PAUL SCHERRER INSTITU





Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

Frontiers in Energy Research, ETH, 24th February 2015

Rajesh Mathew Pattupara

Development of the CROSSTEM model – A tool for analysing uncertainty in the evolution of the Swiss electricity system.



- Introduction Background and Motivation
- CROSSTEM model
- TIMES modelling framework
- Scenarios & Key Assumptions
- Results
- Conclusions
- Model limitations, issues and challenges
- Outlook



Introduction

- Electricity accounts for one quarter of Swiss energy demand
- Important for energy security
- Source of revenue
- Clean source of energy



End use demand by energy carriers (2013)

Source: "Schweizerische Gesamtenergiestatistik 2013", BFE Bern



Introduction

- Electricity accounts for one quarter of Swiss energy demand
- Large differences in seasonal output, seasonal demand.
- Creates seasonal dependence on electricity import.



Source: "Schweizerische Elektrizitätsstatistik 2013", BFE Bern



Hydro resource availability - Switzerland



Source: "Documentation on the development of the STEM-E model", PSI (2011)

Electricity demand pattern - Switzerland



Source: Data provided by ENTSO-E



- Electricity accounts for one quarter of Swiss energy demand
- Large differences in seasonal output, seasonal demand.
- Creates seasonal dependence on electricity import.



Source: "Schweizerische Elektrizitätsstatistik 2013", BFE Bern



Objectives

- **Nuclear phase out** No replacement of existing Nuclear power plants at the end of their 50 year lifetime. Last power plant off grid by 2034.
- Ambitious carbon reduction targets

Problems

- Uncertainty regarding future electricity demand
- Uncertainty regarding future supply options



Future demand pathways



Population growth



Economic growth



Figure 1: Historical growth of GDP, electricity demand and of population in Switzerland. Demand: after losses, no heating-days correction. Sources: BFE (energy), BFS (pop), SECO (GDP)

Source: M. Densing, "Review of Swiss Electricity Scenarios 2050", PSI (2014)



Future demand pathways



Population growth



Economic growth



Electric vehicles





Smart Technologies



Future demand pathways



Figure 2: Electricity demand of the scenarios. Demand is after hydro-pumps, after import/export, and before losses. The demands of the SCS scenarios should be those of the BFE scenarios with same name, but seem to be different. The PSI-elc study (not shown) uses the demands of the BFE scenarios. Greenpeace: without electricity used for H₂-production

Source: M. Densing, "Review of Swiss Electricity Scenarios 2050", PSI (2014)



Intermittency of renewable sources of electricity



Source: "Documentation on the development of the STEM-E model", PSI (2011)



Renewable resource potentials



Figure 8: Assumed potentials of renewable generation, and maximal production in the studies. Hydro (new installations): with respect to 2010 production. ETH/ESC: "max. prod." is scenario "ETH, Mittel" (the only reported one). BFE: max. prod. occurs in supply variants "C+E" and "E". PSI-sys: biomass is with 33% efficiency in this chart, and is also for non-electricity use; geothermal not in study. PSI-elc: Biomass: 50% of potential of all biogas & waste (also for non-energy use). SCS: the max.prod. in the 3 scenarios that are considered in more detail in this review are reported separately

Source: M. Densing, "Review of Swiss Electricity Scenarios 2050", PSI (2014)



Problems

- Uncertainty regarding future electricity demand
- Uncertainty regarding future supply options
- Too long a timescale to make accurate predictions

Solution

Energy System Models

Why Energy System Models?

- Purpose of models is to generate insights, not forecasting
- Long term strategic planning via "what-if" scenarios Implication of changes to parts of the system under certain boundary conditions
- Assist policy makers to make decisions in order to fulfil given policy goals

Objective

- Optimization models (MARKAL, TIMES)
- Simulation models (NEMS, PRIMES)
- Power systems and electricity market models (WASP, PLEXOS)
- Qualitative and mixed method scenarios

Comparitive study: Pfenninger et.al, "Energy Systems modelling for 21st century energy challenges", London (2014) PSI. 26.02.2015





Figure 9: Yearly production mix in 2050.

Source: M. Densing, "Review of Swiss Electricity Scenarios 2050", PSI (2014)



Situation in neighbouring countries



Source: N. Zepf, "Das Rezept gegen die Stromlücke", AXPO (2003)

Model Features

- Single region model
- Time horizon: 2000 2100
- An hourly timeslice
- Characterization of about 140 technologies and over 40 energy and emission commodities

Key Parameters

- Exogenous electricity demand for the future
- Range of primary energy resources
- **Exogenous** electricity import and export from four countries

R Kannan & H. Turton (2011) - *Documentation on the development of the Swiss TIMES electricity model* Available at <u>http://energyeconomics.web.psi.ch/Publications/Other_Reports/PSI-Bericht%2011-03.pdf</u>

Why the need for a new model ?

Variability influences electricity generation technology choice (investment) and operation:

- Cheapest baseload technology \rightarrow not necessarily most economical for all demands
- Technologies with flexible output profile \rightarrow attractive (e.g. dam/pump hydro, gas)
- Technologies with output profile matching demand profile \rightarrow attractive (e.g. solar)

International trade influences this significantly:

- Cheapest baseload technology \rightarrow attractive (excess can be exported)
- Flexible imports → attractive (instead of expensive flexible technologies)
- Technologies with output profile matching demand profile in neighbouring countries \rightarrow attractive

Objectives:

- Understand the developments in the neighbouring countries Germany (DE), Austria (AT), France (FR) and Italy (IT).
- Quantify the extent to which these developments affect the Swiss electricity sector.



