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Abstract: This paper reports on a sustainability outreach study based on an assessment of human and social capital. The aim was to capture the national sustainability outreach of twenty years of Environmental Sciences education, centered at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich. The study contained two lines of research, one being a human capital assessment with a survey among graduates from the years 1992 to 2005 (n = 542) and the other being a social capital analysis based on interviews with institutions that represent the Swiss social systems of economy, politics/public administration and civil society (20 institutions). Our analyses reveal several functional forms of both human capital (specialists, pioneers, leaders) and social capital (qualification profile, internalization, networks, standardization, professionalization) that trigger and channel sustainability outreach.

Keywords: sustainability outreach; environmental sciences; human capital; social capital
1. Introduction: Human and Social Capital

Since the 1990s, the OECD has been researching and promoting the concepts of human and social capital. Both concepts are more or less linked to the education system. In particular human capital is defined via individual knowledge [1] and measured via educational attainment [2]. Based on a review of the human capital literature, the OECD report of 1996 defined human capital “as the knowledge that individuals acquire during their life and use to produce goods (,) services or ideas in market or non-market circumstances” (p. 22) [1]. The current OECD short definition reads as follows: “Human capital is productive wealth embodied in labour, skills and knowledge” [3]. This definition gives credit to the fact that human capital “can be now identified as a key factor in economic production” (p. 9) [2]. Given the important role of human capital for productivity, it comes as no surprise that the development of human capital can also be considered a necessary condition for “long-term sustainability” [4].

Whereas human capital refers to skilled individuals with “a focus directly on what it is that individuals bring to work and economic activity” [2], social capital refers to social institutions [5,6]. Putnam [6] related social capital to “features of social organization such as networks, norms, and social trust that facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit”. Accordingly, the OECD defines social capital through norms and social relations: “Social capital is defined as the norms and social relations embedded in the social structures of societies that enable people to co-ordinate action to achieve desired goals” [7]. The integration of research on human and social capital is considered a new challenge: “Recent research has improved our understanding of how education not only produces human capital, but also contributes to wider social benefits. Human capital and social capital interact in improving not only labor market outcomes, but also health, civic participation, political engagement, trust and tolerance” [8].

We used the concepts of human and social capital for the assessment of the outreach of twenty years of the Environmental Sciences educational program at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich. As the program is based on the principles of sustainable development, the focus of the outreach assessment was on its sustainability impact. What was—in terms of human and social capital—the contribution of the Environmental Sciences educational program to sustainability in Switzerland? What does this case teach us about functional forms of human and social capital of sustainability outreach in general?

For an operationalization, we followed the approach of expertise as human capital [9,10]. Social capital was assessed within a social systems approach [11]. For our outreach study, we combined quantitative and qualitative methods. We found that besides the “production” of skilled people—or human capital [4]—a major part of the outreach of Environmental Sciences education in Switzerland rested on increased social capital, namely the definition and proliferation of standards for understanding human-environmental systems. However, we also found a divide between the public perception of the educational program and that of the conducted research by ETH Environmental Sciences.
2. The Swiss Context of Education in Environmental Sciences

In the following we will present the context of the outreach study, that is the educational program in Environmental Sciences at ETH Zurich in general and the 2009 sustainability impact study in particular.

2.1. The Environmental Sciences Educational Program at ETH Zurich

Switzerland has a long tradition of integrating environmental issues within society. One driving concern has been water management. In 1888 a Swiss law protecting fish populations was approved. In 1936, an advisory centre for wastewater treatment and drinking water supply was established at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich (ETH). In the 1940s, the first professional association for ecological water engineering was founded. A further milestone was set by the Water Protection Law of 1955 (Gewässerschutzgesetz). Environmental issues were also integrated within the 1983 Environmental Protection Law (Umweltschutzgesetz), the main body of Swiss environmental law that has since become one of the few core federal domains in Switzerland [12]. The Swiss discourse on environmental protection culminated when sustainability became a constitutional goal in 1999, by which an integration of environmental, economic and social issues in Swiss society and a responsible use of resources are stipulated [13].

A result of this enduring public discussion on ecological issues, as well as the occurrence of accidents such as Chernobyl and particularly Schweizerhalle, both in 1986, was the introduction of the Environmental Sciences curriculum at the ETH Zurich (Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule/Swiss Federal Institute of Technology), the federal university of Switzerland. The lack of and need for experts in analyzing, understanding and handling such problems motivated this step [14,15]. The new educational program started in 1987 and was intended to be practice-oriented and based on a systems view [16]. The curriculum comprised of the following:

- A basic training in mathematics and all major natural sciences was followed by an interdisciplinary education with a focus on a specific natural system such as atmosphere or aquatic and terrestrial systems.
- Mandatory classes in economics, environmental law, social sciences and environmental technology addressed the interactions between nature and society.
- A new didactic approach—namely, the transdisciplinary case study—was introduced to teach sustainability learning and to realize science-society cooperation by integrating ecological aspects and a systems view in processes of urban and regional development [17].
- Finally, a compulsory internship near the end of the program aimed to further enhance the students’ skills in cooperation and implementation [18].

