# Decoupling phase-matching bandwidth and interaction geometry using non-collinear quasi-phase-matching gratings 

N. Bigler,* J. Pupeikis, S. Hrisafov, L. Gallmann, C. R. Phillips, and U. Keller<br>Department of Physics, Institute for Quantum Electronics, ETH Zurich, August-Piccard-Hof 1, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland<br>*biglern@phys.ethz.ch


#### Abstract

In optical parametric amplification (OPA) of broadband pulses, a non-collinear angle between the interacting waves is typically introduced in order to achieve broadband phase-matching. Consequently, bandwidth and beam geometry are closely linked. This coupling restricts the geometrical layout of an OPA system. Here, we demonstrate a quasi-phase-matching (QPM) geometry for broadband OPA in which a transverse component is introduced to the QPM grating to impose an additional momentum on the generated wave. This momentum shift detunes the wavelength where the signal and the idler are groupvelocity matched, thereby allowing for broadband phase-matching without having to add a non-collinear angle between the interacting waves. We present two experimental configurations making use of this principle, and propose a third configuration with the potential to further simplify ultra-broadband OPA system architectures.
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## 1. Introduction

High-average-power ultrafast laser sources have received much attention over the last few decades [1,2], and have enabled corresponding advances in science and industry. For example, a broad range of intense light-matter interaction experiments have become possible in the megahertz regime, such as the generation of extreme ultraviolet photons via highharmonic generation (HHG) [3-5], the generation of isolated attosecond pulses [6], the study of strong-field ionization with coincidence detection [7], and laser-based particle acceleration [8,9]. A significant direction in the field is the move towards longer wavelength sources, for example to extend the HHG cut-off allowing for the generation of coherent soft-x-ray sources [10,11]. Moreover, with conventional sources, the low repetition rates necessitate long integration times. Hence there is a major effort to achieve broadband high repetition rate midinfrared laser systems.

Optical parametric chirped-pulse amplification (OPCPA) [12] is a compelling approach to access the required parameters. In this technique, a narrow-band pump pulse is used to amplify a broadband, temporally chirped signal pulse. Thus, it allows transferring the energy of picosecond pump sources [13-16] to ultra-broadband few-cycle pulses, thereby benefiting from the multi-100-W class picosecond industrial lasers which provide reliable, turn-key
sources for demanding industrial applications such as cold-ablation microprocessing. However, one of the main challenges of OPCPA is its complexity, leading to large and highly sensitive systems comprised of multiple amplification stages.

A significant contributing factor to this complexity is the coupling between phasematching and beam geometry. For example, a common way to increase the amplification bandwidth requires the use of a precise non-collinear angle between the pump and the signal waves to reduce the group-velocity mismatch (GVM) between the idler and the signal wave [17-19], thereby coupling the amplified bandwidth to the geometry of the interaction. Hu et al. proposed a solution to reduce this complexity in the context of QPM [20]: adding a transverse component to QPM gratings offers a new degree of freedom similar to that of the pump-signal non-collinear angle, but without constraining the interaction geometry. Noncollinear QPM gratings have been studied in the context of terahertz wave generation [21] as well as broadband second harmonic generation [22,23], phase-matched nonlinear diffraction [24] and cascaded up-conversion [25]. Moreover, since QPM devices are fabricated by lithographic methods, a wide variety of two-dimensional patterns can be designed, enabling functionalities not possible in homogeneous phase-matching media [26-28].

Here, we demonstrate the concept of broadband phase-matching with tilted QPM domains in the context of OPA with two different amplification stages based on periodically poled $\mathrm{LiNbO}_{3}$ (PPLN) devices. In both cases, we show that the addition of a transverse component to the grating k -vector simplifies the experimental setup by decoupling broadband phasematching from the interaction geometry. Finally, we propose a new phase-matching geometry for ultra-broadband amplification based on multiple consecutive amplification crystals and enabled by such tilted QPM gratings.

