Solution 10

1. Time-dependent Hamilton operators

(i) In the Schrödinger picture, let $\psi(s)$ denote an arbitrary state at time s. Then the state evolved to time t is given by $\psi(t) \coloneqq U(t,s)\psi(s)$, since $U(t,s)\psi(s)$ is the unique solution of (1) with initial condition $\psi(s)$ at t = s. For the Heisenberg picture, let A(s) be a (possibly time-dependent) operator in the Schrödinger picture. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(t), A(s)\psi(t) \rangle &= \langle U(t,s)\psi(s), A(s)U(t,s)\psi(s) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(s), U(t,s)^*A(s)U(t,s)\psi(s) \rangle \,, \end{aligned}$$

hence the operator in the Heisenberg picture is $A(t) = U(t, s)^* A(s) U(t, s)$.

(ii) We make the following preliminary observations:

$$0 = i\partial_s \psi(t) = i\partial_s (U(t,s)\psi(s)) = (i\partial_s U(t,s))\psi(s) + U(t,s)i\partial_s \psi(s)$$

= $(i\partial_s U(t,s))\psi(s) + U(t,s)H(s)\psi(s);$

and thus $i\partial_s U(t,s) = -U(t,s)H(s)$. Moreover, the dual of equation (2) is

$$-\mathrm{i}\partial_t U(t,s)^* = U(t,s)^* H(t) \,.$$

Hence U(s,t) and $U(t,s)^*$ satisfy the same differential equation, and also the initial condition at time t = s, $U(s,s) = U(s,s)^* = 1$. Thus $U(t,s)^* \equiv U(s,t)$.

For the first part, consider now two elements of the Hilbert space at time s, $\psi(s)$ and $\phi(s)$. We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle \psi(s), U(t,s)^* U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle U(t,s)\psi(s), U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle \\ &= \langle -\mathrm{i}H(t)U(t,s)\psi(s), U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle \\ &+ \langle U(t,s)\psi(s), -\mathrm{i}H(t)U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle \\ &= \mathrm{i} \langle U(t,s)\psi(s), H(t)U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle \\ &+ (-\mathrm{i}) \langle U(t,s)\psi(s), H(t)U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, $\langle \psi(s), U(t,s)^*U(t,s)\phi(s) \rangle = \langle \psi(s), U(s,t)U(s,t)^*\phi(s) \rangle$ by our preliminary observations. Hence the operators $U(t,s)^*U(t,s), U(t,s)U(t,s)^*$ and 1 all satisfy the same differential equation with common initial value at t = s and therefore coincide, which implies the unitarity of U(t,s).

For the second part, we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{i}\partial_s U(t,s)U(s,r) =& (\mathrm{i}\partial_s U(t,s))U(s,r) + U(t,s)\mathrm{i}\partial_s U(s,r) \\ &= -U(t,s)H(s)U(s,r) + U(t,s)H(s)U(s,r) = 0 \,, \end{split}$$

and the same argument as above yields the desired equality.

(iii) In the *j*-th component, Newton's equation of motion is $m\ddot{x}_j = F_j$, with F_j the *j*-th component of the Lorentz force,

$$F = e(E + \frac{1}{c}\dot{x} \times B) = -e\nabla\varphi - \frac{e}{c}\partial_t A + \frac{e}{c}\dot{x} \times (\nabla \times A).$$

Hamilton's equations of motion on the other hand imply

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_j}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}x_j} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - \frac{e}{c} A_i)(-\frac{e}{c})\partial_j A_i - e\partial_j \varphi \,, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_j}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}p_j} = \frac{1}{m} (p_j - \frac{e}{c} A_j) \,. \tag{5}$$

Thus $p_i = m\dot{x}_i + (e/c)A_i$ by (5), and plugging this into (4) yields

$$m\ddot{x}_j + \frac{e}{c}\partial_t A_j + \frac{e}{c}\sum_{k=1}^3 \dot{x}_k \partial_k A_j = \frac{e}{c}\sum_{i=1}^3 m\dot{x}_i \partial_j A_i - e\partial_j \varphi,$$

where the last term on the left-hand side appears due to the time-dependence of the x_j 's. The statement follows now by comparison.

