Controlling the spin of Co atoms on Pt(111) by hydrogen adsorption
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REVERSIBLE HYDROGEN ADSORPTION

FIG. S1. Sequence of $dI/dV$ spectra acquired alternatingly on hydrogenated and clean Co (a), and Fe (b) adatom on Pt(111), as found directly after deposition (1), after a voltage pulse at $-200$ mV (2), after exposure to $H_2$ (3), and after a final $-200$ mV pulse (4) ($V_t = -50$ mV, $I_t = 1$ nA, $V_{mod} = 2.8$ mV, $T = 4.4$ K). Both Co and Fe hydrogenated adatoms display inelastic features, evidenced as peaks in $d^2I/dV^2$. The curve displayed is the numerical derivative of (1). Note that these experimental conditions (temperature and lock-in modulation) do not allow to detect the low energy spin-excitation found for Fe atoms on Pt(111) in Ref. [43].
THRESHOLD VOLTAGE FOR HYDROGEN DESORPTION

FIG. S2. (a) Vertical displacement $z(V)$ curve acquired on top of a CoH complex. Hydrogen desorption is seen as a sharp downward step, as indicated by the grey dashed line ($I_t = 200$ pA, $T = 4.4$ K). (b) Hydrogen desorption voltage versus tunnel current. Each data point corresponds to the average $V_{th}$ at which a jump in $z$ was observed while ramping the voltage at constant current. Average over 10 CoH complexes. The solid blue line is a linear fit to the first five points and the dashed red line is a fit to the six points. The threshold $V_{th}$ decreases exponentially with $I_t$, until it reaches a minimum value of about 140 mV.

COBALT TRIHYDRIDE

FIG. S3. (a) STM image of a Co/Pt(111) sample that has been exposed to more H$_2$. Most of the CoH$_n$ complexes appear as triangles with two possible orientations ($V_t = -50$ mV, $I_t = 83$ pA, $T = 4.4$ K). (b) $dI/dV$ spectrum of a triangular CoH$_3$ complex. A spectrum acquired directly over the Pt(111) surface is also shown for comparison ($V_t = -25$ mV, $I_t = 0.5$ nA, $V_{mod} = 1$ mV, $T = 4.4$ K).
ABSENCE OF FIELD DEPENDENCE FOR HIGHER ENERGY EXCITATIONS

FIG. S4. (a) $dI/dV$ spectra of two similar fcc-adsorbed CoH complexes at 0 and 8 T applied perpendicularly to the sample ($V_t = -45$ mV, $I_t = 0.75$ nA, $V_{mod} = 1$ mV, $T = 0.4$ K). (b) numerical derivative of (a).

FIG. S5. (a) $dI/dV$ spectra obtained over a fcc-adsorbed CoD$_2$ complex while applying an out-of-plane magnetic field ranging between 0 and 8 T. A parabolic background was subtracted from each spectrum ($V_t = -50$ mV, $I_t = 0.75$ nA, $V_{mod} = 1$ mV peak-to-peak, $T = 4.4$ K). (b) A similar series of spectra acquired on a CoHD complex at $T = 0.4$ K. A spectrum obtained on clean Pt(111) is shown for reference. Inset: magnification of an inelastic step emphasizing its insensitivity to external magnetic fields. In (a) and (b), the black and gray arrows mark the inelastic vibrational features whose energy thresholds allow distinguishing the CoHD and CoD$_2$ complexes from the CoH$_2$ one. None of these modes display field-dependence. (c) $dI/dV$ spectra obtained over a hcp-adsorbed CoH$_2$ complex while applying an out-of-plane magnetic field ranging from 0 to 4 T ($V_t = -10$ mV, $I_t = 0.25$ nA, $V_{mod} = 500 \mu$V, $T = 0.4$ K).

EIGENSTATES OF THE SPIN HAMILTONIAN FOR FCC-ADSORBED COH

TABLE I. Projection onto a $m$-states basis of the first two eigenstates of fcc-adsorbed CoH complexes obtained diagonalizing Eq. 1 of the main text with $S = 2$, $g = 2.1$, $D = -3$ meV, and $E = 0.6$ meV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B = 0$ T</th>
<th>$m = +2$</th>
<th>$m = +1$</th>
<th>$m = 0$</th>
<th>$m = -1$</th>
<th>$m = -2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_0$</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.166</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_1$</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B = 4$ T</th>
<th>$m = +2$</th>
<th>$m = +1$</th>
<th>$m = 0$</th>
<th>$m = -1$</th>
<th>$m = -2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_0$</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_1$</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KONDO LINESHAPES IN ABSENCE OF A MAGNETIC FIELD

FIG. S6. dI/dV spectrum obtained over a fcc-adsorbed CoH$_2$ complex at 0 T and 0.4 K. $V = -15$ mV, $I_t = 0.1$ nA, $V_{mod} = 500 \mu$V. Fits are based on the Frota, Fano and Anderson-Appelbaum models (Eqs. (S1), (S3), (S2)). A linear background is added to the fits.