The first guide for the educational program of 1989—just before the sustainability concept was spread worldwide—defined the educational goal as “a new type of natural scientist,” both specialist and generalist who knows how to talk to and cooperate with farmers, engineers, public administration and politicians [19]. Since the 1990s, sustainability has explicitly become the educational goal of the ETH Environmental Sciences: “The focus lies on incorporating sustainability, with the goal of applying this concept into future professional activities” [20]. Already in 1997, this educational program was internationally perceived as an example of incorporating “value” (sustainability) into science [21].
Though there is a focus on environmental issues in the ETH Environmental Sciences educational program, sustainability is always taught and practiced from an integrative perspective. Through the mandatory transdisciplinary case studies, the Environmental Sciences students have become an active part of initiatives for sustainable development in half of Switzerland, in rural regions, faced with the problem of defining new, sustainability-oriented roles of farmers [22], as well as in cities, dealing for instance with the economics of the revitalization of industrial sites [23]. The 1995 transdisciplinary case study [24] was part of the development of Zurich West, today one of Switzerland’s most prominent examples for sustainable urban development. Meanwhile, the case studies’ approach of the ETH Environmental Sciences has been cast into a methodology of sustainability learning in higher education [25,15]. Today, several universities in Europe—like the ETH Environmental Sciences educational program—undertake sustainability science partnerships with external public-private and civil sectors agents [26].

2.2. The ETH Sustainability Impact Study 2009

From its onset, a comprehensive quality control and evaluation of the success of the curriculum of Environmental Sciences was considered essential. A continual evaluation system was implemented [14] and further supplemented through various other specific evaluation projects running in parallel. In 2009 the evaluative studies were adjusted under a new overarching framework meant to cover both the output of the educational program and its sustainability impact in Switzerland [27].

This encompassing evaluation approach was consistent with the aims of the founders of the program, who considered it to be a crucial step towards a more sustainable development in Switzerland. The distinctive denotation and character of the program, which distinguishes the Environmental Sciences graduates in civil society and the labor market, as well as the attributes of the country—i.e., being rather small, confined and with considerable independence—were factors suggesting that societal sustainability impacts can indeed be evaluated by a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods capturing multiple perspectives.

In 2009 a sustainability impact study was launched in order to assess the specific outreach of the Environmental Sciences educational program of ETH Zurich. The impact was analyzed across the two categories of human and social capital by using a combination of two methodologically different approaches, a quantitative survey and a qualitative interview study (see Figure 1). On the one hand, this allowed to test hypotheses regarding human capital with a large, representative sample, while on the other hand, the open ended nature of qualitative research [28] was seen as a necessity for discovering social capital in the new field of sustainability education [26,29,30].
Figure 1. Design of the outreach study.

3. Quantitative Study: Human Capital Assessment

The following section reports on the approach and results of the quantitative part of the sustainability impact study.

3.1. General Approach

Expertise can be considered a form of human capital [9,10], as it refers to specific skills and knowledge and results from intensive training and prolonged education [31]. Expertise is highly domain-specific and difficult to transfer [31]. Therefore, many scholars [32] have suggested analyzing professional expertise only in the context of application. As Mieg [33] has shown, we have to distinguish two factors of expertise related to professional work: expertise as excellence and expertise as professionalism. Expertise as excellence becomes most effective in its own domain, working with already established standards. In contrast, expertise as professionalism is about establishing new standards in a new or foreign professional field.

Thus, to study human capital in the form of expertise, we have to consider the interaction of degree of expertise and particular professional fields. This delivers two types of human capital, here defined as types of experts:

(1) Experts in an established professional field—specialists.
(2) Experts in a new or foreign professional field—pioneers.
In order to also take into account varying degrees of expertise, the top experts—usually the top 10% performers of a domain—were studied as a third type of human capital. As there is no unique performance measure for advancing sustainability, we focused on organizational positions:

3) Top experts—leaders, holding the highest managerial positions in their organizations.

Unfortunately, we lack any quantification for sustainability-related human capital. We therefore established criteria and hypotheses to help assess the sustainability impact of ETH Environmental Sciences on Swiss society with regard to the contribution to human capital, i.e., the impacts triggered by graduates as sustainability experts. The criteria were:

1) High degree of penetration of Swiss society: In general, graduates should contribute as specialists to sustainability in many societal sectors or professional fields.
2) Pioneering work: Even in industries or sectors that are generally not linked to sustainability concerns, graduates should be active as sustainability pioneers.
3) Influence: Even graduates holding top managerial positions should actively contribute to sustainable development. For graduates of Environmental Science, taking leadership roles in companies should not involve a disconnection from ideas about sustainable development.

These criteria can be directly transformed into hypotheses related to the three types of human capital or experts previously introduced.

3.2. Hypotheses: Specialists, Pioneers and Leaders

The first hypothesis concerns the graduates as sustainability specialists in the Swiss society. The Specialists Hypothesis contains three parts:

1) The graduates are professionally active in (i) a reasonable number of professional fields; (ii) small, medium-sized and large organizations; and (iii) all sectors of Swiss society.
2) In general, the graduates are somehow concerned with sustainability in their professions.
3) If someone is professionally engaged in sustainability, his/her engagement increases throughout their career.

This first hypothesis also reacts to doubts regarding the employability of graduates of Environmental Sciences that accompanied the introduction of this education program from its inception [27]. It was feared that the educational profile would be too narrow and that the leading big industries in Switzerland such as banking or chemical industry would not be interested in such specialists. Therefore, test criteria for part (1) of the hypothesis—concerning the penetration of the Swiss labor market—were: (i) the main three fields of professional activity (particularly employment by universities and small planning offices) should not exceed 50% of all activities; (ii) employment in small organizations (<50 employees) should not exceed 50%; and (iii) no single societal sector should contribute 50% or more to the professional activities of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences.

The second hypothesis refers to pioneering work by graduates of the ETH Environmental Sciences in the three professional fields that would turn out to be generally weakly related to sustainability (see Table 1). Under the Pioneers Hypothesis, we examine the engagement of graduates as active sustainability pioneers as follows:
(1) Even in professional fields with low sustainability we will find graduates whose work is (considerably) related to sustainability.
(2) These graduates have an increased influence on sustainability concerns in their organizations in the course of their careers.

The third hypothesis refers to managerial leadership and influence. We do not expect that graduates holding top managerial positions are generally also top sustainability experts. However, we might expect that they bring in their specific human capital and promote sustainability in a substantial way. In this context, we must also clarify whether this contribution is still seen as linked to their academic education in Environmental Sciences. Accordingly, the Leaders Hypothesis contains two parts:

(1) Graduates who now hold top managerial positions promote sustainability in a substantial way.
(2) In addition, these people assess their academic education as particularly useful.

Table 1. Fields of professional activity. Fields with low ratings (<2.5, negative pole of the Likert scale) of the relatedness of professional activities to sustainability are shaded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Percentage ((\Sigma = 524))</th>
<th>Relatedness to sustainability *</th>
<th>Work time devoted to sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard error of mean</td>
<td>Mean (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental-, planning-, engineering office</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universities</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks/Insurances</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research outside universities</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental NGOs</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health sector</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy/water supply</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other industry</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical industry</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction industry</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other public services</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rating scale: 1 = no, 2 = rather no, 3 = rather yes, 4 = yes, to 5 = yes, very strongly.
3.3. Methods and Samples

The study used a web-based survey on the careers of the graduates of Environmental Sciences from 1992 to 2005 and their sustainability contributions. Graduates from this time span were invited via e-mail to take part in the survey, which was available online throughout October 2009. The survey mainly elicited self-assessments and covered in particular:

- Professional activities since graduation (e.g., income, position, size of company/organization, field of activities);
- The degree of engagement towards sustainability through these professional activities in general and by means of specific best practice examples.

For the items of this survey we generally used a five-point bipolar “Likert” scale. For instance, we asked the graduates whether they experienced an advantage or disadvantage in their professional activities as compared to graduates of more specialized university programs (scale from 1 = clear disadvantage to 3 = balanced to 5 = clear advantage). Two items were included to assess the relation of the professional activities to sustainability. One item asked for the strength of the relatedness of the professional activity to sustainability on a scale from 1 (= no) to 5 (= yes, very strongly), and the second item asked for an estimate of the percentage of work-time devoted to sustainability oriented activities.

In addition, the graduates had to describe and assess best practice examples of their own sustainability-related professional activities such as natural protection projects; sustainability teaching in secondary schools; promoting intercultural gardens in Switzerland; improving the intra-organizational health management of a big company; or the establishment of a spin-off company in the solar energy industry. For instance, the graduates were asked whether efforts for a systematic integration of ecological, economic and social aspects of sustainability were undertaken in their practice example (yes or no), whether sustainability relevant effects had been monitored or evaluated (yes or no), to which of 15 specific objectives of the Swiss sustainability strategy [34] the example contributed (15 items in yes or no format), and how strongly it promoted sustainability (1 = very weak to 5 = very strong contribution).

Altogether 1081 students graduated from the Environmental Sciences program at the ETH between 1992 and 2005. 808 of these graduates could be tracked down and were invited to participate in the study. Of the invited professionals, 567 visited the survey webpage responding at least to the first question (response rate: 70.2%), which asked whether the graduate was currently professionally active, and if so when he or she began their current job. 441 answered the complete questionnaire, representing 40.8% of the total population of graduates, 397 graduates provided one or more best practice example. The graduation years of the survey participants were distributed similarly to the graduation years of all graduates from 1992 to 2005 (Chi-Square, df = 13, p = 0.43).

Table 1 shows the various fields of professional activity of the graduates. 19 fields had a share of at least 1%. More than half of the graduates work in one of the first four fields: private environmental/planning/engineering offices (15.1%); public administration (14.9%); universities (13.4%); or banks and insurances (9.9%). The table also contains the ratings by the professionals of how closely their work is related to sustainability issues and how much work time they devote to
sustainability oriented activities. The 19 fields of professional activity differ significantly in relatedness to sustainability and work time devoted to sustainability (ANOVA, F(18,505) = 6.2 and F(18,482) = 7.6, p < 0.001). Both measures are highly correlated (r = 0.84, n = 502, p < 0.001). In all but three fields, work is related at least moderately (>2.5) to sustainability, the exceptions being banks and insurances, the health sector and other public services.

3.4. Results

3.4.1. High Degree of Penetration of Swiss Society: Specialists

As Table 1 shows, we find graduates of Environmental Sciences in at least 19 clearly different professional fields (with a share of at least 1% each). As we see in Table 2, we find them working in organizations of all size, covering the four main societal sectors (Private Sector; Public Sector; Civil Society; Science). The three criteria mentioned in 3.2 are met: (i) the main three fields of professional activity add up to less than 45% and do not exceed 50% of all activities (cf. Table 1); (ii) employment in small organizations (<50 employees) is about 35% and does not exceed 50%; and (iii) no single societal sector contributes 50% or more to the professional activities. Thus the first part (1) of the Specialists Hypothesis is confirmed.

Table 2. Specialists Hypothesis: Relatedness of current professional activity to sustainability with regard to professional sectors and size of organization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of organization</th>
<th>Relatedness to sustainability</th>
<th>Work time devoted to sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (±SE) n</td>
<td>Mean (%) ±SE n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10 employees</td>
<td>3.3 ±0.1 88 51 4.0 ±0.1 83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–49 employees</td>
<td>3.4 ±0.1 103 52 3.7 ±0.1 95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–99 employees</td>
<td>2.9 ±0.2 57 46 4.9 ±0.2 55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–249 employees</td>
<td>2.7 ±0.2 63 35 4.8 ±0.2 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–999 employees</td>
<td>3.2 ±0.1 87 45 4.1 ±0.1 79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 or more employees</td>
<td>2.8 ±0.1 142 38 3.2 ±0.1 130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societal Sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector</td>
<td>3.0 ±0.1 250 45 2.4 ±0.1 240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector</td>
<td>3.0 ±0.1 134 43 3.3 ±0.1 126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Society: NGOs</td>
<td>3.8 ±0.2 40 63 5.4 ±0.2 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>3.0 ±0.1 101 37 3.5 ±0.1 96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rating scale: 1 = no, 2 = rather no, 3 = rather yes, 4 = yes, to 5 = yes, very strongly. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 (one-tailed t-test, mean above 2.5)

In addition, Table 2 provides the data for the degree of which the professional work of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences is related to sustainability with regard to societal sectors and the size of the organization. As we see, all sectors significantly demonstrate relatedness to sustainability (>2.5), with work in the NGO sector being most strongly related to sustainability. We obtain similar results for organizations of various sizes, with the exception of organizations with between 100 and 249 employees.
The distribution of work time devoted to sustainability (last three columns) for company size and sectors is similar to that of the general relatedness to sustainability (second to fourth column).

The second part (2) of the Specialists Hypothesis stated that in general, the graduates are somehow concerned with sustainability in their professions. As Table 1 shows, the graduates’ work is at least somewhat related to sustainability in 16 out of 19 fields of professional activity (binomial test, \( p < 0.01 \)). On average, the professionals rate this sustainability relation with a mean of 3.1, which lies a little but significantly above 2.5 (\( n = 525 \), \( t = 9.4 \), \( p < 0.01 \)). The third part (3) of the Specialists Hypothesis refers to a long-term increase of sustainability engagements. We see that if professionals are engaged in sustainability (relatedness of work to sustainability > 2.5), the engagement increases throughout their career (\( n = 271 \), \( t = 10.3 \), \( p < 0.01 \)). Thus, also parts (2) and (3) of the Specialists Hypothesis are confirmed by the data.

3.4.2. Sustainability Expertise in Foreign Professional Fields: Pioneers

The Pioneers Hypothesis stated that (1) even in professional fields with low sustainability we will find graduates whose work is (considerably) related to sustainability and (2) these graduates have an increased influence on sustainability concerns in their organizations in the course of their careers. As shown by Table 1, there are three professional fields with low ratings of the relatedness or professional activities to sustainability. These fields are banks/insurances, in the health sector and in other public services. The Pioneers Hypothesis can be confirmed in both parts. In professional fields with low sustainability there are at least 24 graduates whose work is considerably related to sustainability (self-assessments > 2.5 on a five point scale). As shown in Table 3, their perceived personal influence has significantly increased in the course of their careers (>threshold 2.5; \( n = 24 \), \( t = 2.7 \), \( p < 0.05 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weak relation of individual professional activity to sustainability (&lt;threshold 2.5)</th>
<th>Considerable relation of individual professional activity to sustainability (&gt;threshold 2.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change of perceived influence on sustainability through time ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1.8** (( n = 51 ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Rating scale: 1 = strong decrease, 2 = slight decrease, 3 = slight increase, 4 = strong increase, 5 = very strong increase. * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \) (one-sample t-test, mean above/below 2.5)

The Pioneers Hypothesis does not imply that the graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences were the very first environmental experts to enter these fields. Swiss insurance companies started to employ environmental specialists long before ETH establishes the educational program in Environmental Sciences. The Pioneers Hypothesis only points out that in professional fields such as banking where the work of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences is usually not linked to sustainability we find graduates with a enduring work relation to sustainability. Among them are real pioneers such as the members of the former start-up teams of SAM, one of the very first independent sustainability rating
firms for asset management, or INrate, an asset rating firm that is based on an integrative and explicitly ethical understanding of sustainability.

3.4.3. Influence: Leaders

As Table 4 displays, 27 graduates hold top managerial positions (9% of the employed graduates). If we combine upper and highest managerial levels, 51 graduates are leaders, that is about 17% of the employed graduates or 10% of all surveyed graduates.

We see in Table 4 that the contribution to sustainability is correlated with the job position. The second to the fifth columns of Table 4 display the (self-) assessments of the best practice examples on four dimensions: strength of effect; sustainability aspects covered (as defined by the Swiss sustainability strategy); integration of the three sustainability dimensions of ecological, economic and social sustainability; and the monitoring of the sustainability effects. All these measures increase significantly with the job position (Spearman rho, $p < 0.01$). Comparing with graduates in medium or lower positions, graduates in upper or highest positions show higher sustainability ratings in all of the four aspects measured. In addition, we see that the professionals in upper and highest positions consider the Environmental Sciences education as valuable for their career (for upper and higher level $t = 2.6$, $p < 0.01$). Thus data confirmed the Leaders Hypothesis.

Table 4. Leaders Hypothesis: Promotion of sustainability by graduates in leadership positions (self-employed graduates are not covered in the table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managerial position</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Strength of the sustainability contribution a</th>
<th>Aspects covered (out of 15)</th>
<th>Integration of the 3 dimensions (%)</th>
<th>Monitoring of sustainability effects (%)</th>
<th>“Environmental Sciences education valuable?” b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 None</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Lower level</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-level</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Upper level</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Highest (e.g., CEO)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation with job position (Spearman rho)

| Correlation with job position (Spearman rho) | 0.22 ** | 0.16 ** | 0.16 ** | 0.15 ** | 0.20 ** |

Comparison 4 & 5 vs. 1,2 & 3 (t-test)

| Comparison 4 & 5 vs. 1,2 & 3 (t-test) | $p < 0.01$ | $p < 0.05$ | $p < 0.01$ | $p < 0.01$ | $p < 0.01$ |

4. Qualitative Study: Social Capital Analysis

4.1. General Approach

The social capital analysis focused on the transmission of knowledge produced by the ETH Environmental Sciences into the Swiss society and economy. The research question was: Which were
the transmission paths from Environmental Sciences into the Swiss social systems? The institutional settings that support the transmission—such as networks—were considered as social capital, as they “facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit” [6].

The study was based on a social systems approach. Social systems are considered more or less separate fields of social discourse and production, the core social systems being economy, politics, law, and science. The concept of social systems was introduced by the prominent sociologist Parsons [35]. Luhmann [11], who further elaborated the social systems approach, pointed to the difficulties of mutual penetration of social systems, particularly in the context of ecological concerns. The analysis of the economy as a social system was based on the national innovation system concept [36].

The social capital analysis covered the Swiss social systems with the exception of the science system, as ETH Zurich conducted a separate international scientific evaluation of its Environmental Sciences department. For the social capital analysis, we distinguished three social sub-systems: (i) economy (the private sector), (ii) politics/public administration (the public sector), and (iii) civil society. The legal system is usually considered a system of its own. However, we assumed that, in the case of sustainability, law and politics are closely linked [12] and therefore subsumed law under politics/public administration. Furthermore, we differentiated civil society from the public sector because of the high importance of NGOs and other associations whose reach extends far beyond political decision-making. In addition, civil society also includes autonomous professional associations, professions being—besides the national governments—co-actors in the development of university-based scientific disciplines [37]. Thus, civil society is considered a social (sub-)system of its own for the purposes of our study.

4.2. Methods

Figure 2 provides an overview of the research methodology of the qualitative study. Table 5 shows the research design for the interviews. The study covered three social sub-systems: the private and public sectors and the Swiss civil society. The study comprised four steps, sketched in Figure 2.

(I) The first step consisted in identifying the key players of each sub-system and the organizations that should be interviewed. For this, three system interviews were conducted with representatives of the involved sub-systems. Additional context information came from the 2001-survey on Swiss environmental professionals [38], an interview with the head of the ETH Environmental Alumni and updated official statistics for industrial productivity in Switzerland [39].

