

Lecture 16:CHAPTER 6: ITO'S FORMULA AND FIRST APPLICATIONS

In this chapter we will prove Ito's formula, which is a fundamental "change of variable formula" for stochastic integrals, and the source of explicit calculations. We will also discuss some of these applications. Throughout this chapter $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ will denote a filtered probability space, which satisfies the "usual conditions", cf. (4.5), (4.6).

Definition:

A continuous semimartingale $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is a continuous adapted process, which admits the decomposition

$$(6.1) \quad Y_t = Y_0 + M_t + A_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $M_t, t \geq 0$, is a continuous local martingale such that $M_0 = 0$, and $A_t, t \geq 0$, is a continuous adapted process with bounded variation on finite intervals, such that $A_0 = 0$.

Remark:

The same argument used in the proof of (5.43), (5.44) shows that when $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous semimartingale,

(6.2) the decomposition (6.1) is essentially unique. □

Notation:

For $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a continuous semimartingale we will write

$$(6.3) \quad \Lambda(Y) = \left\{ H: \mathbb{P}\text{-measurable on } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for } t \geq 0, \int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^t |H_s| d|A|_s < \infty \right\},$$

where M and A are as in (6.1) and $|A|_t$ denotes the total variation process of A .

Then for $H \in \Lambda(\gamma)$, we will use the notation

$$(6.4) \int_0^t H_s dY_s = \int_0^t H_s dM_s + \int_0^t H_s dA_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

so that (6.4) defines $\int_0^t H_s dY_s, t \geq 0$, in an essentially unique fashion (with respect to the various versions and decompositions in (6.1)).

Example:

Any continuous adapted process $H_s(\omega)$ is automatically in $\Lambda(\gamma)$. In particular an expression such as $\int_0^t \exp\{\exp(\gamma_s^2 + \Delta^2)\} dY_s$ (for instance) is well defined. \square

An important first step towards Ito's formula will be the next

Proposition: (Integration by parts formula)

If $Y_t, t \geq 0$, and $Z_t, t \geq 0$, are continuous semimartingales on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$, then P.-a.s., for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(6.5) Y_t Z_t = Y_0 Z_0 + \int_0^t Y_s dZ_s + \int_0^t Z_s dY_s + \langle Y, Z \rangle_t,$$

where $\langle Y, Z \rangle_t, t \geq 0$, denotes the bracket of the local martingale parts of Y and Z .

Proof: We begin with several reductions.

It is enough to prove for Y as above that P.-a.s.,

$$(6.6) Y_t^2 = Y_0^2 + 2 \int_0^t Y_s dY_s + \langle Y \rangle_t, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

(i.e. prove (6.5) when $Y = Z$). Indeed we then apply (6.6) to $(Y+Z)^2$ and $(Y-Z)^2$ and recovers (6.5).

Moreover we can also replace Y with $Y^n = \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n > 0\}} Y^{T_n}$, where

$Y_s^{T_n} = Y_{s \wedge T_n}, n \geq 1$, and $T_n \uparrow \infty$ is the sequence of stopping times

$$(6.7) T_n = \inf \{s \geq 0, |Y_s| \geq n, |M_s| \geq n, |A_s| \geq n \text{ or } \langle M \rangle_s \geq n\}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and in this fashion we can assume that

$Y, M, |A|, \langle Y \rangle$ are continuous bounded processes, (so M is in fact a martingale)

Since all processes appearing in (6.6) are continuous, it is also sufficient

to prove (6.6) for fixed t .

We thus pick a fixed $t \geq 0$, and define for $m \geq 1$,

$$(6.8) \quad t_i = \frac{it}{m}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m.$$

We then write:

$$(6.9) \quad Y_t^2 = \left(Y_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i}) \right)^2 = Y_0^2 + \sum_{i < m} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < m} Y_{t_i} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i}).$$

We now analyze the convergence of the last two terms in the right-hand side of (6.9), as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have:

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{i < m} Y_{t_i} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i}) = \sum_{i < m} Y_{t_i} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}) + \sum_{i < m} Y_{t_i} (A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) \\ = \int_0^t Y_{\wedge}^m dM_{\wedge} + \int_0^t Y_{\wedge}^m dA_{\wedge},$$

with

$$(6.11) \quad Y_{\wedge}^m(\omega) = \sum_{0 \leq i < m} Y_{t_i}(\omega) 1_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(\Delta).$$

Clearly using dominated convergence we find that:

$$E \left[\int_0^t (Y_{\wedge} - Y_{\wedge}^m)^2 d\langle Y \rangle_{\wedge} \right] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ and}$$

$$E \left[\int_0^t |Y_{\wedge} - Y_{\wedge}^m| d|A|_{\wedge} \right] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It thus follows that

$$(6.12) \quad \int_0^t Y_{\wedge}^m dM_{\wedge} \xrightarrow{L^2(P)} \int_0^t Y_{\wedge} dM_{\wedge} \text{ and } \int_0^t Y_{\wedge}^m dA_{\wedge} \xrightarrow{L^1(P)} \int_0^t Y_{\wedge} dA_{\wedge}.$$

This shows that

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{i < m} Y_{t_i} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i}) \xrightarrow{L^1(P)} \int_0^t Y_{\wedge} dY_{\wedge}.$$

We now come back to the second term of the right-hand side of (6.9).