## 2. QPM grating with a large transverse component

In three-wave mixing processes, the phase-matching condition $\Delta k \approx 0$ needs to be fulfilled in order to achieve an efficient transfer of energy between the interacting waves. In QPM [29], the nonlinear coefficient of the medium is periodically (or aperiodically) inverted, leading to an additional term $K_{g}=2 \pi / \Lambda_{g}$, for period $\Lambda_{g}$. The phase-matching condition then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta k=\left|\vec{k}_{p}-\vec{k}_{s}-\vec{k}_{i}-\vec{K}_{g}\right| \approx 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{p}, k_{s}$ and $k_{i}$ are the pump, signal and idler wave vectors respectively, with $\omega_{p}>\omega_{s}>\omega_{i}$ and the pump is the narrowband wave. In the general case of arbitrary angles of the interacting waves and the QPM grating k-vector (Fig. 1(a)), we can derive a relation for broadband phase-matching as follows. First, Eq. (1) can be projected onto the longitudinal axis z and the transverse axis x

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{p} \cos \left(\theta_{p}\right)-k_{s}\left(\omega_{s}\right)-k_{i}\left(\omega_{i}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)-K_{g, z} \approx 0,  \tag{2}\\
k_{p} \sin \left(\theta_{p}\right)-k_{i}\left(\omega_{i}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right)-K_{g, x} \approx 0 \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the angles $\theta_{p}$ and $\theta_{i}$ as defined in Fig. 1(a). Solving Eq. (3) for $\theta_{i}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{k_{p} \sin \left(\theta_{p}\right)-K_{g, x}}{k_{i}\left(\omega_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation describes the output angle of the generated idler. Its dependence on the frequency term $\omega_{i}$ leads to an angular chirp when the numerator is non-zero, as depicted in Fig. 1(c). Furthermore, the mean output angle is directly dependent on both the pump noncollinear angle as well as the transverse grating component $K_{g, x}$.
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One way to achieve a broadband amplification is to operate close to the point where the slope of the $\Delta k$ curve is close to 0 , i.e. when $\partial \Delta k / \partial \omega=0$. Considering the pump beam being single frequency and taking the derivative of Eqs. (2) and (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial k_{s}}{\partial \omega}+\frac{\partial k_{i}}{\partial \omega} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right)-k_{i} \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial \omega}=0 \\
\frac{\partial k_{i}}{\partial \omega} \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right)+k_{i} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial \omega}=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

After further mathematical manipulation, this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{g, s}=v_{g, i} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{g, s}=\left(\partial k_{s} / \partial \omega\right)^{-1}$ and $v_{g, i}=\left(\partial k_{i} / \partial \omega\right)^{-1}$ are the group-velocities of signal and idler, respectively. In other words, a broad bandwidth will be phase-matched when the projection of the group-velocity of the idler along the signal direction is equal to that of the signal, i.e. when the GVM between the signal and the idler is minimized. This property is widely exploited in broadband non-collinear OPA [18,19,30-32]. Here we demonstrate how a noncollinear QPM can be introduced in place of, or in addition to a non-collinear angle, to obtain the required group-velocity matching condition while keeping a flexible interaction geometry.


Fig. 1. a) A three-wave mixing interaction in QPM with arbitrary angles. b) A microscope image of a tilted QPM grating used in one of our experiments ( $K_{g, x}=1138 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, z}=161$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$. c) The wave vectors diagram for a specific OPA interaction, where the pump and signal are collinear, while a large transverse component was added to the QPM grating. d) The calculated phase-mismatch curves for the interaction geometry shown in c) and for different transverse grating components $K_{g, x}$. The longitudinal grating component $K_{g, z}$ was tuned to keep the minimum of the curves close to $0 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The turning point of the curve is pushed towards longer wavelengths for large $K_{g, x}$

As shown in Eqs. (4) and (6), we can tune the idler group velocity projection to match the signal group velocity by changing either the pump angle $\theta_{p}$ or the transverse grating
component $K_{g, x}$. Adding a transverse component to the QPM grating therefore offers a new degree of freedom to select the point of group-velocity matching, allowing decoupling the geometry of the interaction from the phase-matched bandwidth. A microscopic image of such a grating, as used in one of our experiments, is shown in Fig. 1(b).