(II) The second step was to interview the organizations. The standard method applied was structured expert interviews [40]. All interviews were conducted by the first author, the interview guide was similarly structured for all organizations (cf. Annex A). For comparatively complex organizations (e.g., Swiss Re, WWF), the interviews involved individuals from both the management and the project levels. The findings related to human and social capital that occurred in more than one of the interviews were formulated as hypotheses. Findings and hypotheses were consolidated through interviews with top experts from each sub-system, namely the Swiss employers’ association (economic system); IDHEAP, the Lausanne-based
Graduate School of Public Management (public sector); and WWF, the World Wide Fund for Nature (NGOs).

(III) The third step was to aggregate and integrate the findings of the interviews with the results of the quantitative study. To this end, a one-day workshop was conducted with experts from all key players of the involved sub-systems [41]. The expert workshop resulted in the re-definition of the hypotheses as theses on transmission paths of ETH Environmental Sciences into society and economy in Switzerland.

(IV) Finally, the Advisory Board of the ETH Environmental Sciences with representatives of politics and the key Swiss industries discussed and reviewed the results of the studies and the expert workshop. This resulted in slight changes in the crafting of the transmission paths theses and in the definition of future tasks for the ETH Environmental Sciences educational program, documented by a report [27].

Table 5. Research design of the interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System-interview</th>
<th>Economy (private sector)</th>
<th>Politics/public administration (public sector)</th>
<th>Civil society (NGOs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private Consultant (ETH alumnus)</td>
<td>Head of the Federal Office for the Environment (BAFU)</td>
<td>Head of sanu (Professional school for sustainability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Players</td>
<td>• Planning offices</td>
<td>• Politics</td>
<td>• NGOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Knowledge intensive service firms (consultancy/research)</td>
<td>• Federal and Cantonal Administrations</td>
<td>• Professions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• other Swiss SMEs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Global companies in knowledge intensive sectors (incl. pharma)</td>
<td>• (Environment &amp; Planning)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• other large enterprises (retail, transport, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizations selected</td>
<td>• Coop [large retailer]</td>
<td>• ARE [Federal administration]</td>
<td>• Berufsregister * [Professions]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Geberit [sanitary technology company]</td>
<td>• BAFU [Federal administration]</td>
<td>• NZZ [Press]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Infras [consulting firm]</td>
<td>• Berufsregister *</td>
<td>• SVU-SIA * [Professions]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pöyry Infra [engineering firm]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SAM [asset management company]</td>
<td>• SBB * [Politics]</td>
<td>• WWF [NGO]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SBB * [Swiss railways]</td>
<td>• UVEK [Politics]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SVU-SIA * [planning offices]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Swiss Re [re-insurance company]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top expert (institution)</td>
<td>Swiss employers’ association</td>
<td>IDHEAP</td>
<td>WWF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Results

A set of five key transmission paths—as functional forms of social capital—was identified. The interactions between ETH Environmental Sciences and the Swiss social sub-systems were sketched on this basis. In the following we present five transmission path theses (in italics) as they have been formulated as a result of the qualitative study (cf. Figure 2):

4.3.1. Qualification Profile

Swiss companies that are global players in a “knowledge-based economy” (banking, insurance, pharmaceutical companies, etc.) and their consulting firms are looking for people with strong analytical skills, excellent communication skills and a willingness towards life-long learning. In this context, the graduates from ETH Environmental Sciences are considered as generalists, competing with physicists in particular.

In contrast, small and medium Swiss enterprises that are not part of the “globalized knowledge-economy” (e.g., planning offices, environmental experts, geo-technical firms, etc.) are looking for highly skilled specialists with sufficient practical experience. In this context, graduates from ETH Environmental Sciences are considered as useful specialists.

Thus, the graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences show different qualification profiles depending on the kind of industry and employer. We can assume that there are correspondingly different groups among the graduates.
4.3.2. Internalization

Companies increasingly internalize environmental regulations and tasks in their operating procedures. Initially, they employed environmental experts to implement procedures for environmental protection, reporting, etc. Through internalization, these tasks become a part of the working processes in the operating units. Environmental scientists serve as important promoters or catalysts for this change, thus making themselves partly redundant.

This is consistent with the theory ecological modernization [42] that postulates an increasing internationalization of environmental concerns into day-to-day organizational processes.

4.3.3. Networks

Environmental scientists form a growing network, taking over high positions in various fields of Swiss society, science, and economy. The effects are:

- Redirection of the political agenda
- Stimulation of the demand for professional environmental consulting and services
- Increased capabilities to change positions and work (further expanding the network)

The last point corresponds to how Granovetter described weak ties in personal networks [43]. We find such a personal network of graduates of Environmental Sciences, for example, in and around Swiss Re, a big re-insurance company. Further evidence for the stimulation of demand for professional environmental consulting and services (the second point) already resulted from so-called transdisciplinary case studies (see 2.1) that represented integrated consulting for cities, regions and companies and brought follow-up consulting jobs for the involved students of Environmental Sciences. A recent example for the redirection of the political agenda (first point) is the Swiss “stopp-offroader”-initiative for a referendum to restrict the use of off road vehicles in Switzerland (in order to mitigate climate change impacts). This was a direct result of traffic research at the ETH Environmental Sciences, put forward by a graduate who is member of the Swiss parliament. This initiative has led to a change in the Swiss CO2-law [44].