In Fig. S6, least-square fits based on the Fano, Frota and Anderson-Appelbaum (AA) models [31–35] are compared. Equation (S1) gives the expression for the many-body density of states (DOS) of a Fano resonance [31]:

$$g_{Fano}(E) = \frac{(E/\Gamma_{Fano} + q)^2}{(E/(\Gamma_{Fano})^2 + 1)}, \quad (S1)$$

with the linewidth $\Gamma_{Fano}$, and the Fano parameter $q$. In the Anderson-Appelbaum (AA) model [33–35], the many-body DOS is given by:

$$g_{AA}(E) = \int_{-\omega_0}^{\omega_0} \frac{f(E')}{E' - E} \, dE' \ast \frac{df(E)}{dE}, \quad (S2)$$

with $f(E) = (1 + \exp[E/(k_B T)])^{-1}$ being the Fermi-Dirac distribution, $[a(x) \ast b(x)]$ the convolution between $a(x)$ and $b(x)$, and $\omega_0$ the cutoff energy for the integration. Using $\omega_0 = 100$ meV, we evaluated $g_{AA}(E)$ numerically for different temperatures $T$ including a correction $-\ln(1 + E/\omega_0)$ which compensates for $\omega_0$ not being infinitely large [33] and stored the precomputed results for later use in the numerical fits.

The many-body DOS for the Frota model [32] is given in Eq. (S3) is given by:

$$g_{Frota}(E) = -Re\left(\sqrt{i\tilde{\Gamma}/(i\tilde{\Gamma} + E)}\right), \quad (S3)$$

with $Re$ being the real part and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ the peak linewidth. Simulated $dI/dV$ spectra are then obtained convoluting the corresponding many-body DOS with the lock-in voltage modulation $V_{mod}$:

$$dI/dV(eV) \propto \left[ g(E = eV) \ast \frac{V^2}{V_{mod}^2 - V^2}\right], \quad (S4)$$

where the function $g(E = eV)$ may be chosen to be $g_{AA}(E)$, $g_{Fano}(E)$ or $g_{Frota}(E)$. The Fano-lineshape fails to reproduce the tails of Kondo-resonance. The AA-model partially reproduces the tails of the Kondo resonance but yields a too narrow lineshape at the Kondo-peak. The Frota model best reproduces the Kondo lineshape, see Fig. S6.
In order to extract the Frota intrinsic linewidth $\Gamma$ as a function of the temperature, we subtract the contribution of the tip thermal broadening in a quadratic approximation $\Gamma = \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - \Gamma_{tip}^2}$. Here, we assume the tip thermal broadening $\Gamma_{tip}$ to be proportional to the half-width-half-maximum of the derivative of Fermi-Dirac distribution $W_{FD} = \frac{1}{2} 3.5k_BT$. More precisely, $\Gamma_{tip} = W_{FD}/2.54$, where the factor $1/2.54$ is due to the ratio between the Frota intrinsic width and the corresponding half-width-half-maximum [35, 38].

**KONDO LINESHAPE IN APPLIED MAGNETIC FIELD**

The expression for the conductance in applied magnetic field $\sigma(E)$ is [26–28, 33–35]:

\[
\sigma(E) = \sigma_1(E) + \sigma_2(E),
\]

\[
\sigma_1(E) = c_1 \left[ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i,f,i\neq f} \rho_i(T) \left[ \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} + E}{k_BT}) + \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} - E}{k_BT}) \right] \right],
\]

\[
\sigma_2(E) = c_2 \sum_{i,f,i\neq f} \rho_i(T) \left[ \left[ \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} + E}{k_BT}) + \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} - E}{k_BT}) \right] g(E) \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \left[ \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} + E}{k_BT}) + \frac{1}{2} \right] g(E + \Delta_{if}) \right] + \left[ \Theta(\frac{\Delta_{if} - E}{k_BT}) + \frac{1}{2} \right] g(E - \Delta_{if}) \right).
\]

Here, $\rho_i(T) = \frac{\exp[-E_i/(k_BT)]}{\sum_i \exp[-E_i/(k_BT)]}$ is the thermal occupation of the spin in state $i$, $\Theta(x) = [1 + (x - 1) \exp(x)]/[1 - \exp(x)]^{-2}$ is the thermally broadened step-function, and $\Delta_{if}$ is the energy separation of the spin states $i, f$. The ratio of the prefactors $c_1/c_2$ sets the relative amplitudes of the spin excitation ($\sigma_1$) and the Kondo ($\sigma_2$) contributions to the conductance. Again, the function $g(E)$ may be chosen to be $g_{AA}(E)$, $g_{Fano}(E)$ or $g_{Frota}(E)$. Fits in Fig. 3(d) of the manuscript are obtained using $g(E) = g_{Frota}(E)$. Finally, simulated $dI/dV$ spectra are then obtained convoluting the conductance $\sigma$ with the lock-in voltage modulation:

\[
dI/dV(eV) \propto \left[ \sigma(E = eV) * e \sqrt{(V_{mod}^2 - V^2)} \right].
\]