As an example, we follow the geometry given in Fig. 1(c) where both the signal and the pump are collinear but the QPM grating displays a large transverse component. Figure 1(d) shows the evolution of the phase-matching curve when increasing the transverse grating component $K_{g, x}$ while tuning the longitudinal grating component $K_{g, z}$ to keep the minimum of the curve at a constant $\Delta k$. As follows from Eqs. (4) and (6), the idler output angle $\theta_{i}$ increases with increasing $K_{g, x}$, pushing the point where the GVM is minimized towards longer wavelengths.

## 3. Experiments

In Section 3.1, we present the mid-IR OPCPA system used in our experiments. Then, we discuss a first experiment making use of a non-collinear QPM grating with collinear pump and signal (Section 3.2) before moving on to a second experiment with both a non-collinear pump and a non-collinear grating (Section 3.3).


Fig. 2. a) The OPCPA setup used to demonstrate the new QPM geometry. SLM: spatial light modulator. DFG: difference-frequency generation. b) The spectrum of the OPCPA seed after the near-IR amplification. c) The spectrum of the mid-IR signal, as measured after the difference-frequency generation.

### 3.1 Overview of the OPCPA system

The OPCPA chain providing a seed pulse for our experiments is depicted in Fig. 2. The system begins with a carrier envelope phase (CEP) stabilized Ti:Sapphire oscillator (Laser Quantum GmbH ) producing sufficiently broadband pulses to seed the OPCPA chain as well
as the pump laser amplification chain. A portion of the corresponding spectrum around 1030 nm is pre-amplified and pulse picked to 100 kHz , before being sent towards a highpower Yb :YAG Innoslab amplifier (Amphos GmbH ) [8], which produces 300 W average power after compression, with a pulse duration $<3 \mathrm{ps}$. The OPCPA seed $(650-850 \mathrm{~nm})$ is first directed to a 4-f pulse shaper and then to the first parametric amplification stage.

We use 40 W of the available pump power for the pre-amplification system, which includes the two QPM devices discussed here. This system begins with a second harmonic generation stage producing 17.8 W of 515 nm light. This light is then used to pump a $1.8-$ mm-long BBO crystal in a non-collinear configuration, amplifying the 0.2 nJ near-IR signal pulses to $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~J}$ with the spectrum displayed in Fig. 2(b). The amplified signal is then overlapped collinearly in a difference-frequency generation (DFG) stage (BBO, $0.3-\mathrm{mm}$ long) with 2.9 W of 1030 nm pump. We obtain 1.2 mW of mid-IR light with the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(c). The spectra of the MIR pulses were measured with an acousto-optic modulator-based scanning MIR spectrometer (MOZZA, Fastlite).

### 3.2 Separation of a collinear and degenerate idler

It is necessary to use a collinear geometry in the DFG stage in order to ensure a mid-IR signal beam free of angular chirp. However, this also makes it impossible to immediately follow the DFG stage with a first pre-amplification stage. The idler generated in the pre-amplification stage would be overlapped spatially (collinear geometry) as well as spectrally (operating near degeneracy) and in polarization (use of the large $d_{33}$ nonlinear coefficient in the PPLN crystal) with the amplified signal. Since it would have an opposite temporal chirp, this would lead to unwanted spectral interference.

Rather than splitting the signal and pump beams, which would entail a more complex setup, we use the QPM grating to impose a transverse momentum onto the idler to make it spatially separable from the amplified signal beam, as described in Eq. (4). This results in a very simple and compact setup.


Fig. 3. a) The amplified spectrum after the first preamplifier. We amplify the whole spectrum and reach an average gain of 13 dB . b) The measured output beam profiles. The idler is separated from the signal and displays a spatial chirp, as expected from Eq. (4). The calculated idler spectrum is superimposed in white.

