

Lecture 17: (Chap. 6, cont.)

We will now describe some first applications of Ito's formula. We recall that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  is a filtered probability space satisfying the "usual conditions", cf. (4.5), (4.6).

Exponential Martingales:Theorem:

Let  $M_t, t \geq 0$ , be a continuous  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -local martingale, with  $M_0 = 0$ .

(6.27)  $Z_t = \exp\{M_t - \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t\}, t \geq 0$ , is a continuous  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -local martingale, which satisfies the "stochastic differential equation":

$$(6.28) \text{ P-a.s., for all } t \geq 0, \quad Z_t = 1 + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s.$$

Moreover if for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < T \leq \infty$ ,

$$(6.29) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\frac{(\varepsilon T)}{2} \langle M \rangle_T\right\}\right] < \infty, \text{ then}$$

$$(6.30) Z_t, t \leq T, \text{ is a continuous } (\mathcal{G}_t)\text{-martingale.}$$

Remarks:

1) We will later see that (6.30) is still valid when (6.29) holds with  $\varepsilon = 0$ . This is the so-called Novikov condition, cf. Karatzas-Shreve, Revuz-Yor. For the time being we discuss this simpler result which has an elementary proof and can be helpful in a number of situations.

2) If (6.29) holds with  $T = \infty$ , then the proof below will show that for small  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$(6.30)' Z_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is a continuous } (\mathcal{G}_t)\text{-martingale bounded in } L^{1+\eta}. \quad \square$$

Exercise:

Show that when  $\mathbb{E}[\langle M \rangle_T] < \infty$ , ( $M_t, t \geq 0$ , as above), then  $M_t, t \leq T$ , is a continuous square integrable martingale. □

Proof:

— (6.28): We introduce the function

$$(6.31) f(x, t) = \exp\left\{x - \frac{1}{2} t\right\}, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This function satisfies the equation:

$$(6.32) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 0.$$

We will now apply Itô's formula (6.22) to  $Y = (Y^1, Y^2) = (M, \langle M \rangle)$ . We first note that

$$\langle Y^1, Y^2 \rangle = 0 = \langle Y^2 \rangle, \text{ and } \langle Y^1 \rangle = \langle M \rangle.$$

We thus find that P.-a.s., for  $t \geq 0$ :

$$(6.33) \quad f(M_t, \langle M \rangle_t) = f(0, 0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(M_s, \langle M \rangle_s) dM_s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(M_s, \langle M \rangle_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(M_s, \langle M \rangle_s) d\langle M \rangle_s$$

$$\stackrel{(6.32)}{=} 1 + \int_0^t f(M_s, \langle M \rangle_s) dM_s, \quad (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = f).$$

Since  $Z_t = f(M_t, \langle M \rangle_t)$ , this proves (6.28), as well as the fact that  $Z_t, t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale.

(6.30):

We consider  $T_n \uparrow \infty$ , a sequence of finite stopping times such that

$$(6.34) \quad M_{t \wedge T_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is a bounded martingale for each } n.$$

Observe that  $Z_{t \wedge T_n}$  is a bounded continuous local-martingale and hence, cf. (4.104),

$$(6.35) \quad Z_{t \wedge T_n}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is a bounded martingale for each } n.$$

We will now see that

$$(6.36) \quad \text{for some } q > 1, \sup_{n \geq 0} E[Z_{T \wedge T_n}^q] < \infty.$$

From Doob's inequality (4.76), it will follow that

$$(6.37) \quad E[(\sup_{t \leq T} Z_t)^q] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\sup_{t \leq T \wedge T_n} Z_t^q] \leq \lim_n \frac{(q)^q}{(q-1)^q} E[Z_{T \wedge T_n}^q] < \infty.$$

Together with (6.35), this will imply by dominated convergence that:

$$(6.38) \quad Z_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is a continuous martingale bounded in } L^q.$$

This will prove (6.30) (and also (6.30)' in the case  $T = \infty$ ; in this case  $Z_\infty$  exists due to the martingale convergence theorem).

There remains to prove (6.36). We pick  $q, \varphi > 1$ , and write:

$$(6.39) \quad E[Z_T^q] = E[\exp\{qM_{T \wedge T_n} - \frac{q}{2} \langle M \rangle_{T \wedge T_n}\}] = \\ E[\exp\{qM_{T \wedge T_n} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi q^2 \langle M \rangle_{T \wedge T_n} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(\varphi q - 1) \langle M \rangle_{T \wedge T_n}\}] \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \\ E[\exp\{\varphi q M_{T \wedge T_n} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 q^2 \langle M \rangle_{T \wedge T_n}\}]^{1/\varphi} E[\exp\{\frac{\varphi}{2} \varphi(\varphi q - 1) \langle M \rangle_{T \wedge T_n}\}]^{\frac{\varphi-1}{\varphi}}$$

Note that  $\{\exp\{\varphi q M_{t \wedge T_n} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 q^2 \langle M \rangle_{t \wedge T_n}\}\}$  is a bounded martingale just as in (6.35), and the first term in the last line of (6.39) equals 1.