4.3.4. Standardization

The penetration of well-educated environmental professionals in the labor market and Swiss society enhances intersectoral communication, based on a shared terminology as well as shared concepts and theories. Considering the spectrum ranging from industry to NGOs, this facilitates the “rational” discussion of environmental issues and leads to a standardization of environmental practice and guidance.

One of the most prominent single standardization examples is the eco indicator 99, today a standard tool for life cycle assessment. It was developed by a doctoral student at the ETH Environmental Sciences (in the context of a life cycle assessment research team).
4.3.5. Professionalization

The establishment of ETH Environmental Sciences fostered the professionalization of the field of professional environmental services, e.g., in the context of the re-organization and strengthening of the professional association. However, in Switzerland, environmental sciences are publicly perceived as part of higher education but not as scientific discipline that may be linked to a profession of its own.

The contribution of ETH Environmental Sciences to the professionalization of the field environmental services has been described in several studies [45]. Though there is a divide between educational program and scientific reputation. ETH Zurich is known for climate research, water research, etc. and not for integrative, interdisciplinary approaches—which is a prime goal of the education program.

4.3.6. Interactions Between the Social Sub-Systems

Figure 3 depicts the interactions between the social systems and the ETH Environmental Sciences, as they have become evident in the interviews. Three types of interactions are represented. Under perceptions, for instance, ETH Environmental Sciences understands the skill requirements of global companies such as Swiss Re (“analytical skills & communication”) and Swiss SMEs recognize the profile of ETH graduates. Second, under linkages, for instance, graduates and faculty of ETH Environmental Sciences support environmental NGOs and, as another example, the political administration contracts private consultancies to conduct studies. Third, under standardization, for instance, research at ETH Environmental Sciences (“D-UWIS-Science”) defines standards used in the study program, while politics defines environmental standards for Swiss SMEs through legislation. The figure shows the important role of networks, standardization, and the qualification profile. Of course, such a set of interactions is not special for one of the top global universities and probably correct for many more science departments.

**Figure 3.** Interactions between the Swiss social systems and ETH Environmental Sciences.
5. Discussion and Conclusions

The outreach study aimed at answering two questions: What was—in terms of human and social capital—the contribution of the Environmental Sciences educational program to sustainability in Switzerland? What does this case teach us about functional forms of human and social capital of sustainability outreach in general? We operationalized sustainability outreach as a specific national contribution to human and social capital [46], taking reference to OECD initiatives to assess educational outputs [1-3,8]. The results were:

- With regard to human capital: our study revealed the sustainability contributions of the graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences, differentiating three types of human capital (specialists, pioneers, leaders);
- With regard to social capital: the study identified five transmission paths for ETH Environmental Sciences into the Swiss social systems (qualification profile, internalization, networks, standardization, professionalization).

The outreach study combined a quantitative study on human capital with a qualitative study on the social capital contribution. Many of the findings correspond to similar research in related fields. For instance, internalization as a transmission path is also described in the context of ecological modernization [42]; the role of networks as social capital was particularly emphasized by Granovetter [43]; and professionalization as a link between education and social systems has been highlighted by several authors [47,48]. However, our study delivered new insight into the role of standardization for sustainability outreach and the transmission of science education into society.

The final discussion will re-examine how the assessment of sustainability outreach can be based on studying human and social capital (5.1). We conclude with some methodological considerations (5.2).

5.1. Sustainability Outreach Based on Human and Social Capital

Our data confirmed the distinction of several functional forms of human capital, i.e., three types of “wealth embodied in labour, skills and knowledge” [3]. We distinguished between specialists, pioneers, and leaders. The pioneers prepare the field in a professional world that is rather “hostile” towards sustainability objectives. The specialists work as experts in a great variety of Swiss industries; they are primarily sought by medium and small-sized firms and support processes of internalization and the dissemination of standards. Leaders are particularly sought by international companies that are players in the globalized economy. In contrast to the specialists, leaders are generalists working, for instance, with global insurance companies to define a corporate sustainability strategy in the face of climate change.

Leadership can also be considered a form of social capital [49]. This was reflected in the quantitative study, with leaders being addressed because of the institutionalized form of influence they represent. However, leadership was not mentioned in the qualitative study as a particular transmission path. The Environmental Sciences education program might be too young to be publicly perceived as a leading societal institution for the advancement of sustainability in Switzerland. A common topic in the interviews, however, was the impact of Environmental Sciences on the standardization of environmental concern in Switzerland, standardization being an example of norms as social capital [7]. Krigsvoll,
Fumo and Morbiducci [50] showed the important role of international standardization for sustainability in construction. Our study revealed how, at the Swiss national level, graduates of Environmental Sciences helped to disseminate a shared terminology for discussing and taking decisions on environmental issues. Standardization thus facilitates “coordination and cooperation”—in Putnam’s terms [6]—and contributes to the formalization of modern life [51].