We write for $0 \leq i < m$,

$$(6.14) \quad \Delta_i^m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 - \langle Y \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle Y \rangle_{t_i}.$$

The calculation below resembles what we did in (3.4). For $m \geq 1$,

$$(6.15) \quad A_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E \left[\left(\sum_{i < m} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 - \langle Y \rangle_t \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\left(\sum_{i < m} \Delta_i^m \right)^2 \right] = \\ \sum_{i < m} E \left[(\Delta_i^m)^2 \right] + 2 \sum_{i < j < m} E \left[\Delta_i^m \Delta_j^m \right].$$

As we now explain the $\Delta_i^m, 1 \leq i < m$, are pairwise orthogonal.]

Indeed by (5.9), we have for $j < m$

$$(6.16) \quad E[\Delta_j^m | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}^m] = E[M_{t_{j+1}}^2 - 2M_{t_{j+1}}M_{t_j} + M_{t_j}^2 - \langle Y \rangle_{t_{j+1}} - \langle Y \rangle_{t_j} | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}^m] = \\ E[M_{t_{j+1}}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{t_{j+1}} | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}^m] - 2M_{t_j} E[M_{t_{j+1}} | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}^m] + M_{t_j}^2 + \langle Y \rangle_{t_j} \stackrel{(5.9)}{=} \\ M_{t_j}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{t_j} - 2M_{t_j}^2 + M_{t_j}^2 + \langle Y \rangle_{t_j} = 0.$$

Thus the last term of (6.15) vanishes. Moreover we have

$$A_m = \sum_{i < m} E[(\Delta_i^m)^2] \leq \sum_{i < m} 2E[(M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^4] + 2E[(\langle Y \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle Y \rangle_{t_i})^2] \\ \leq 2E[\sup_{i < m} |M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}|^2 |\sum_{i < m} \Delta_i^m|] \\ + 2E[(\sup_{i < m} |M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}|^2 + \sup_{i < m} |\langle Y \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle Y \rangle_{t_i}|) \langle Y \rangle_t] \\ \stackrel{m \rightarrow \infty}{\downarrow} \text{by dominated convergence.}$$

Further note that $2ab \leq 2a^2 + b^2$, for a, b in \mathbb{R} .

$$(6.17) \quad 2E[\sup_{i < m} |M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}|^2 |\sum_{i < m} \Delta_i^m|] \stackrel{(6.15)}{\leq} \frac{A_m}{2} + 2E[\sup_{i < m} |M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}|^2] \stackrel{m \rightarrow \infty}{\downarrow} \text{dominated convergence}$$

Thus coming back to the line, we have shown

$$(6.18) \quad A_m = \sum_{i < m} E[(\Delta_i^m)^2] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

By (6.15) this means that

$$(6.19) \quad \sum_{i < m} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{L^2(P)} \langle Y \rangle_t.$$

To prove an analogous statement for $\sum_{i < m} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i})^2$, which is our main object of interest in view of (6.9), we write:

$$(6.20) \quad \left| \sum_{i < m} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i})^2 - \sum_{i < m} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 \right| \leq$$

$$2 \sum_{i < m} |A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}| |M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}| + |A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}|^2 \leq$$

$$2 \left(\sum_{i < m} |A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i < m} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{i < m} (A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i})^2$$

and observe that by dominated convergence and continuity,

$$\sum_{i < m} (A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i})^2 \leq \sup_{i < m} |A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}| |A|_t \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{L^2(P)} 0.$$

Thus coming back to the last line of (6.20) we see using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality for the first term and (6.19) that all terms converge to 0 in $L^2(P)$. We have thus shown that

$$(6.21) \quad \sum_{i < m} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i})^2 \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{L^2(P)} \langle Y \rangle_t.$$

Together with (6.13) this concludes the proof of (6.6) for fixed t , and thus our general claim (6.5) has been established. \square

We now turn to the main result.

