ETH zürich

ExplainAI: Designing explainable ML-based systems for collaborative work in the railways

Lena Schneider¹, Gudela Grote¹, Daniel Boos² ¹ Chair of Work and Organisational Psychology, ETH Zurich: ² SBB

1 Introduction

- Opaqueness of ML-based systems is a key barrier to OVERCOME (Castelvecchi, 2016)
- The accountability-control gap is a phenomenon already known from traditional automation, but is even wider for AI (Grote et
- Legally, accountability always stays with the human actors, but control increasingly lies within the system (Taddeo & Floridi, 2018),
- The issue is even more relevant in the context of multiple people with diverse backgrounds and different tasks interacting with the same system
- All stakeholders involved in development and use of ML-based systems have to continuously negotiate the distribution of control and accountability amongst them (Berente et al., 2021; Grote et al., 2022; Slota et al., 2021)
- For targeted explanations, deep understanding of stakeholders and their tasks is needed (Hafermalz & Huysman, 2021)

2 Research Questions

- How should we design the distribution of control and accountability in such systems?
- How can we make such systems explainable for the involved human actors with different backgrounds and professions?
- How can we support product development in addressing potential issues with explainability, control & accountability during system development and use?

5 Expected Impact

 Capture of processes involved in collaboration among heterogenous teams and (multiple) AI systems and translation

3 Project Outline

Project Start: October 2022

Development of socio-technical taxonomy including types of users, types of collaborative use, distribution of accountability and control

Stage of Data Collection: Ongoing N= 25 semi-structured interviews completed

Development of socio-technical design framework

Application and evaluation of new framework

Recommendations for integration in existing processes

Dissemination of results

Expected Completion: September 2025

4 Preliminary Results

Identified Use Cases include

- Visual inspection & (predictive) maintenance
- Traffic Management •
- Automated Train Operation
- Surveillance and detection of switch malfunctions

Example Stakeholder Network



into design requirements for explainable AI

- More effective use of techniques to build in explanations in MLbased systems
- Facilitated decision-making during systems design to create more reliable and safe systems





References

Berente, N., Gu, B., Recker, J. & Santhanam, R. (2021). Managing Artificial Intelligence. MIS Quarterly. 45. 1433-1450. 10.25300/MISQ/2021/16274. Castelvecchi, D. (2016). The blackbox of AI. Nature, 538(7623), 21-23. Grote, G., Parker, S. K., & Crowston, K. (2022). Organizing AI: A design theory for organizational decision-making on and with learning algorithms in networks of accountability. Paper presented at the WAIM conference, June 6-7, Washington D.C.

Grote, G., Weyer, J., & Stanton, N. (2014). Beyond human-centred automation - concepts for human machine interaction in multilayered networks. Ergonomics 57, 289-294

57, 205-294. Hafermalz, E. & Huysman, M. (2021). Please explain: Key questions for explainable AI research from an organizational perspective. Morals & Machines, 2, 10-22. Slota, S. C., Fleischmann, K. R., Greenberg, S., Verma, N., Cummings, B., Li, L., & Shenefiel, C. (2021). Many hands make many fingers to point: Challenges in creating accountable AI. AI & Society.

Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). How Al can be a force for good. Science, 361(6404), 751-752.



Partner:



