocompact.

Our aim is to describe invariants attached to pairs  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of convex cocompact realizations of  $\Gamma$  which will lead to criteria when the isomorphism

$$\pi_2 \pi_1^{-1}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$$

extends to an isomorphism  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  of the ambient Lie groups.

*Examples.* (1) The free group on two generators  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2$  has nonhomeomorphic convex cocompact realizations in dimension 2, e.g., a thrice-punctured sphere with three expanding ends and a once-punctured torus with one expanding end.

(2) Let  $\Gamma < SO(n, 1)$  be a cocompact lattice and assume that  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$  contains at least one totally geodesic embedded codimension-one submanifold with trivial normal bundle. Then  $\Gamma$  admits nontrivial convex cocompact deformations into  $SO(n+1, 1)$  [JM].

At the end of §2 we indicate how our results generalize to arbitrary negative curvature.

*Acknowledgments.* I thank S. Mozes for very helpful discussions, in particular for providing Lemma 3. I thank MSRI for its hospitality where this work was completed.

**1. Intersection and the Manhattan curve.** For notation and definitions we refer to §3.

The set  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy classes in  $\Gamma - \{e\}$  parametrizes the set of closed geodesics of any convex cocompact realization of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore two convex cocompact realizations  $\pi_i: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_i \subset G_i, i = 1, 2$ , give rise to two length functions

$$\ell_i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

which we now use to define the following basic invariants attached to  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ :

Received 5 May 1993.

Communicated by Peter Sarnak.

(a) *Geodesic stretch.*

$$\text{dil}_+(\pi_1, \pi_2) := \sup_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\ell_2(c)}{\ell_1(c)}, \quad \text{dil}_-(\pi_1, \pi_2) := \inf_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\ell_2(c)}{\ell_1(c)}.$$

For  $\Gamma$ , a fundamental group of a compact surface, and  $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , these invariants pertain to the minimal stretch map point of view of Teichmüller theory, as developed by W. Thurston [T].

(b) *Intersection.* Let  $\mu_1$  be the Patterson-Sullivan measure on the set  $\Omega_1 \subset T_1(\Gamma_1 \backslash X_1)$  of recurrent points of the geodesic flow and let  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the corresponding sequence of closed geodesics in  $\Omega_1$  is equidistributed with respect to  $\mu_1$ . The intersection  $i(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is defined as

$$i(\pi_1, \pi_2) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_2(c_n)}{\ell_1(c_n)}.$$

It measures the distortion under Morse correspondence of the length of a typical geodesic in  $\Omega_1$ .

In the case of compact surfaces, W. Thurston proved, using the convexity along earthquake paths of the geodesic length function, that  $i(\pi_1, \pi_2) \geq 1$  with equality if and only if  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  represent the same point in Teichmüller space. The corollary of Theorem 1 below generalizes this result.

(c) *The Manhattan curve.* This is the continuous convex curve  $\mathcal{C}_M(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  bounding the following convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-[a/\ell_1(c) + b/\ell_2(c)]} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Observe that the points  $(\delta_1, 0)$  and  $(0, \delta_2)$  where  $\delta_i :=$  critical exponent of  $\Gamma_i$ , always belong to  $\mathcal{C}_M(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ .

Now let  $\text{Rep}_{CC}^Z(\Gamma)$  denote the set of  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_{CC}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\pi(\Gamma)$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$ . Equivalently,  $\pi(\Gamma)$  does not preserve any proper totally geodesic subspace of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** (a) *For  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}_{CC}^Z(\Gamma)$ , the Manhattan curve is the straight line connecting  $(\delta_1, 0)$  to  $(0, \delta_2)$  if and only if  $\pi_2 \pi_1^{-1}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  extends to an isomorphism  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  of the ambient Lie groups, in which case  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X_1, \Gamma_2 \backslash X_2$  are isometric and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ .*

(b) *The Manhattan curve is  $C^1$ . It has two asymptotes whose normals have slope  $\text{dil}_-(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  at  $-\infty$  and  $\text{dil}_+(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  at  $+\infty$ . Moreover, the slope of the normal to  $\mathcal{C}_M(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  at  $(\delta_1, 0)$  is the intersection  $i(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ .*

COROLLARY. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, we have*

$$i(\pi_1, \pi_2) \geq \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}$$

with equality if and only if  $\pi_2\pi_1^{-1}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  extends.