Our implementation of this method relies on a PPLN crystal with $K_{g, z}=210 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, x}=250 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ (poling period of $19.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and a length of 1.5 mm . All the PPLN crystals used in this study were obtained from Gooch \& Housego PLC. The pump power inside the uncoated crystal is 2 W and it is focused to reach an intensity of $24 \mathrm{GW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Figure 3(a) shows the measured spectrum after amplification. The seed bandwidth is preserved and the
signal energy after amplification is 240 nJ , corresponding to a gain of 13 dB . The output beams were collimated after the QPM crystal and directed towards a pyroelectric camera (Pyrocam III, Ophir Optronics Solutions Ltd). Figure 3(b) shows the measured beam profile, demonstrating the separation between the signal and the idler. Furthermore, the idler exhibits a spatial chirp, which arises from the angular chirp as described by Eq. (4). The two visible peaks emerge from the structure of the calculated idler spectrum (superimposed in white).

### 3.3 Tuning of the group-velocity matching

The remaining 14.1 W of pump power are used for the second mid-IR amplifier. To demonstrate tuning of the group-velocity mismatch we use a non-collinear geometry. The signal beam direction is perpendicular to the crystal facet, while the pump is aligned with a non-collinear angle of $\theta_{p}=4.4^{\circ}$ (all angles are given inside the nonlinear crystals) and is focused to reach an intensity of $13 \mathrm{GW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ inside the uncoated crystal. The PPLN crystal used for this amplification stage has a grating aperture of $0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and a length of 2 mm , with $K_{g, z}=161 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, x}=1138 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ (poling period of $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Thus, the QPM grating k -vector is nearly orthogonal to the beam propagation direction in this case, as depicted in Fig. 4(a). The crystal is oriented so that $K_{g, x}$ follows the direction of the projection of the pump wave vector $k_{p}$ onto the x -axis.


Fig. 4. a) The wave vector diagram for the second mid-IR pre-amplifier. The QPM grating used is 2 mm long with $K_{g, z}=161 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, x}=1138 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The pump non-collinear angle used is $\theta_{p}=4.4^{\circ}$. b) The calculated phase-mismatch curves for a non-conllinear and a collinear QPM grating. The turning point of the curve is displaced from $2.85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ when using the tilted grating.

Without a large transverse grating component, the non-collinear angle between the signal and the pump would impose a transverse momentum on the idler, thereby pushing the wavelength where the waves are group-velocity matched to $2.85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This configuration would lead to a narrow phase-matching bandwidth around $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the center of the signal bandwidth. Adding a large transverse grating component allows shifting the minimum of the phase-mismatch curve back without having to modify the geometry of the experiment, as shown in Fig. 4(b). This geometry allows amplifying the entire bandwidth with a gain of 13 dB (Fig. 5(a)).

To demonstrate that the signal and idler are group-velocity matched at $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, we measure the gain spectrum while rotating the nonlinear crystal in the beam crossing plane, thereby changing $K_{g, z}$ and hence sweeping through phase-matching for different parts of the signal spectrum. Figure 5(b) shows the calculated phase-mismatch curves for different crystal angles in the case of a minimized GVM at $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The curve is passing through phasematching $(\Delta k=0)$ with increasing crystal angle. Phase-matching begins around $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and then spreads to the wings of the spectrum. The same behavior is apparent in both the
calculated and measured gain curves (Fig. 5(c) and Fig. 5(d), respectively). This can only be observed in the case of a minimized GVM in the center of the bandwidth and therefore demonstrates that the non-collinear QPM grating allowed tuning the wavelength at which the signal and the idler are group-velocity matched.