Theorem: (Itô's formula)

Let F be a C^2 -function on \mathbb{R}^d , and Y^1, \dots, Y^d be continuous semimartingales on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. Then writing $Y = (Y^1, \dots, Y^d)$, the real-valued process $F(Y_t), t \geq 0$, is a continuous semimartingale and \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(6.22) \quad F(Y_t) = F(Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_i F(Y_s) dY_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_{ij}^2 F(Y_s) d\langle Y^i, Y^j \rangle_s.$$

Proof:

The formula (6.22) shows that $F(Y_t), t \geq 0$, is a continuous semimartingale. We use several reductions to prove (6.22).

— first reduction:

using "localization", just as explained above (6.7), we can assume that $Y_t^i, \langle Y^i, Y^j \rangle_t$ and the total variation of the bounded variation processes entering the decomposition (6.1) of the $Y_t^i, t \geq 0$, are uniformly bounded processes.

— second reduction:

we can assume that $F(\cdot)$ is C^2 with compact support.

— third reduction:

we can assume that $F(\cdot)$ is a polynomial.

Indeed note that it suffices now to prove (6.22) for $F \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, since any $F \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is approximated, for instance by convolution, in C^2 -topology by such functions, and (6.22) remains true in the limit. But $F \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is approximated in C^2 -topology on any compact by linear combinations of $e^{i\zeta \cdot x}$, with $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (for instance using Fourier series, when L is large enough so that $\text{support}(f) \subset (-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2})^d$, one has:

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_k e^{i 2\pi \frac{k}{L} \cdot x}, \text{ for } x \in (-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2})^d, \text{ with } a_k = \frac{1}{L^d} \int_{(-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2})^d} f(\frac{z}{L}) e^{-i 2\pi \frac{k}{L} \cdot z} dz. \quad 104$$

Now we have the expansion $e^{i k \cdot x} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} (i k \cdot x)^n$, which shows that $e^{i k \cdot x}$ is approximated in C^2 -topology on compact sets by polynomials and the third reduction follows.

We are thus reduced to proving (6.22) for $F(\cdot)$ a polynomial in the coordinate variables. We will now prove that:

(6.23) The validity of (6.22) for the polynomial F implies the validity of (6.22) for the polynomials $G(x_1, \dots, x_d) = x_i F(x_1, \dots, x_d)$, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Indeed we apply (6.5) to Y^i_0 and $F(Y)$, and find that

$$G(Y_t) = G(Y_0) + \int_0^t Y^i_0 dF(Y)_s + \int_0^t F(Y_s) dY^i_0 + \langle Y^i_0, F(Y) \rangle_t$$

and since (6.22) holds true for $F(Y)$

$$G(Y_t) = G(Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t Y^i_0 \partial_i F(Y_s) dY^i_s + \int_0^t F(Y_s) dY^i_0$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t Y^i_0 \partial_i \partial_j F(Y_s) d\langle Y^i, Y^j \rangle_s + \langle Y^i_0, F(Y) \rangle_t.$$

Now with (5.82) and (6.22) we also have

$$\langle Y^i_0, F(Y) \rangle_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_i F(Y_s) d\langle Y^i_0, Y^i \rangle_s.$$

Inserting this identity in the last term of the previous formula now yields that:

$$G(Y_t) = G(Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_i G(Y_s) dY^i_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_i \partial_j G(Y_s) d\langle Y^i, Y^j \rangle_s,$$

and (6.23) is proved.

Since (6.22) clearly holds when $F = \text{constant}$, it follows by (6.23), that (6.22) holds for all polynomials F . This as explained above yields the general claim. \square

Example: (canonical d -dimensional Brownian motion)

We can apply the above theorem in the special case where

$Y_t = X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$ is the canonical d -dimensional Brownian motion, and $(C, \mathcal{F}, (F_t)_{t \geq 0}, W_0)$, cf. (4.7), is the filtered probability space, (which as we have seen satisfies the usual conditions).

In this example each $X_t^i, t \geq 0$, are (F_t) -martingales, and

$$(6.24) \quad X_t^i X_t^j - \delta_{ij} t, \quad t \geq 0, \text{ are } (F_t)\text{-martingales, for } 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

↑ Kronecker's symbol.

(when $i=j$, see (4.2), (4.18), the case $i \neq j$ simply uses a simple modification of (4.4)). In view of (5.43), (5.44), this means that

$$(6.25) \quad \langle X^i, X^j \rangle_t = \delta_{ij} t, \quad t \geq 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

In particular, in the rightmost term of Ito's formula, only terms with $i=j$ are present, and hence for $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$,

W_0 -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(6.26) \quad F(X_t) = F(0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_i F(X_s) dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Delta F(X_s) ds,$$

where $\Delta F(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i^2 F(x)$ is the Laplacian of F .

□