

### Presenter's Profile



### Michel Dominik Obrist Born 05.04.1989

PhD Student at Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) in Villigen (CH) Laboratory for Energy System Analysis (LEA) Energy Economics Group

Contact: michel.obrist@psi.ch

#### Education:

 Sep 09 – Sep 12: BSc in Mechanical Engineering University of Applied Sciences, Windisch (CH)
 Sep 16 – Sep 18: MSc in Sustainable Energy

Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby (DK)

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



Michel Dominik Obrist :: PhD Student :: Paul Scherrer Institut

## Long-term energy and emission pathways for the Swiss industry

PhD Project :: Frontiers in Energy Research :: 28.04.2020



Swiss industry in a nutshell

- Swiss industry produces products we use every day
- Most important industries in Switzerland:



Pharmaceutical



Machinery





Watches



**Precision instruments** 



Medical technology



Importance of industry for Switzerland

- Swiss GDP: 679.3 BCHF<sup>[1]</sup>
- 74% of Swiss GDP is generated by the service sector and **25% by industry**<sup>[1]</sup>
- 1.0 Mio employees are working in the Swiss industry (full time equivalent)<sup>[2]</sup>



Final energy consumption in industry

• Swiss final energy consumption in 2015 by sector<sup>[1]</sup>





 Swiss direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2015<sup>[1]</sup> without indirect emissions associated to purchased electricity and heat





Swiss energy policy

- Energy strategy 2050 indicative targets
  - Energy reduction per capita of 43% from 2000 levels
  - Electricity reduction per capita of 13% until 2035 compared to 2000 levels
- Paris agreement
  - Pursue efforts to limit the global temperature increase to 1.5 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels

Main research question:

How can the climate and energy policy goals be reached and what are the implications for the Swiss industry sector?



## **Current significance**

- Swiss parliament is debating about the revision of the **CO<sub>2</sub> regulation after 2020**
- Communication from BAFU (15.04.2020)<sup>[1]</sup>:
  - GHG emissions from 1990 until 2018:
    - Overall 14% reduction to 46.4 Mt CO<sub>2-eq</sub>
    - Industry 14% reduction to 11.2 Mt CO<sub>2-eq</sub>
  - Goal until 2020 (compared to 1990):
    - Overall 20% reduction
    - Industry 15% reduction



PhD Project

Energy	System	Models	Introduction Methodology ESM for Industry

Objectives Research gap Methodology

# Focus: Cement industry

Background Applied Methodology Preliminary results Key messages



## Types of Energy System Models<sup>[1]</sup>



<sup>[1]</sup> Kannan, R. and H. Turton (2013). A long-term electricity dispatch model with the TIMES framework, Environment Modeling and Assessment, 18 (3): 325-343, DOI: 10.1007/s10666-012-9346-y



### **Energy System Models**







Page 12



## Cost minimization

- The model aims to supply energy services at minimum global cost by making decisions on:
  - Investment and operation
  - Primary energy supply
  - Energy trade



## **Objective function**

Min  $c \cdot X$ 

s.t.

$$\sum_{k} VAR\_ACT_{k,i}(t) \ge DM_i(t)$$
  
B · X ≥ b

c: cost vector

X: decision variables

DM: demand

k: process

- i: demand categories
- t: time slices
- B: constraint vector
- b: constraint RHS

#### **Cost vector**

Includes all costs eg. investment costs, variable costs, import/export costs, taxes

### **Decision variables**

eg. new capacity addition, capacity retirement, process activity

### **Demand satisfaction**

Demand for i must be satisfied at all times by the process activity (decision variable) of process all processes k that produce i

#### **Constraints**

Numerous constraints eg. ramp up constrains on activity, conservation of investment, use of capacity, user constraints







PhD Project

# Energy System Models

Introduction Methodology ESM for Industry

> Objectives Research gap Methodology

## Focus: Cement industry

Background Applied Methodology Preliminary results Key messages



## **Research** objectives

- How can the **climate and energy policy goals be reached** and what are the implications for the Swiss industry sector?
- How can new energy technologies in industry can contribute to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and improve energy efficiency?
- What is the impact of **energy policy** and **energy price scenarios** for the energy demand of the industry?
- What are the **implications to the broader energy system** in terms of costs?



