Computer-aided design of structural parts from short fiber reinforced composites

Andrei A. Gusev

Department of Materials, ETH-Zurich, Switzerland

Outlook

- Structural parts from short fiber composites
- □ Non-uniform effective properties
- □ Finite element based procedure for predicting effective properties
- Validation
- **u** Two step orientation averaging approach
- Computer-aided design

Collaboration:

- Dr. P.J. Hine, IRC in Polymer Science & Technology, University of Leeds
- □ H.R. Lusti, Department of Materials, Polymer Chemistry, ETH Zürich

Short fiber composites

- Polymers have a stiffness of 1-3 GPa
 - glass fibers 70 GPa
 - carbon fibers 400 GPa
- Short fiber reinforced polymers
 - fiber aspect ratio 10-40
 - volume loading 5-15%





Gear wheel



0.5 mm



Acceleration pedal (Ford)



Complex shape parts

- □ Steel molds (dies) are expensive
 - on the order of \$20k and more
- Before any steel mold has been cut
 - mold filling flow simulations
- To optimize mold geometry & processing conditions
 - gate positions
 - flow fronts
 - local curing
 - mold temperatures
 - cycle times
 - etc.
- □ Software vendors: Moldflow, Sigmasoft, etc.
 - full 3D flow simulations instead of 2¹/₂ D
 - 6th order orientation tensor closures



SigmaSoft GmbH, 2001

Local fiber orientation states



- □ Non-uniform fiber orientation states
 ⇒ non-uniform local material properties
 - stiffness
 - thermal expansion
 - heat conductivity, etc.

• Area with a high degree of orientation



Area with a low degree of orientation





Structural performance

- □ Finite Element Method
 - software vendors: Abaqus, Ansys, Nastran, etc.
 - only license fees ca. \$1b with a growth rate of 18%



- Short fiber reinforced composite parts
 - mold filling process results in non-uniform fiber orientations
 - and therefore in non-uniform elastic constants
 - in principle, not a problem for FEM
 - provided that the elastic constants across the part are known

Computer-aided design





Direct finite element procedure

- Periodic Monte Carlo configurations
 - with non-overlapping spheres





J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 1997, 45, 1449

with non-overlapping fibers



Adv. Eng. Mater, 2002, 4, 933



periodic morphology adaptive





10⁷ tetrahedral elements



Validation

- □ Short glass-fiber-polypropylene granulate
 - Hoechst, Grade 2U02 (8 vol. % fibers)
 - injection molded circular dumbbells



- □ Image analysis
 - typical image frame (700x530 μm)



- Measured fiber orientation distribution
 - transversely isotropic
 - statistics of 1.5.10⁴ fibers



- □ Measured phase properties
 - polypropylene matrix
 - *E* = 1.6 GPa, v = 0.34, $\alpha = 1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ K⁻¹
 - glass fibers

E = 72 GPa, v = 0.2, $\alpha = 4.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹

average fiber aspect ratio a = 37.3

Validation

- Monte Carlo computer models
 - 150 non-overlapping fibers



- AA Gusev, PJ Hine, IM Ward *Comp. Sci.Tecn.* **2000**, *60*, 535
- PJ Hine, HR Lusti, AA Gusev Comp. Sci.Tecn. 2002, 62, 1927

■ Fiber orientation distribution
– compared to the measured one
0.10
....
....



□ Effective properties

	numerical	measured
<i>E</i> ₁₁ [GPa]	$\textbf{5.14} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{5.1} \pm \textbf{0.25}$
α ₁₁ [10 ^{5.} Κ ⁻¹]	$\textbf{3.1}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{1.5}$
α ₃₃ [10 ^{5.} Κ ⁻¹]	11.7 ± 0.1	12.1 ± 0.2

Two step procedure

- □ Single fiber
 - unit vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$



Step 1: System with fully aligned fibers



- numerical prediction for C_{ik} , α_{ik} , ε_{ik} , etc.
- **Step 2:** System with a given fiber orientation state

- □ System with *N* fibers
 - 2nd order orientation tensor

$$a_{ij} = \left\langle p_i p_j \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_i^{(n)} p_j^{(n)}$$

- 4th & 6th order tensors



- orientation averaging
- quick arithmetic calculation



Orientation averaging

System with fully aligned fibers



- transversely isotropic
- effective dielectric constants

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

System with a given orientation state

$$\left\langle \varepsilon_{ij} \right\rangle_{\text{eff}} = (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} + \varepsilon_2 \delta_{ij}$$

- where δ_{ik} is the unit tensor
- *a_{ik}* second order orientation tensor

- **a** Analogous equations for C_{ik} , α_{ik} , etc.
 - with the 4th order orientation tensor
- □ How accurate are the estimates?





- about 200 computer models
- with all possible *a*_{ik}
- various fiber loading f and aspect ratio a
- both glass and carbon fibers
- Remarkably

direct numerical & orientation averaging agree within 2-3% for both C_{ik} , α_{ik} , and ε_{ik}

Composites with fully aligned fibers

- Empirical Halpin-Tsai equations
 - most widely used in industry
 - initially for long fiber composites
 - then generalized to short fiber ones



- Comparison with numerical predictions
 - ca. 100 computer models
 - with different matrices
 - various fiber loading & aspect ratio
 - both glass and carbon fibers

on average \pm 45%, max.120%

- Rational Tandon-Weng model
 - micromechanics-based
 - single inclusion, self-consistent
 - analytical Eshelby's solution



- closed form solutions for glass fibers
- Qui-Weng extension to carbon fibers
- Comparison with numerical predictions
 - the same computer models
 - as for the Halpin-Tsai equations

on average ±20%, max. 60%

Computer-aided design of short fiber reinforced composite parts



Department of Materials

- Polymer Chemistry
 - Gusev, Hine, Ward, Comp. Sci. Tecn.
 2000, 60, 535
 - Hine, Lusti, Gusev, Comp. Sci.Tecn.
 2002, 62, 1445
 - Lusti, Hine, Gusev, Comp. Sci.Tecn.
 2002, 62, 1927
 - Gusev, Lusti, Hine, Adv. Eng. Mater.
 2002, 4, 927
 - Gusev, Heggli, Lusti, Hine, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2002**, *4*, 931
- □ Spin-off company: MatSim GmbH, Zürich
 - Palmyra by MatSim, www.matsim.ch
- Acknowledgements
 - Professor UW Suter, ETH Zürich
 - Professor IM Ward, University of Leeds