Computer vision: edge and corner detectors



Building an (Canny) edge detector





final output

original image

Start from greyscale

1. Reduce noise



Use, e.g., a 5x5 Gaussian Filter

2. Calculate the gradient (e.g., Sobel operator)



norm of the gradient

3. Threshold the gradient intensity



Thresholded norm of the gradient

Problem 1: Thick trails



Thresholded norm of the gradient

Duckietown

4. Non-maximum suppression

• Look for local maximum along gradient direction





4. Non-maximum suppression

- Look for local maximum along gradient direction
 - Requires checking interpolated pixels p and r



If q is a local maximum in the direction of the gradient, keep. If not, set to 0.

Problem 2: continuity of edges



Another problem: pixels along this edge didn't survive thresholding

Hysteresis (or double) thresholding

Use a high threshold to start edge curves, and a low threshold to continue them.



Hysteresis (or double) thresholding



original image



high threshold (strong edges)



low threshold (weak edges)



hysteresis threshold

Corner detection



Corner detection: Basic idea

- The interest point should be recognizable using a local window
- Shifting the window in *any direction* should result in a *large change* in intensity



"flat" region: no change in all directions

"edge": no change along edge direction

"corner": significant changes in all directions

Corner detection: Basic idea

- The interest point should be recognizable using a local window
- Shifting the window in *any direction* should result in a *large change* in intensity





isotropic aperture: motion downwards to the right elongated aperture: motion appears to be vertical

Window-averaged squared change in intensity due to shift by [*u*, *v*]

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right]^{2}$$

$$intensity$$

$$intensity$$

window junction (can be modeled as convolution)

singled intensity

IIICHSIL

Window function
$$W(x,y) =$$
 or or Gaussian

• Window-averaged squared change in intensity due to shift by [*u*, *v*]

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right]^{2}$$

$$E(u,v) \stackrel{x,y}{=} \sum_{x,y} \left[I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right]^{2}$$

window functow (can be modeled as convolution)

shifted intensity

intensity







- How does this function behave with small image shifts?
- Consider a first-order Taylor series approximation

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$$

- How does this function behave with small image shifts?
- Consider a first-order Taylor series approximation

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$$

• This gives a *quadratic* approximation to the error function

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right]^2$$

- How does this function behave with small image shifts?
- Consider a first-order Taylor series approximation

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$$

• This gives a *quadratic* approximation to the error function

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right]^2$$

$$\approx \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[(I(x,y) + I_x u + I_y v) - I(x,y) \right]^2$$

- How does this function behave with small image shifts?
- Consider a first-order Taylor series approximation

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$$

• This gives a *quadratic* approximation to the error function

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) \left[I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y) \right]^{2}$$

$$\approx \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) \left[(I(x, y) + I_{x}u + I_{y}v) - I(x, y) \right]^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) \left[I_{x}u + I_{y}v \right]^{2}$$

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \left[I_x^2 u^2 + 2I_x I_y uv + I_y^2 v^2 \right]$$

• We can express this in matrix form

$$E(u, v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \left(\sum_{x, y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

where *M* is a *second moment matrix (structure tensor)* computed from image derivatives

Corner detection: Second moment matrix

- The surface E(u, v) is locally approximated by a quadratic form
- In which directions does it experience the greatest/least change?

$$E(u,v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E(u,v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ k & J_y I_x I_y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} I_y^2 \\ \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

E(u, v)



Corner detection: Second moment matrix

- The surface E(u, v) is locally approximated by a quadratic form
- In which directions does it experience the greatest/least change?

$$E(u,v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \qquad M = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} R$$

 λ_1, λ_2 - eigenvalues of M

• E(u, v) = constant is an ellipse



Corner detection: Second moment matrix

• Consider axis-aligned case (horizontal or vertical gradients) (that's what *R* does)



- If either *a* or *b* are close to 0, then it is not a corner
- Look for locations where both components are large







Selecting good features



Selecting good features



Selecting good features



Interpreting eigenvalues

• Classification of image points using eigenvalues of *M*



Harris corner detector

- Proposed by Harris and Stephens (1988)
- *R* depends only on eigenvalues of *M*
- *R* is large for a corner
- *R* is negative with large magnitude for an edge
- |R| is small for a flat region

$$R = \det(M) - \alpha \operatorname{tr}(M)^2$$
$$= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \alpha (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$$
$$\alpha \in (0.04, 0.06)$$



Harris corner detector: Algorithm

- 1. Compute partial derivatives at each pixel
- 2. Compute second moment matrix *M* in a Gaussian window around each pixel

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x^2 & \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x I_y \\ \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 3. Compute corner response function $R = \det(M) \alpha \operatorname{tr}(M)^2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \alpha (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$
- 4. Find points with large corner response function R > threshold
- 5. Keep points that are *local maxima* in *R* (e.g., points for which *R* is bigger than that of 4 or 8 neighbors)

Compute corner response *R*



Compute corner response *R*



Find points with large corner response R > threshold



Take local maxima in R



Duckietown



Invariance of corner features

• What happens when the image undergoes geometric (rotation, scale) or photometric changes



Invariance of corner features: Affine intensity change

$$\blacksquare \qquad I \to aI + b$$

- Only derivatives are used —> invariance to intensity shift: $I \rightarrow I + b$
- Intensity scaling: $I \rightarrow aI$



Invariance of corner features: Image translation



• Derivatives and window function are shift-invariant

Corner location is *covariant* w.r.t. translation

Invariance of corner features: Image rotation



• Second moment ellipse rotates, but shape (i.e., eigenvalues) remain the same

Corner location is covariant w.r.t. rotation

Invariance of corner features: Scaling



be classified as edges

Corner location is not covariant to scaling!

Invariance of corner features: Scaling



image



zoomed image