- Previous studies focused on potentials for specific technologies or energy efficiency improvements and CO<sub>2</sub> abatement on a process level
- Shortcomings:
  - Do not investigate transformation pathways to reach climate policy goals
  - No detailed long-term scenario analysis
  - Neglects interaction with the rest of the energy sector
- Scenario analysis with energy system models (for example STEM) contributed to the understanding of energy technology development and identified policy strategies to reach the climate goals
- Shortcomings:
  - No investigation of the industry sector on a production process level
  - Very general view on **technology developments** and **process improvements**



- STEM is expanded with an advanced industry module with a new modelling technique
  - Includes **production process** and **product flows**
  - Gives possibilities to include process improvements and efficiency improvements of single process steps
  - Enables options to include process related emissions
  - Allows a more detailed and accurate analysis



• Previous modelling technique





• Previous modelling technique







## Methodology – Modelling technique













PhD Project

# Energy System Models

Introduction Methodology ESM for Industry

> Objectives Research gap Methodology

## Focus: Cement industry

Background Applied Methodology Preliminary results Key messages



Introduction to cement

"Cement is the second most consumed commodity in the world after water"<sup>[1]</sup>



## Introduction to cement





## Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> in Swiss cement plants

- Cement production accounts for
  - 8% of the final energy consumption of the Swiss industrial sector (12.8 PJ)<sup>[6]</sup>
  - 36% of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the Swiss industrial sector (2.5 Mt)<sup>[6]</sup>
    - Around two-thirds the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are related to the process of converting limestone into clinker
    - Remaining emissions are related to fuel combustion
- Specific final energy use
  - Swiss cement plants 2.65 GJ/t<sub>cement</sub> or 3.6 GJ/t<sub>clinker</sub><sup>[6]</sup>
  - Global cement industry between 3.4 and 4.7 GJ/t<sub>clinker</sub><sup>[7]</sup>
  - Best available techniques (BAT) 3.3 GJ/t<sub>clinker</sub><sup>[8]</sup>
- Specific CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - Swiss cement plants 787 kg<sub>CO2</sub>/t<sub>clinker</sub><sup>[6]</sup>
  - EU cement industry 825 kg<sub>CO2</sub>/t<sub>clinker</sub><sup>[7]</sup>
  - Global cement industry 843  $kg_{CO2}/t_{clinker}^{[7]}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[6]</sup> Cemsuisse, Kennzahlen 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[7]</sup> Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI), 2016, Cement Industry Energy and CO2 Performance – Getting the Numbers Right <sup>[8]</sup> European Commission, 2013, Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Production of Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide



Energy consumption in Swiss cement plants

• Recent developments of energy use<sup>[6]</sup>





## Clinker content in Swiss cement plants





## Cement process (model)





Scenario definition

- BAU Business as usual
  - Frozen policy and unchanged market environment
  - Demand for cement to remains stable
  - Average clinker content decreasing to 60% until 2050
  - CO  $_{\rm 2}$  tax is held constant at a level of 20 EUR/t  $_{\rm CO2}$
- CAP CO<sub>2</sub> Cap scenario group
  - Linear reduction of the  $CO_2$  emissions by 2050 compared to 2015.
  - Four emissions reduction trajectories, which aim at an emissions reduction in 2050 of 40%, 60%, 80% and 100% compared to 2015
- EE Energy efficiency scenario group
  - Specific energy reduction per ton of cement until 2050 from 2015 levels of 30% and 35%
- TAX CO<sub>2</sub> tax scenario group
  - Different  $CO_2$  tax policies from 20 EUR/tCO<sub>2</sub> (in 2015) to 70 to 100 CHF/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2050





BAU













TAX-90







## Comparison of CCS technologies



CAP-80



Results – CAP-40 without CCS





Results –  $CO_2$  price in 2050









- Future cement production improves its energy efficiency and decreases its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions even without policy action mainly due to the decreasing clinker content in cement and deployment more efficient technologies (to replace existing technologies)
- Although a CO<sub>2</sub> tax up to 80 EUR/t<sub>CO2</sub> results in a more expensive cement production, the total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will not be reduced significantly
- A CO<sub>2</sub> tax between 80 and 100 EUR/t<sub>co2</sub> makes it economically attractive to avoid CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with carbon capture technologies with the benefit of avoiding both, energy and process-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Carbon capture will increase the specific electricity consumption of the cement industry
- From an economic point of view, fuel switching is only a limited option to decrease the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the cement industry because of the high share of process related emissions and limitations with regards to switching of burner technologies in the complex process setting of a cement plant



 No significant reduction of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is possible in the cement sector without carbon capture and the corresponding infrastructure to transport and sequestrate CO<sub>2</sub>



## Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

# My thanks go to my supervisors

- Dr. Tom Kober
- Dr. Kannan
  Ramachandran
- Prof. Dr. Thomas Schmidt

Funded by

 BFE as part of the SWIDEM project