*Remark.* The definition of the Manhattan curve was motivated by [BS], and Theorem 1(a) generalizes Theorem 1 of [BS].

The following fact is used in the proof of Theorem 1(a).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $G_i, i = 1, 2$  be rank-one connected adjoint Lie groups,  $\Gamma_i \subset G_i$  Zariski-dense subgroups consisting of hyperbolic elements, and  $\Theta: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  an isomorphism such that  $L(\Theta(\gamma)) = c \cdot L(\gamma), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_1$ , where  $c > 0$  is a constant and  $L(\cdot)$  denotes the translation length of a hyperbolic element. Then  $c = 1$  and  $\Theta$  extends to an isomorphism  $\Theta_{\text{ext}}: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  of the corresponding Lie groups.*

**2. The Manhattan curve and Patterson theory in rank 2.** The properties of the Manhattan curve, stated in §1, are intimately connected with recurrence properties of the geodesic flow on a rank-2 manifold, which we now define. To  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}_{\text{CC}}(\Gamma)$ , we associate the diagonal action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X := X_1 \times X_2$ :

$$\gamma_*(x_1, x_2) := (\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, \pi_2(\gamma)x_2), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

and the (infinite volume) quotient manifold  $M := \Gamma \backslash X$ . This manifold fibers over  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X_1$  with fiber  $X_2$ , and over  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X_2$  with fiber  $X_1$ . In order to study the set of recurrent points of the geodesic flow on  $T_1(M)$ , we first describe the limit set  $\Lambda \subset X(\infty)$  of  $\Gamma$  in the ideal boundary  $X(\infty)$  of  $X$ .

Recall that  $X(\infty)$  is the set of equivalence classes of parametrized geodesic rays in  $X$ , two such rays being equivalent if they stay at bounded distance. We have  $X(\infty) = X(\infty)_{\text{sing}} \sqcup X(\infty)_{\text{reg}}$ , where  $X(\infty)_{\text{reg}}$  is the set of rays which are contained in a unique maximal flat subspace of  $X$ . Furthermore, for every  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , the set  $X(\infty)_\lambda$  consisting of all rays of slope  $\lambda$  w.r.t. the canonical splitting  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  is a closed  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -orbit in  $X(\infty)$  and

$$X(\infty)_{\text{reg}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} X(\infty)_\lambda.$$

Observe that there is a  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -equivariant identification  $X(\infty)_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1(\infty) \times X_2(\infty)$ , and let  $(\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda$  be the image in  $X(\infty)_\lambda$  of the graph of the Mostow map  $\varphi: \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$  under this identification (see §3.2).

The limit set  $\Lambda \subset X(\infty)$  of  $\Gamma$  has the following description:

PROPOSITION 2.

$$\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in F} (\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda, \quad \text{where } F := [\text{dil}_-(\pi_1, \pi_2), \text{dil}_+(\pi_1, \pi_2)].$$

For every  $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$ , the subbundle  $T_1^\lambda(M) \subset T_1(M)$  consisting of all unit vectors of slope  $\lambda$  is a closed subset of  $T_1(M)$ , invariant under the action of the geodesic flow. Denote by  $R_\lambda \subset (\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda$  the set of geodesic rays of slope  $\lambda$  which project to recurrent rays in  $T_1^\lambda(M)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** (a) *The map  $\mathcal{C}_M(\pi_1, \pi_2) \rightarrow (\text{dil}_-(\pi_1, \pi_2), \text{dil}_+(\pi_1, \pi_2))$  which to each  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_M$  associates the slope  $\lambda$  of the normal at  $(a, b)$  is a homeomorphism.*

(b) *For  $\lambda \in (\text{dil}_-(\pi_1, \pi_2), \text{dil}_+(\pi_1, \pi_2))$  the Hausdorff dimension of  $R_\lambda$  is given by*

$$(a + \lambda b) \cdot \max(1, \lambda^{-1})$$

where  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_M$  corresponds to  $\lambda$  under the above homeomorphism.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use mainly the following version of Patterson-Sullivan theory:

let  $\mathcal{C}_R := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{such that the critical exponent of the Poincaré series} \right.$

$$\left. Q_{\alpha, \beta}(s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \cdot \sqrt{\alpha d^2(\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, x_1) + \beta d^2(\pi_2(\gamma)x_2, x_2)}} \text{ is at } s = 1 \right\}.$$

For every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_R$  we get from  $Q_{\alpha, \beta}(s)$ , using Patterson’s construction, a positive measure  $\Pi_{\alpha, \beta}$  supported on  $\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in F} (\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda$ .

**THEOREM 3.** (a) *For every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_R$  there is a unique  $\lambda \in F$  such that  $\Pi_{\alpha, \beta}$  has  $(\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda$  as its support. This  $\lambda$  is characterized as the unique one for which*

$$\left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2}}, \frac{\beta\lambda}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2}} \right) \in \mathcal{C}_M(\pi_1, \pi_2).$$

(b)  $\Pi_{\alpha, \beta}$  gives full measure to  $R_\lambda$ .

*Remark.* The assertions of Theorems 2 and 3 hold in pinched-variable negative curvature. In this setting the conclusion of Theorem 1 and the corollary is that the length functions  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  are proportional. This generalizes Theorem 1 of [La].

### 3. Preliminaries.

3.1. Let  $X$  be a symmetric space of rank one,  $G$  its group of isometries, and  $\Pi \subset G$  a torsion-free nonelementary convex cocompact subgroup. Let  $\Lambda \subset X(\infty)$  be the limit set of  $\Pi$  and  $C(\Lambda) \subset X$  its convex hull. In particular  $\Pi \backslash C(\Lambda)$  is compact. Fix  $o \in X$  a base point and define  $d(\xi, \eta) := e^{-(\xi \cdot \eta)_o}$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in X(\infty)$ , where  $(\xi \cdot \eta)_o$  is the Gromov scalar product relative to the base point  $o$  ([Gr], [Gh, H]). Although  $d$  is not in general a distance, there exists  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $X$ , such that  $d(\xi, \eta) \leq C \cdot \max(d(\xi, \alpha), d(\alpha, \eta)) \forall \xi, \eta, \alpha \in X(\infty)$ . Set  $B(\xi, r) := \{\eta \in X(\infty) : d(\xi, \eta) \leq$

$r\}$ . Using these balls, we have the notion of Hausdorff dimension and Hausdorff measure on  $X(\infty)$ . For instance,  $HD(X(\infty)) = 2\rho$  where  $\rho =$  half-sum of positive roots of  $G$ . The Patterson measure  $m$  is the unique (up to scaling) positive bounded measure on  $\Lambda$  such that  $d(\pi_* m)(\xi) = e^{-\delta\beta_\xi(\pi \cdot 0)} dm(\xi) \forall \pi \in \Pi$ , where  $\delta =$  the critical exponent of  $\Pi$  and  $\beta_\xi(x)$  is the Busemann function on  $X(\infty) \times X$  normalized by  $\beta_\xi(o) = 0$ . The Patterson measure class coincides with the Hausdorff measure class of dimension  $\delta$  on  $\Lambda$ , and  $HD(\Lambda) = \delta$ . Let  $\Omega \subset T_1(\Pi \backslash X)$  be the set of points which are recurrent, for positive and negative time, under the action of the geodesic flow. This set is compact and carries a unique invariant ergodic probability measure  $\mu$  (Patterson-Sullivan measure) of maximal entropy  $\delta$ . This measure  $\mu$  is gotten from the  $\Pi$ -invariant measure

$$\frac{dm(\xi) dm(\eta)}{e^{2\delta(\xi \cdot \eta)_o}} \quad \text{on } \Lambda \times \Lambda.$$

