Notes

Grading Guideline and Questionnaire for Student Research Projects

Title of Project					
Type of Project					
Bachelor Thesis	Semester Proj	ject	Mast	er Thesis	
	1				
Name of Student					
Name of Supervisor					
Grading Review					
-	out pd z:		Bachelor	Semester	Master
Practice for fair and transparent grading through — Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p			Bachelor Thesis	Semester Project	Master Thesis
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. 	bitch at the	Supervisor			
Practice for fair and transparent grading through — Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p	bitch at the	Supervisor	Thesis	Project G	Thesis G
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others 	bitch at the	Associate	Thesis G	Project	Thesis
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others 	bitch at the		Thesis	Project G	Thesis G
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others 	bitch at the	Associate	Thesis G	Project G	Thesis G
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others 	bitch at the	Associate Post-Doc	Thesis G	Project G	Thesis G R R
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others in the table. 	bitch at the	Associate Post-Doc	Thesis G R	Project G R	Thesis G R R
bi-yearly grading conference.Review and discuss your grading with others	bitch at the	Associate Post-Doc	Thesis G R	Project G R	Thesis G R R
 Practice for fair and transparent grading through Present your best and worst grading in a 3' p bi-yearly grading conference. Review and discuss your grading with others in the table. 	bitch at the	Associate Post-Doc	Thesis G R	Project G R	Thesis G R R

Second Reviewer

Name, Date, Signature



	Bachelor Thesis	Semester Project	Master Thesis
Supervisor	G	G	G
Associate		R	R
Post-Doc	R		
Professor			R
	G – grading	P - rovie	214/

Approach

I like...

Attitude

- Literature Analysis - Did the student know about and understand the state of the art? Was the student able to identify the key challenges?
- Method for Solving a Problem
- Did the student consciously select and apply approaches to solve a problem? _
- Did the student apply a wide variety of approaches? - Died the student carefully select approaches with respect to cost and benefit?

l wish..

- Familiarity with Theory
- Was the student able to autonomously understand and apply the relevant theory?
- Did the student incorporate and combine knowhow from a wide range of disciplines?
- Did the student consider unknown fields?

	15%

Documentation

- Clarity of Report
- Does the report cover all relevant topics?
- Does the report contain only relevant topics?
- Is the report well structured (red thread)?
- Form of Report
- Does the report look clean and professional?
- Usability of Files
- Are all relevant files and data available? Souvenir
- Is a good looking and representative souvenir provided?

I like	

Presentations

Clarity of Presentation

- Formal Presenting Skills

Quality of Media _

- Did the media look clean and professional? **Response to Questions**
- Was the student able to answer questions competently?

termediate presentation		
like	l wish	
nal presentation		
like	l wish	Grade
verall		
Additional comments		
		Grade

Results

I like...

Achievement of Goals

- _ Do the results meet the predefined goals?
- Do the results exceed the predefined goals?
- Quality of Results - Do the results seem to be correct?
- Do the results build a complete/enclosed package of information?
- Can the results be used for subsequent processing without additional effort?
- Critical Discussion of Results
- Are the results critically analyzed and verified? _
- Are the results contextualized in the state of the art, theory and set goals?
- Is a highly generalized conclusion deduced from the results with proposition a of new approaches?
- Originality
- Are the results original or unique?
- Do the results amaze?

like	l wish

Γ	l wish			
	l wish			



0



Intermediate pre
I like

	_
Grade	

Grade



Personal Development

- Did the student develop new competences and skills during the course of the project?
- Did the student incorporate the supervisor's inputs and feedback well?
- Independence - Did the project proceed towards a "good" direction without frequent interventions of the supervisor?
- Did the student keep the supervisor up to date (transparency)?
- Did the student realize where he/she needed help and approached the right sources?
- Motivation
- Was the student passionate about the project? — Was the student persistent?
- Did the student show a positive mindset?
- Organization
- Were appointed meetings and deadlines followed?
- Was the student always well prepared for meetings?
- Was the student able to autonomously plan his/her work and the next steps?

l wish...

- Is the text divided into clear, comprehensive micro-structures with precise statements? - Are graphics and visualizations of high quality as well as reasonably used?

— Are all files and data well organized, categorized and commented if necessary?

sh...

Grade

Did the presentation tell a traceable story with essence and logical structure?

Was the student able to orally communicate the content in a comprehensible and fluent way?

Was media (visual and physical) used in a efficient and meaningful way?