# Solution 2

### 1. A grounded conducting sphere in a homogeneous external electric field

Let R be the radius of the sphere. The potential satisfies

$$\begin{split} \varphi(x) &= 0, \quad (|x| \leq R), \\ \Delta \varphi(x) &= 0, \quad (|x| > R), \\ \varphi(x) &= -E_{\infty} \cdot x + O(1), \quad (|x| \to \infty) \,. \end{split}$$

Subtracting the contribution of the homogeneous field,  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x) + E_{\infty} \cdot x$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}(x) &= E_{\infty} \cdot x, \quad (|x| \leq R), \\ \Delta \tilde{\varphi}(x) &= 0, \quad (|x| > R), \\ \tilde{\varphi}(x) &= O(1), \quad (|x| \to \infty). \end{split}$$

A solution (and indeed the only one) thereof is eq. (8) in the solution of problem set 1, with  $P = 3E_{\infty}$ . Thus, by the subsequent equation (9), we have

$$E(x) = \begin{cases} R^3 \frac{3(E_{\infty} \cdot x)x - E_{\infty} x^2}{|x|^5} + E_{\infty}, & (|x| > R) \\ 0, & (|x| \le R) \end{cases}$$

## 2. The Cavendish experiment

- (i) Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be the inner and the outer surface respectively. The potential  $\varphi$  is constant across both surfaces, since they are connected. Moreover, as  $S_2$  is closed,  $\varphi$ is constant everywhere inside  $S_2$ , since this is the solution of  $\Delta \varphi = 0$  for the boundary conditions given. The charge density on  $S_1$  is the jump  $-\nabla \varphi \cdot n$  and therefore vanishes.  $(S_2$  is a Faraday cage.)
- (ii) U(s) is the potential of a point charge with charge 1 at 0. The distance s from x to some point on the sphere with angle  $\theta$  with respect to x is given by  $s^2 = r^2 + a^2 2ar \cos \theta$ . Such points have a surface charge  $2\pi \sin \theta d\theta / 4\pi$ . Therefore

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta \, U \left( \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ar \cos \theta} \right).$$

The substitution  $\theta \to s$  yields  $sds = ar \sin \theta d\theta$  and

$$V(r;a) = \frac{1}{2ar} \int_{|a-r|}^{a+r} ds \, U(s)s = \frac{1}{2ar} (f(a+r) - f(|a-r|)) \,. \tag{3}$$

Under  $U(r) \to U(r) + C$ , (C = const) we have  $f(r) \to f(r) + Cr^2/2$  and  $V(r) \to V(r) + C$ . In the case of Coulomb's law,  $F(r) \propto r^{-2}$ , we have  $U(r) \propto r^{-1}$  and  $f(r) \propto r$ .

(iii) In equilibrium, the potentials on both spheres is the same:

$$Q_1 V(R_1; R_1) + Q_2 V(R_1; R_2) = Q_1 V(R_2; R_1) + Q_2 V(R_2; R_2) , \qquad (4)$$

and hence

$$\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} = \frac{V(R_2; R_2) - V(R_1; R_2)}{V(R_1; R_1) - V(R_2; R_1)}$$

Plugging in (3) we obtain (1) on the exercise sheet.

Eq. (4) alternatively follows by minimisation of the energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( Q_1^2 V(R_1; R_1) + 2Q_1 Q_2 V(R_1; R_2) + Q_2^2 V(R_2; R_2) \right)$$

subject to the constraint  $Q_1 + Q_2 = \text{const.}$  $Q_1 = 0$  implies

$$f(2R_2)R_1 - (f(R_2 + R_1) - f(R_2 - R_1))R_2 = 0$$
.

Differentiation with respect to  $R_1$ ,  $(0 \leq R_1 \leq R_2)$  gives

$$f(2R_2) - (f'(R_2 + R_1) + f'(R_2 - R_1))R_2 = 0$$

and in particular

$$f(2R_2) = 2f'(R_2)R_2 . (5)$$

On the other hand, renewed differentiation yields

$$f''(R_2 + R_1) - f''(R_2 - R_1) = 0.$$
(6)

Equation (6) states that f'' is constant, i.e.  $f(r) = C_1 r^2 + C_2 r + C_3$ , where  $C_3 = 0$  because of (5). This precisely corresponds to the case of Coulomb's law.

*Remark.* From quantum field theory it follows that Coulomb's law is based on the exchange of virtual photons. If they had a mass m > 0,  $U(r) \propto r^{-1}$  would have to be replaced by  $U(r) \propto r^{-1} \exp^{-(mc/\hbar)r}$ . The negative results of Cavendish imply  $m < 10^{-40}$ g (today  $m < 10^{-47}$ g with related experiments).

# 3. Thomson's theorem

Consider charge distributions  $\rho$  with supp  $\rho \subset \bigcup_i \mathcal{L}_i$  and given fixed charges  $Q_i := \int_{\mathcal{L}_i} \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ . The electrostatic energy which should be minimised is

$$E[\rho] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y$$

Let  $\rho$ ,  $\rho + \delta \rho$  be two such distributions; i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}_i} \delta\rho(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{L}_i} \delta\rho(x) \cdot 1 \,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \;. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$E[\rho + \delta\rho] - E[\rho] = E[\delta\rho] + \int \delta\rho(x) \,\varphi(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

is the electrostatic potential of  $\rho$ . Here  $E[\delta\rho] \ge 0$ , cf. (1.20). If we have  $\varphi(x) = V_i$  on  $\mathcal{L}_i$ , then  $\int \delta\rho(x) \,\varphi(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=1}^N V_i \int_{\mathcal{L}_i} \delta\rho(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0$  and hence  $E[\rho + \delta\rho] \ge E[\rho]$ . On the other hand, if  $\rho$  is a minimiser of  $E[\cdot]$ , (8) and  $(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}\lambda)E[\rho + \lambda\delta\rho]|_{\lambda=0} = 0$  imply

$$0 = \sum_{i} \int_{\mathcal{L}_{i}} \delta \rho(x) \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all valid  $\delta \rho$ . Since  $\delta \rho$  can be chosen independently on each body, each term vanishes separately. Equation (7) now implies

$$\varphi(x) = \text{const } 1 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_i$$

in the following way:  $\varphi$  is perpendicular to  $\delta \rho$  with respect to the scalar product  $(u, v) = \int_{\mathcal{L}_i} u(x)v(x) \, dx$ , and the latter are themselves perpendicular to 1 w.r.t. the same scalar product.

### 4. Electrostatic energy in an external field

The electrostatic energy W of the charge distribution  $\rho(x)$  in the external potential  $\varphi(x)$  is

$$W = \int \rho(x)\varphi(x)\mathrm{d}x\,. \tag{9}$$

For a nearly constant  $\varphi$  we use the Taylor expansion

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \partial_i \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} x_i x_j \partial_i \partial_j \varphi(0) + \dots$$
$$= \varphi(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i E_i(0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} x_i x_j \partial_i E_j(0) + \dots$$

Then (9) becomes

$$W = e\varphi(0) - p \cdot E(0) - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} T_{ij} \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial x_i}(0) + \dots ,$$

where  $T_{ij} = 3 \int x_i x_j \rho(x) dx$ . Since the external field satisfies  $\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \delta_{ij} (\partial E_j / \partial x_i)(0) = \nabla \cdot E(0) = 0$ ,  $T_{ij}$  can be replaced by  $T_{ij} - (\operatorname{Tr} T) \delta_{ij} / 3 = Q_{ij}$ .