

Lecture 13: (Chap 4, cont)

Our next item of discussion is the "localization of stochastic integrals". We are going to relax the integrability condition $H \in \Lambda_2$, (i.e. $H \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}, dP ds)$) in the definition of stochastic integrals. As mentioned previously, cf. (4.64), $\int_0^1 e^{\alpha X_s^2} ds$ presently has no meaning when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$, (for the sake of definiteness we consider the canonical space $(C, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, W_0)$ and the canonical process $X_0, t \geq 0$). We are going to remedy this feature and $\int_0^1 e^{\alpha X_s^2} dX_s$ will become well-defined for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, as a result of the construction below (as well as many other stochastic integrals!).

We introduce

$$(4.96) \Lambda_3 = \left\{ K: \mathcal{P}\text{-measurable functions on } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \text{ such that P.a.s., for all } t \geq 0, \int_0^t K_s^2(\omega) ds < \infty \right\}.$$

Remark:

- 1) Note that when $K_s(\omega)$ is (\mathcal{G}_s) -adapted, for each $s \geq 0$, and continuous in s , for each ω , then automatically $K \in \Lambda_3$. In particular $\exp\{\alpha X_s^2\}$, or $\exp\{\exp\{X_s^2\}\}$ belong to Λ_3 !
- 2) In the case where we consider a continuous square integrable martingale M , in place of X , the relevant condition will be $\int_0^t K_s^2(\omega) d\langle M \rangle_s(\omega) < \infty$, for all $t \geq 0$, outside a P -negligible set of ω . □

Lemma:

When $H \in \Lambda_3$, there exist a non-decreasing sequence of (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping times $S_n, n \geq 0$, which is P -a.s. tending to $+\infty$, such that for each $n \geq 0$:

$$(4.97) H^1_{[0, S_n]} \in \Lambda_2.$$

Proof:

Note that $(\omega, H) \rightarrow \int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) ds \in [0, \infty]$ is non-decreasing, (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted.

As a result

$$(4.98) S_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \{ t \geq 0; \int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) ds \geq n \} < \infty,$$

is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping time, (cf. (2.26), (2.27)), in fact the proof is simpler here because $\{S_n > t\} = \{ \int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) ds < n \} \in \mathcal{G}_t$, for each $t \geq 0$.

In addition we have:

$$E \left[\int_0^\infty (H_s^2 1_{[0, S_n]})_s(\omega) ds \right] \leq n < \infty,$$

and (4.97) holds. Moreover since $H \in \Lambda_3$, it follows that $S_n(\omega) \uparrow \infty$ for P-a.e ω . This proves our claim. \square

We are now ready to extend the definition of the stochastic integral to integrands in Λ_3 .

Definition and Theorem:

Let $H \in \Lambda_3$ and $S_n, n \geq 0$, be any sequence of (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping times, non-decreasing in n , P-a.s. tending to $+\infty$, and such that (4.97) holds. Then the event

$$(4.99) N = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{ \omega \in \Omega; \exists t \in \mathbb{Q}_+, (H 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_{t \wedge S_n} \neq (H 1_{[0, S_{n+1}]} \cdot X)_{t \wedge S_n} \}$$

$\cup \{ \omega \in \Omega; \lim_n S_n(\omega) < \infty \}$ is P-negligible and

$$(4.100) (H \cdot X)_t(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (H 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega), \text{ for } \omega \notin N, \text{ and } t \leq S_n(\omega),$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0, \text{ if } \omega \in N,$$

is well-defined, continuous, adapted. Two such processes arising from two possible choices of sequences of $S_n, n \geq 0$, and versions of $(H 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega)$, agree for all $t \geq 0$, except maybe in a negligible set, (i.e. (4.100) defines $(H \cdot X)$ in an essentially unique fashion).

Proof:

As a result of (4.95), the event N in (4.99) is P-negligible. Note that

$$(4.101) \text{ for } \omega \in N^c, (H 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega) = (H 1_{[0, S_{n'}]} \cdot X)_t(\omega),$$

for $n, n' \geq 0$, and for $0 \leq t \leq S_n(\omega) \wedge S_{n'}(\omega)$.

Hence $(H \cdot X)_t(\omega)$ in (4.100) is well-defined. Moreover for $t \geq 0$, $(H \cdot X)_t(\omega) = \lim_n (H 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega)$, if $\omega \notin N$, $= 0$, if $\omega \in N$.

Since $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ satisfies the usual conditions, cf. (4.5), (4.6), $(H.X)_t, t \geq 0$, is (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted. Further $t \rightarrow (H.X)_t(\omega)$ is continuous, for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

If $S_n, S'_n, n \geq 0$, are two sequences satisfying the assumptions of the theorem, the same holds for $T_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_n \wedge S'_n$. From (4.95) we thus find that

$$(4.102) \text{ P a.s. for } 0 \leq t \leq T_n(\omega), (H1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega) = (H1_{[0, S'_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega) = (H1_{[0, T_n]} \cdot X)_t(\omega).$$

The claim about the essential uniqueness in the claim (4.100) easily follows. \square

Remark:

Of course $\Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_3$, and for $H \in \Lambda_2$, we can choose $S_n \equiv \infty, n \geq 0$, so that (4.97) holds. Noting that $(H1_{[0, \infty]} \cdot X)_t, t \geq 0$, and $(H.X)_t, t \geq 0$, are indistinguishable, we see that the definition (4.100) is consistent when $H \in \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_3$. \square

We now have given a meaning to expressions like $\int_0^t \exp\{\exp\{X_s^2\}\} dX_s$, and of course we should not expect that we still keep the martingale property for $H \in \Lambda_3$, (an indication of this feature appeared in (4.64)). The adequate notion comes in the next

Definition:

A process $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$, such that there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times S_n, P -a.s. tending to ∞ , such that for each n , $(M_{t \wedge S_n})_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous square integrable martingale, is called a continuous local martingale.