3.2. Given  $\pi_i: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_i \subset G_i, i = 1, 2$ , convex cocompact realizations of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Lambda_i \subset X_i(\infty)$  their respective limit sets, we have the Mostow map  $\varphi: \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$  which is the unique  $\pi_2 \pi_1^{-1}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  equivariant homeomorphism from  $\Lambda_1$  to  $\Lambda_2$ . It has the following property:

LEMMA 1.  $\varphi$  is quasi-conformal: for all  $\xi \in \Lambda_1$  and  $r > 0$  there is  $r' > 0$  such that

$$B_2(\varphi(\xi), C^{-1}r') \subseteq \varphi(B_1(\xi, r)) \subset B_2(\varphi(\xi), Cr')$$

where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant and  $B_i(\xi, r) := B(\xi, r) \cap \Lambda_i$ .

3.3. Let  $f: \Gamma_1 \backslash C(\Lambda_1) \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \backslash C(\Lambda_2)$  be a homotopy equivalence inducing  $\pi_2 \pi_1^{-1}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ . For  $t \geq 0$  and  $v \in \Omega_1$ , let  $C_{t,v} \subset \Gamma_2 \backslash C(\Lambda_2)$  be the curve which is the image by  $f$  of the geodesic starting at  $v$  and of length  $t$ . Let  $\varphi_t(v)$  be the length of the unique geodesic arc homotopic to  $C_{t,v}$ . Then  $\varphi_t(v)$  is a subadditive cocycle (triangle inequality), and there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\varphi_t - C$  is superadditive (quasi-geodesic lemma). It is easy to see that the formula

$$i(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_t(v) d\mu_1(v)$$

holds.

#### 4. Sketch of proofs.

4.1. Choose base points  $x_i \in X_i$ . A slight modification of an argument of Knieper [Kn] shows that the Manhattan curve  $\mathcal{C}_M$  coincides with the set of  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which the Poincaré series

$$P_s(a, b) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s[ad(\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, x_1) + bd(\pi_2(\gamma)x_2, x_2)]}$$

has critical exponent  $s = 1$ . We compactify  $X_1 \times X_2$  by  $\bar{X}_1 \times \bar{X}_2$ , where  $\bar{X}_i := X_i \cup X_i(\infty)$ , and observe that in this compactification the limit set of the diagonal  $\Gamma$ -action on  $X_1 \times X_2$  is  $\text{Graph } \varphi \subset \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$  where  $\varphi$  is the Mostow map. We call a measure  $\mu$  on  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$   $(a, b)$ -dimensional if

- (1)  $\text{supp } \mu \subset \text{Graph } \varphi$ ;
- (2)  $d(\gamma_* \mu)(\xi_1, \xi_2) = e^{-a\beta_{\xi_1}(\pi(\gamma_1)x_1) - b\beta_{\xi_2}(\pi(\gamma_2)x_2)} d\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**THEOREM 4.**  $\mathcal{C}_M$  is the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for which there exists an  $(a, b)$ -dimensional measure. Furthermore, for every  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_M$  this measure is unique up to scaling and is therefore ergodic.

The existence follows from Patterson’s construction using the Poincaré series  $P_s(a, b)$ . The uniqueness follows in a standard way from the local behavior of  $(a, b)$ -dimensional measures:

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $\mu$  be an  $(a, b)$ -dimensional measure. Then there are constants  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < +\infty$  such that

$$c_1 r^a r'^b \leq \mu_{a,b}(B(\xi, r) \times \varphi(B(\xi, r))) \leq c_2 r^a r'^b$$

$\forall \xi \in \Lambda_1, r > 0$ , and  $r'$  is given by Lemma 1.