Fig. 5. a) The amplified spectrum after the second mid-IR amplifier. The visible sharp spectral features are expected to originate from a combination of water absorption and gain competition between different parts of the signal spectrum and amplified near-IR seed spectrum. inset: typical mid-IR beam profile after amplification measured in the far-field. b) The phasemismatch curve for different crystal angles. The turning point is at $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the curve is passing through phase-matching $(\Delta k=0)$ with increasing angle. $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d})$ The calculated peak gain and the measured gain for different crystal angles. The dynamic range of c) was chosen to match that of the measured gain curves in d). The fine spectral structures of (a) lead to a modulated gain spectrum. Since here we are only interested in the overall shape of the gain curves, we applied a low-pass filter on the measured curves (moving average, square window of 2.75 THz ). The peak of the gain curve is around $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for small angles, while it spreads to the wings for larger crystal angles. This demonstrates that the transverse grating component permitted to move the position of zero GVM back to $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## 4. Tandem of QPM devices

Next, we propose a new amplification geometry based on multiple consecutive non-collinear QPM devices. We saw in the previous sections that adding a transverse component to a QPM grating allows tuning the point where the GVM is minimized without modifying the geometry of the interaction. This degree of freedom can now be used to obtain broadband phasematching around different central wavelengths in successive crystals. This versatile method circumvents the need for complex beam routing, allowing for an extremely compact and simple setup.

Figure 6(a) shows an example of such an amplification stage. The signal and the pump beams are sent through both crystals with a fixed non-collinear angle. The grating wave
vector of the first crystal is oriented in the same direction as the pump in order to "accelerate" the idler and achieve group-velocity matching near $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conversely, the second QPM grating is oriented in a direction opposite to the pump beam, so as to move the point of groupvelocity matching to even longer wavelengths, in this case to $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 6. a) The tandem geometry. The first crystal amplifies the short wavelength part of the spectrum while the second crystal amplifies the long wavelength part. b) Calculated phasemismatch curves showing that the point of minimized GVM is different for both crystals. c) The calculated gain based on the phase-mismatch curves of (b). The difference in peak gain can be explained by the coupling coefficient of the three-wave mixing process becoming lower when moving away from degeneracy; this could be compensated for by adjusting the length of one of the crystals.

Although this concept also allows for collinear signal and pump beams, this would require the grating of the second crystal to have a small periodicity of $\sim 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In order to simplify the poling process, an angle of $4.4^{\circ}$ is added to the pump which leads to grating wave vectors of $K_{g, z}=162 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, x}=1138 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ for the first grating and $K_{g, z}=414 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and $K_{g, x}=-1062 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ for the second grating. In this configuration, the grating periods are close to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for both crystals. The calculated phase-mismatch curves are shown in Fig. 6(b). In this way, by having both QPM gratings exhibit group-velocity matching at widely-separated wavelengths, an extremely broad gain spectrum between 1.6 and $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is possible, as shown in Fig. 6(c), with the same footprint as a single non-collinear amplification stage.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, by adding a transverse component to QPM gratings, we gain a new design degree of freedom which allows tuning the wavelength at which group-velocity matching is obtained. The QPM structures are fabricated using established lithographic techniques. The gained degree of freedom introduces additional flexibility compared to the typical noncollinear OPA geometry, as it decouples the amplified bandwidth from the geometry of the nonlinear interaction.

We demonstrated this concept with two examples in the context of OPA. In the first amplification stage, the transverse grating component was added to separate the idler beam from the signal in a configuration where it would otherwise have been fully degenerate, thereby allowing for a compact and simple amplification geometry. The second amplification stage used a non-collinear QPM grating with a large transverse component in order to achieve group-velocity matching in the center of the signal bandwidth, while still using a large noncollinear angle between the signal and the pump beams.

Finally, we showed that it is possible to add different transverse grating components to multiple consecutive crystals in order to obtain group-velocity matching at different central frequencies, while using a single non-collinear angle. This results in an extremely broad
amplification bandwidth, potentially spanning multiple octaves, while bypassing the need to separate and recombine beams at specific non-collinear angles between crystals. This last example demonstrates the versatility offered by tilted QPM devices, as well as the improvement obtained by the decoupling of phase-matching and nonlinear interaction geometry. We expect that tilted QPM structures will have a broad impact especially in the context of ОРСРА, where they could simplify both designs and implementations of otherwise complex setups.
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