So we see that for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$E[Z_T^q] \leq E[\exp\{\frac{\varphi}{2} \varphi(\varphi q - 1) \langle M \rangle_T\}]^{\frac{\varphi-1}{\varphi}}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\varphi \downarrow 1} \lim_{q \downarrow 1} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi-1} \varphi(\varphi q - 1) = 1,$$

and hence we can choose  $q, \varphi > 1$ , so that

$$\frac{\varphi}{\varphi-1} \varphi(\varphi q - 1) < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Together with (6.29) and the above inequality yields (6.36).  $\square$

### Example.

$X_t, t \geq 0$ , Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(6.40) \quad \exp\{\lambda X_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} t\} \text{ is a martingale.}$$

Consider  $a > 0$  and the entrance time of  $X$  i.e.:

$$H_a = \inf\{t \geq 0; X_t = a\}, \text{ (the distribution of } H_a \text{ under } W_0 \text{ appears in (2.54))}$$

Then using the stopping theorem, we see that when  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(6.41) \quad \exp\{\lambda X_{t \wedge H_a} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (t \wedge H_a)\} \text{ is a martingale, which is bounded above by } e^{\lambda a}.$$

As a result we obtain that

$$1 = E_0[\exp\{\lambda X_{t \wedge H_a} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (t \wedge H_a)\}] \text{, and since } H_a < \infty, W_0\text{-a.s.,} \\ \text{dominated convergence } \downarrow t \rightarrow \infty$$

$$E_0[\exp\{\lambda H_a - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} H_a\}] = e^{\lambda a} E_0[e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} H_a}].$$

Setting  $u = \lambda^2/2$ , we obtain by symmetry:

$$(6.42) \quad E_0[\exp\{-u H_a\}] = \exp\{-|a| \sqrt{2u}\}, \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R}, u \geq 0. \quad \square$$

As application of exponential martingales, we will prove Paul Lévy's characterization of Brownian motion. We introduce the following

### Definition:

A continuous adapted  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process  $X_t, t \geq 0$ , with  $X_0 = 0$ , is called  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Brownian motion if for  $0 \leq s < t$ ,

(6.43)  $X_t - X_s$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}_s$  and  $N(0, (t-s)I)$ -distributed.

### Remark:

A  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Brownian motion is then of course in particular a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion in the sense of the definition (1.1). However the independence assumption (6.43) is a priori a (possibly) more stringent requirement (since  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  contains all the information in  $\sigma(X_u, u \leq t), t \geq 0$ , and maybe more).

### Theorem: (P. Lévy's characterization of Brownian motion)

If  $X_t, t \geq 0$ , is a  $d$ -dimensional continuous  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -local martingale, such that  $X_0 = 0$ , and

(6.44)  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle_t = \delta_{ij} t$ , for  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,

then

(6.45)  $X_t, t \geq 0$ , is a  $d$ -dimensional  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Brownian motion.

### Proof:

The same calculation as in (6.33) shows that for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

(6.46)  $Z_t = \exp\{i \zeta \cdot X_t + \frac{1}{2} |\zeta|^2 t\}, t \geq 0$ ,  
is a complex-valued continuous  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -local martingale, which is bounded when  $t$  remains bounded. Hence it is a continuous martingale and for  $0 \leq s < t$ :

$E[Z_t | \mathcal{G}_s] \stackrel{P.e.s.}{=} Z_s$  so that (since  $Z_s \neq 0$ )

$\frac{1}{Z_s} \stackrel{P.e.s.}{=} E[Z_t Z_s^{-1} | \mathcal{G}_s] = E[\exp\{i \zeta \cdot (X_t - X_s) + \frac{1}{2} |\zeta|^2 (t-s)\} | \mathcal{G}_s].$

As a result for  $0 \leq s < t$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(6.47) \quad E \left[ \exp i \zeta \cdot (X_t - X_s) \mid \mathcal{G}_s \right] \stackrel{\text{P.e.s.}}{=} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\zeta|^2 (t-s) \right\}.$$

This implies that for  $0 \leq s < t$

$X_t - X_s$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}_s$  and  $N(0, (t-s)I)$ -distributed.

The claim (6.45) now readily follows.  $\square$

### Remark:

If we now look again at the assumptions (4.20), (4.21), when we began the discussion of stochastic integrals, we see that they are equivalent to the fact that  $X_t - X_0$  is a  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Brownian motion and  $X_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathbb{P})$ . This link with Brownian motion was not clear at the time we introduced (4.20), (4.21).  $\square$

We will now give a further application of exponential martingales.

### Proposition: (Bernstein inequality)

If  $M_t, t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale with  $M_0 = 0$ , and  $\langle M \rangle_t \leq ct$ , for  $t \geq 0$ , then  $M_t, t \geq 0$ , is a martingale and

$$(6.48) \quad P \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} M_t \geq a \right] \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{2cT} \right\}, \quad \text{for } a, T > 0,$$

(and hence  $P \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |M_t| \geq a \right] \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{2cT} \right\}$ , for  $a, T > 0$ ).

### Proof:

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , thanks to (6.27), (6.30)

$$Z_t = \exp \left\{ \lambda M_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle M \rangle_t \right\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a continuous martingale. We can pick  $\lambda > 0$ , and we have thanks to Doob's inequality, cf. (4.67):

$$(6.49) \quad P\left[\sup_{t \leq T} M_t \geq a\right] \leq P\left[\sup_{t \leq T} Z_t \geq \exp\left\{\lambda a - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} c T\right\}\right]$$

(4.67)

$$\leq \exp\left\{-\lambda a + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} c T\right\} \underbrace{E[Z_T]}_{E[Z_0]=1}.$$

We can optimize over  $\lambda > 0$ , and choose  $\lambda = \frac{a}{cT}$ , so that  
 $-\lambda a + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} c T = -\frac{a^2}{2cT}$ . As a result we find that

$$P\left[\sup_{t \leq T} M_t \geq a\right] \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{a^2}{2cT}\right\},$$

that is (6.48) holds. Applying this inequality to  $-M$ , we  
 thus see that  $M_t, t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale,  
 which is square integrable. It is therefore a martingale,  
 cf. (4.104), (alternatively use the exercise below (6.30)'). □