*Proof.* Use Sullivan’s shadowing technique. □

Denote by  $\mu_{a,b}$  the unique  $(a, b)$ -dimensional probability measure.

*Proof of Theorem 1(a).* Assume that  $\mathcal{C}_M$  is a straight line and pick  $(a, b) = (\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2) \in \mathcal{C}_M$ . Let  $v_i$  be the projection of  $\mu_{a,b}$  on  $\Lambda_i$ , and hence  $\varphi_* v_1 = v_2$ . Vitali’s covering lemma, Cauchy Schwarz, and Lemma 2 imply then that  $v_i$  is equivalent to Patterson measure  $m_i$  on  $\Lambda_i$ . Hence if  $d\alpha_i := dm_i(\xi) dm_i(\eta)/e^{2\delta_i(\xi, \eta)x_i}$ , we have  $(\varphi \times \varphi)_* \alpha_1 = c\alpha_2$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . From this it follows easily that there are constants  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < +\infty$  such that

$$c_1 \leq \frac{d(\varphi(\xi), \varphi(\eta))^{\delta_2}}{d(\xi, \eta)^{\delta_1}} \leq c_2 \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \Lambda_1,$$

and hence

$$\frac{\ell_2(c)\delta_2}{\ell_1(c)\delta_1} = 1 \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Theorem 1(a) follows then from Proposition 1. □

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 3(a).** For every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_R$ , Patterson’s construction using the Poincaré series  $Q_{\alpha, \beta}(s)$  produces a measure  $\Pi_{\alpha, \beta}$  supported on  $\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in F} (\text{Graph } \varphi)_\lambda$ . Desintegrating  $\Pi_{\alpha, \beta}$  along  $F$ , we get measures  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)$  on  $\text{Graph } \varphi$  and a computation shows that they are  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda) := (\alpha/\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2}, \beta\lambda/\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2})$ -dimensional. Hence  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  lies on the intersection of the conic  $x^2/\alpha + y^2/\beta = 1$  and the Manhattan curve  $\mathcal{C}_M$  (Theorem 4).

Assume for simplicity  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ . Then the above ellipse cannot meet the interior of

$$\left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2: \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-[ad(\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, x_1) + bd(\pi_2(\gamma)x_2, x_2)]} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Indeed,  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_R$  and for any point  $(a, b)$  on this ellipse, we have  $ad_1 + bd_2 \leq \sqrt{\alpha d_1^2 + \beta d_2^2}$ , where  $d_i = d(\pi_i(\gamma)x_i, x_i)$ . This proves Theorem 3(a).  $\square$

4.3. Recall that for  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_M, \mu_{a,b}$  denotes the unique  $(a, b)$ -dimensional probability measure on Graph  $\varphi$ . The uniqueness statement in Theorem 4 implies that the map

$$\mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow M^1(\text{Graph } \varphi)$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto \mu_{a,b}$$

is continuous. Let  $\mu_{a,b}^{(1)}$  be the projection of  $\mu_{a,b}$  on  $\Lambda_1$ . From the  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant measure on  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_1$

$$\frac{d\mu_{a,b}^{(1)}(\xi) d\mu_{a,b}^{(1)}(\eta)}{e^{2a(\xi \cdot \eta)_{x_1}} e^{2b(\varphi(\xi) \cdot \varphi(\eta))_{x_2}}},$$

we deduce a probability measure  $\nu_{a,b}$  on  $\Omega_1 \subset T_1(\Gamma_1 \backslash X_1)$  which is invariant under the geodesic flow and, by Hopf's argument, ergodic since  $\mu_{a,b}$  is. Moreover

$$\mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow M^1(\Omega_1)$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto \nu_{a,b}$$

is continuous. Let

$$\lambda_{a,b} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_t(v) d\nu_{a,b}(v),$$

where  $\varphi_t(v)$  is the cocycle defined in §3.3. From the properties of  $\varphi_t(v)$  stated in §3.3, we deduce easily that the map  $(a, b) \rightarrow \lambda_{a,b}$  is continuous as well. Observe that for  $(a, b) = (\delta_1, 0)$  we have  $\mu_{a,b} = \mu_1$  and  $\lambda_{a,b} = i(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ .

The following lemma was provided by S. Mozes:

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\alpha$  be a geodesic flow-invariant ergodic probability measure on  $\Omega_1$  and*

$$\lambda := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_t(v) d\alpha(v).$$

For almost every  $v \in \Omega_1$ , there exists a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi_{t_n}(v) - \lambda t_n| \leq K \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1 (b).* In the proof of Theorem 3(a) we constructed a continuous map  $\mathcal{C}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M$ . Theorem 1(b) amounts essentially to showing that this map has a continuous inverse.

Let  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_M$  and assume for simplicity that  $a > 0, b > 0$ . From the local behavior of  $\mu_{a,b}^{(1)}$  (Lemma 2) and Lemma 3, it follows that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}} e^{-[ad(\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, x_1) + bd(\pi_2(\gamma)x_2, x_2)]} = +\infty$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |d(\pi_2(\gamma)x_2, x_2) - \lambda_{a,b}d(\pi_1(\gamma)x_1, x_1)| \leq c\}.$$

Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$  be the unique solution of

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2}}, \quad b = \frac{\beta\lambda}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta\lambda^2}}, \quad \text{where } \lambda = \lambda_{a,b}.$$

Then there is  $K > 0$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\sqrt{\alpha d_1^2 + \beta d_2^2} \leq ad_1 + bd_2 + K$$

where  $d_i = d(\pi_i(\gamma)x_i, x_i)$ , whereas the inequality

$$ad_1 + bd_2 \leq \sqrt{\alpha d_1^2 + \beta d_2^2}$$

always holds. This shows that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_R$ , and  $\lambda = \lambda_{a,b}$  is the number associated to  $(\alpha, \beta)$  by Theorem 3(a). In particular, at smooth points of  $\mathcal{C}_M$ ,  $\lambda_{a,b}$  is the slope of the normal at  $(a, b)$ . Since  $(a, b) \rightarrow \lambda_{a,b}$  is continuous,  $\mathcal{C}_M$  is  $C^1$ . We already observed that  $\lambda_{\delta_1,0} = i(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ .

The remaining assertions in Theorem 1(b) are easy. □

Details of the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3(b) will be published later on.

REFERENCES

[BS] C. BISHOP AND T. STEGER, *Three rigidity criteria for PSL(2, R)*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **24** (1991), 117–123.  
 [GhH] E. GHYS AND P. DE LA HARPE, EDS., *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Progr. Math. **83**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.



- [Gr] M. GROMOV, “Hyperbolic groups” in *Essays in Group Theory*, edited by S. M. Gersten, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **8**, Math. Sci. Res. Inst., Berkeley, Calif., 1987, 75–263.
- [JM] D. JOHNSON AND J. J. MILLSON, “Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds” in *Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis*, edited by R. Howe, Progr. Math. **67**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987, 48–106.
- [Kn] G. KNIEPER, *Das Wachstum der Äquivalenzklassen geschlossener Geodätischer in kompakten Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **40** (1983), 559–568.
- [La] S. P. LALLEY, *Mostow rigidity and the Bishop-Steger dichotomy for surfaces of variable negative curvature*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 237–269.
- [T] W. P. THURSTON, *Minimal stretch maps between hyperbolic surfaces*, preprint.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LAUSANNE, CH-1015 LAUSANNE-DORIGNY, SWITZERLAND; marc.burger@ima.unil.ch