Remark:

When M_0 is bounded, one can replace "continuous square integrable" with "continuous bounded". Indeed for such an $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as above, with M_0 bounded,

we define the sequence of (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping times:

$$(4.103) \quad T_m = \inf\{s \geq 0; |M_s| \geq m\} \leq \infty,$$

so that $T_m \uparrow \infty$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then for fixed $m \geq \|M_0\|_\infty$,

$|M_{t \wedge T_m}| \leq m$, for $t \geq 0$, and when $0 \leq s < t$, $A \in \mathcal{G}_s$, we have:

$$(4.104) \quad E[M_{t \wedge T_m} | A] \stackrel{\text{dom. conv.}}{=} \lim_n E[M_{t \wedge T_m \wedge S_n} | A],$$

By the stopping theorem $\tilde{M}_{t \wedge T_m}$ is a continuous martingale if

\tilde{M}_t is a continuous martingale, and applying this to

$\tilde{M}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_{t \wedge S_n}$, we find that the last term of (4.104) equals

$$\lim_n E[M_{t \wedge T_m \wedge S_n} | A] \stackrel{\text{dom. conv.}}{=} E[M_{t \wedge T_m} | A].$$

In other words $(M_{t \wedge T_m})_{t \geq 0}$ is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -martingale, which is bounded and continuous, and our claim follows. \square

Exercises:

1) Deduce the continuous time stopping theorem we used above from the discrete time version, (see also Karatzas-Shreve, p.19).

2) Show that a bounded continuous local martingale is a martingale. \square

Continuous local martingales naturally arise in our context as shown by the next

Proposition:

(4.105) For $H \in \Lambda_2$, $(H \cdot X)_t$, $t \geq 0$, is a continuous local martingale.

Proof:

Consider an increasing sequence of stopping times $S_n \uparrow \infty$, P.a.s., such that for each n , $H \cdot 1_{[0, S_n]} \in \Lambda_2$, then

$$(4.106) \quad \text{P-a.s. for } t \geq 0, (H \cdot X)_{t \wedge S_n} \stackrel{(4.100)}{=} (H \cdot 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_{t \wedge S_n}$$

$$\stackrel{(4.91)}{=} (H \cdot 1_{[0, S_n]} \cdot X)_t,$$

↑ continuous square integrable martingale.

Our claim follows. \square

CHAPTER 5: STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS FOR CONTINUOUS

LOCAL MARTINGALES

In this chapter we are going to define the stochastic integral $\int_0^t H_s dM_s$ when the integrator M is a continuous local martingale and H is progressively measurable and such that $\int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) d\langle M \rangle_s(\omega) < \infty$, where $\langle M \rangle$ is the so-called "quadratic variation of the local martingale M ". As in the previous chapter the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ satisfies the "usual conditions", see (4.5), (4.6). Our first task will be the construction of $\langle M \rangle$. We begin with the

Lemma:

Suppose $A_t, t \geq 0, B_t, t \geq 0$, are continuous (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted, non-decreasing processes such that $A_0 = B_0 = 0$, and

(5.1) $A_t - B_t$ is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -local martingale,

then

(5.2) P-a.s., for all $t \geq 0, A_t(\omega) = B_t(\omega)$.

Proof:

Introduce the non-decreasing sequence of (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping times

(5.3) $S_n = \inf \{ s \geq 0, A_s \text{ or } B_s \geq n \}$,

and note that $S_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As in (4.103) we see that

(5.4) $A_{t \wedge S_n} - B_{t \wedge S_n}, t \geq 0$, is a bounded martingale, for each $n \geq 0$.

It thus suffices to prove the theorem in the case where $A_t, t \geq 0$, and $B_t, t \geq 0$, are uniformly bounded, and

(5.5) $M_t = A_t - B_t, t \geq 0$, is a bounded continuous martingale.

We now observe that for $t \geq 0$:

$$E[M_t^2] = E\left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-1} M_{\frac{k+1}{2^m}t} - M_{\frac{k}{2^m}t}\right)^2\right]$$

and expanding the square, the cross terms disappear by the martingale property.

So we find

$$E[M_t^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-1} E[(M_{\frac{k+1}{2^m}t} - M_{\frac{k}{2^m}t})^2] = E[\sum_{0 \leq k < 2^m} (M_{\frac{k+1}{2^m}t} - M_{\frac{k}{2^m}t})^2]$$

$$E[\sup_{0 \leq k < 2^m} |M_{\frac{k+1}{2^m}t} - M_{\frac{k}{2^m}t}| \times \sum_{0 \leq k < 2^m} |M_{\frac{k+1}{2^m}t} - M_{\frac{k}{2^m}t}|] \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dom. conv.}} 0.$$

by continuity $\downarrow m \rightarrow \infty$ $\leq A_{\infty} + B_{\infty} \leq \text{const} < \infty$

We have thus shown that for $t \geq 0$:

$$(5.6) \quad E[M_t^2] = 0,$$

and hence P-a.s., for $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $M_t = A_t - B_t = 0$. But by continuity we have P-a.s., for $t \geq 0$, $A_t(\omega) = B_t(\omega)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square