(iv) Let $\tilde{H}(t)$ be given by replacing A, φ by $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\varphi}$ in H(t). We are looking for $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ such that

$$i\partial_t \tilde{\psi}(t) = \tilde{H}(t)\tilde{\psi}(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^3 (-i\partial_i - \frac{e}{c}A_i - \frac{e}{c}\partial_i\chi)^2 \tilde{\psi}(t) + e\varphi \tilde{\psi}(t) - \frac{e}{c}(\partial_t\chi)\tilde{\psi}(t) .$$
(6)

We have $\tilde{H}(t) = H(t) + H'(t)$ with

$$H'(t) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^2 (\partial_i \chi)^2 + 2i \frac{e}{c} (\partial_i \chi) \partial_i + i \frac{e}{c} \partial_i^2 \chi + 2\left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^2 A_i \partial_i \chi \right) - \frac{e}{c} \partial_t \chi;$$

hence comparing (1) and (6) leads to the conclusion that $\tilde{\psi}$ has to have the form $\tilde{\psi} = f\psi$ for some function f = f(t, x). The l.h.s. of (6) therefore is

$$fi\partial_t\psi(t) + i(\partial_t f)\psi(t),$$
 (7)

whereas the r.h.s. is

$$fH(t)\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (2i\frac{e}{c}A_i(\partial_i f)\psi - (\partial_i^2 f)\psi - 2(\partial_i f)\partial_i\psi) + H'(t)f\psi.$$
(8)

The fist terms of (7) and (8) coincide. Furthermore, comparing the coefficients of $\partial_i \psi$ in the left over parts, we get

$$\partial_i f = \mathrm{i}\frac{e}{c}(\partial_i \chi)f\,,$$

and hence $f = e^{i\frac{e}{c}\chi}$ (up to a multiplicative constant which is chosen to be 1 in order for the wave function to be normalized). This is verified by plugging in f into the remaining terms of (7) and (8).

2. Self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with Coulomb potential

(i) We have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \psi, A_i^* A_i \psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \psi, \left(\mathrm{i}\partial_i - \mathrm{i}\alpha \frac{x^i}{|x|^2} \right) \left(\mathrm{i}\partial_i + \mathrm{i}\alpha \frac{x^i}{|x|^2} \right) \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \psi, -\partial_i^2 \psi \rangle + \alpha^2 \langle \psi, \frac{(x^i)^2}{|x|^4} \psi \rangle - \alpha \langle \psi, \partial_i (\frac{x^i}{|x|^2}) \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, -\Delta \psi \rangle + \alpha^2 \langle \psi, \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha \langle \psi, \left(\frac{1}{|x|^2} - \frac{2(x^i)^2}{|x|^4} \right) \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, -\Delta \psi \rangle + (\alpha^2 - \alpha(n-2)) \langle \psi, \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Note that this expression is positive (as indicated on the exercise sheet), since $\langle \psi, A_i^* A_i \psi \rangle = \langle A_i \psi, A_i \psi \rangle = ||A_i \psi||^2$. It attains a minimum for $\alpha = (n-2)/2$, where $\alpha^2 - \alpha(n-2) = -(n-2)^2/4$.

(ii) Set $\hbar = 1$. We have

$$\begin{split} \|w(x)\psi\|^2 =& d^2 \langle |x|^{-1}\psi, |x|^{-1}\psi \rangle \\ =& d^2 \langle \psi, |x|^{-2}\psi \rangle \\ \leqslant & 4d^2 \langle \psi, -\Delta\psi \rangle \\ =& 4d^2 \langle \hat{\psi}, |p|^2 \hat{\psi} \rangle \,, \end{split}$$

where the inequality follows from the Hardy inequality and we used Fourier transform for the last step. By Young's inequality we have $|p|^2 \leq c|p|^4 + 1/c$ for some (arbitrary) positive constant c. Thus

$$\begin{split} \|w(x)\psi\|^{2} \leqslant 4d^{2}c\langle\hat{\psi},|p|^{4}\hat{\psi}\rangle &+ \frac{4d^{2}}{c}\langle\hat{\psi},\hat{\psi}\rangle\\ \leqslant 4d^{2}c\||p|^{2}\hat{\psi}\|^{2} &+ \frac{4d^{2}}{c}\|\hat{\psi}\|^{2}\\ &= 4d^{2}c\|-\Delta\psi\|^{2} + \frac{4d^{2}}{c}\|\psi\|^{2}\,. \end{split}$$

In order to satisfy the conditions for the Kato-Rellich theorem, we must have

$$\|w(x)\psi\| \leqslant a\| - \Delta\psi\| + b\|\psi\|,$$

for some a, b > 0, a < 1, i.e.

$$||w(x)\psi||^2 \leq a^2 ||-\Delta\psi||^2 + b^2 ||\psi||^2 + 2ab||-\Delta\psi|||\psi||$$

By the above computation, we actually have

$$||w(x)\psi||^2 \leq a^2 ||-\Delta\psi||^2 + b^2 ||\psi||^2$$

for $a = 2|d|\sqrt{c}$ and $b = 2|d|/\sqrt{c}$. Moreover, we can choose the constant c > 0 such that $\sqrt{c} < 1/2|d|$, whence a < 1 and the conditions of the theorem are satisfied.