European electricity modelling approaches



Representation of Switzerland highly aggregated

"ELECTRA Kick-off meeting - CROSSTEM", H. Turton & K. Ramachandran 19th July 2011



- CROSs border Swiss TIMES Electricity Model
- Extension of the STEM-E model to include the four neighbouring countries
- Time horizon: 2000 2050 in
- An hourly timeslice (288 timeslices)
- Detailed reference electricity system with resource supply, renewable potentials and demands for 5 countries
- Calibrated for electricity demand and supply data between 2000-2010
- Endogenous electricity import / export based on costs and technical characteristics





TIMES modelling framework

TIMES – The Integrated MARKAL / EFOM System

- Technology rich, Perfect foresight, cost optimization framework
- Used to explore a range of parametric sensitivities under a "what-if" framework via exploratory scenario analysis.
- Integrated modelling of the entire energy system
- Prospective analysis on a long term horizon (20-50-100 yrs)
- Allows for representation of high level of temporal detail load curves
- Enhanced Storage algorithm modelling of pumped storage systems
- Optimal technology choice based on costs, environmental criteria and other constraints.



The TIMES Objective Function – is the discounted sum of the annual costs minus revenues

$$NPV = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{y \in YEARS} (1 + d_{r,y})^{REFYR-y} \bullet ANNCOST(r, y)$$

| where: | |
|-----------------|--|
| NPV | is the net present value of the total cost for all regions (the OBJ); |
| ANNCOST(r,y) | is the total annual cost in region r and year y; |
| d _{rv} | is the general discount rate; |
| RÉFYR | is the reference year for discounting; |
| YEARS | is the set of years for which there are costs (in the horizon, plus past and before years EOH; |
| R | is the set of regions in the area of study |



Alternative low carbon electricity pathways in Europe and knock-on effects on the Swiss electricity system



| | CROSSTEM Scenarios |
|------|--|
| Sc.1 | Baseline scenario No particular constraints in technology investment [*] Trade constraints applied – net exporter (France, Germany) cannot become net importer (Italy, Austria) and vice versa \rightarrow Also applied to Switzerland (analogous to self sufficiency) CO ₂ prices for allowances in the ETS as in WWB (SES 2050) |
| Sc.2 | De-carbonization of power sector (95% CO_2 reduction by 2050 from 1990 levels) for all five countries together All other conditions same as Sc.1 (including trade constraints) |
| Sc.3 | No gas based generation in Switzerland Trade constraints relaxed for CH only (allowed to be a net importer) All other conditions same as Sc.2 |

* except where already part of policy: e.g., Nuclear phase-out in Switzerland (CH) and Germany (DE), no nuclear investment in Italy (IT) and Austria (AT). No Coal investment in Switzerland (CH).



Input Assumptions

- Electricity Demand EU Trends to 2050 (Reference scenario), BAU demands for CH (SES 2050)
- **Trade with "fringe regions"** Historical limits applied
- **CO2 price** European ETS prices implemented (SES 2050, Bfe)
- **Fuel Prices** International fuel prices from WEO 2010.

Methodological Assumptions

- **Copper Plate regions** No transmission and distribution infrastructure within each country. Interconnectors between regions, with no trade loss.
- Endogenous trade limits Based on historical trends. Net importers cannot become net exporters and vice versa.





- Sc2 Gas plants replaced by gas CCS + renewables, lower pump hydro (higher electricity price)
- Sc3 Imports preferred to investments in renewables, Investments made elsewhere







Load Curve – Winter Weekday 2050 (Sc2)



Load Curve – Summer Weekday 2050 (Sc2)









STEM-E (CROSSTEM-CH) vs CROSSTEM





Total Electricity system costs



- In the near to medium term Sc3 > Sc2 > Sc1
- In the long term Sc2 > Sc1 > Sc3
- Why? The self sufficiency constraint in Sc1 and Sc2



Undiscounted electricity system costs (CH): Technology breakup





CO2 emissions

CO₂ emissions – Regional disaggregation





- A new electricity system model for Switzerland with an emphasis on cross-border trade has been developed
- Various scenario explorations conducted to test robustness of model
- Feasibility of a low carbon electricity pathway has been demonstrated
- Switzerland can remain self-sufficient even under stringent CO₂ emission targets but at a higher cost



Limitations & Uncertainties

- CROSSTEM is not a pure dispatch model.
- Modelling of representative days Overall simplifications
- T&D infrastructure not explicitly modelled.
- CO2 transport across countries not modelled
- Trade with fringe regions Inclusion of surrounding countries
- Model assumes perfect information, perfect foresight, well functioning markets and economically rational decisions – Optimal solution for 5 countries together, not for each country

| PAUL | S C H E I | R R E R I | NSI | TUTUT |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|
| | - | | | |
| | | - | | |
| | | | | |

Thank you for your attention !!!





Energy Economics Group

Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis

General Energy Research department & Nuclear Energy and Safety Research Department