While revealing the important role of standardization, the outreach study also showed limitations. In particular, Environmental Sciences in Switzerland is (still) perceived by the public as an educational program but not as an integrated science. That is why the political or public advice function did not play a major role in our interviews. The public also likely attributes expert advice to, for instance, climate change research or hydrology but not the Environmental Sciences program—despite the fact that the program does indeed educate hydrologists and climate researchers. This fragmented perception might even increase in the coming years, as the ETH Environmental Sciences education program has been transformed from a single diploma course program into a Master’s study program with various majors. The public perception of Environmental Sciences also restrains the further development of the environmental profession in Switzerland. In general, any established profession has to have a clear relation to a—often homonymous—scientific discipline and an academic study program [37,48].

5.2. Methodological Considerations

Our study showed how higher education can contribute to sustainability on a national level. We were not so much interested in directly assessing the national sustainability impact—that would have required a sort of sustainability impact assessment [52]. Instead, we studied sustainability outreach in form of supporting intermediary social structures—the functional forms—incorporated by human and social capital [53]. To conceive sustainability contributions as human and social capital aligns with concepts of sustainability that are based on an assessment of resources [54] or the capital stock involved [55]. However, human and social capital might be seen in completely different ways. For instance, the Swiss MONET project (German abbreviation for monitoring of sustainable development) defines the “development and maintenance of human capital” as “collective knowledge and socio-cultural heritage [that] should be maintained and increased over the long term” [56]. Our study defined human and social capital by the line of research put forth by the OECD [1,2], linking it to higher education in particular.

In the international realm of science education, developing human capital at a national level has been an explicit political target for the last twenty years. These efforts to develop human capital are in general linked to investments in social capital, for instance, the development of national systems of accreditation and continuing regular evaluation of education [8]. More generally, research on expert performance teaches us that the optimization of human capital in terms of excellent people—in sports as well as in science—today requires high investments in social capital in terms of professionalized supporting institutions, e.g., for high-level training [33]. Therefore, the success of sustainability-oriented science education might be assessed not only with regard to human capital but also with regard to the development of social capital. In addition to former initiatives towards an accounting of human capital [1], our study might contribute to an accounting of further educational outcomes in the form of
social capital, as “[s]olid evidence on outcomes is among the best ways to justify continued public investments in education” [8].

A key to the further development of this human and social capital based outreach assessment will be linking it to an evaluation of single elements of an educational program [15,57]. How do specific courses or theories contribute to the overall sustainability impact of an educational program? In the case of the ETH Environmental Sciences, critical elements could be the transdisciplinary case studies [17] or the systems thinking model [27] on which the educational program is based on. This might require rethinking the role of sciences and science education in the context of environmental and global change [58,59].
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ANNEX A: Interview Guides
[translated from German]

Private Sector

Core business:
• What is the core business of your company/your industry? What are the value chains?
• Changes last 5–10 years?
• How is your company/your industry related to sustainability?

Personnel:
• Which occupations are working in your company/your industry?
• Changes last 5–10 years?

Visibility of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences:
• Are there graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences (further option: environmental experts) employed in your company/your business?
• How are these graduates perceived with regard to (further option: from the view of human resource management, how important is for your company …)
  o sustainability (know-how, strategic thinking)?
  o working as a generalist vs. specialist?
  o a systems view (integrative, multi-disciplinary, multi organizational levels…)?

Specific contribution of ETH Environmental Sciences:
• Would your company/your industry be different without contributions by ETH Environmental Sciences with regard to
  o individuals,
  o institutions,
  o ideas?

Public Sector

Core function:
• What is the core function of your institution? What are your key processes?
• Changes last 5–10 years?
• How is your institution related to sustainability?
Personnel:

- Which occupations are working in your institution?
- Changes last 5–10 years?

Visibility of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences:

- Are there graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences (further option: environmental experts) employed in your institution?
- How are these graduates perceived with regard to (further option: viewing the range of duties and individual capabilities of your institution, how important is…)
  - sustainability (know-how, strategic thinking)?
  - working as a generalist vs. specialist?
  - a systems view (integrative, multi-disciplinary, multi organizational levels…)?

Specific contribution of ETH Environmental Sciences:

- Would your institution be different without contributions by ETH Environmental Sciences with regard to
  - individuals,
  - institutions,
  - ideas?

Civil Society

Core responsibility:

- What is the responsibility of your organization? What are your key contributions to civil society?
- Changes last 5–10 years?
- How is your organization related to sustainability?

Personnel:

- Which occupations are working in your organization?
- Changes last 5–10 years?

Visibility of graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences:

- Are there graduates of ETH Environmental Sciences (further option: environmental experts) employed in your organization?
- How are these graduates perceived with regard to (further option: from the view of human resource management, how important for your organization is…)
  - sustainability (know-how, strategic thinking)?
working as a generalist vs. specialist?
- a systems view (integrative, multi-disciplinary, multi organizational levels...)?

Specific contribution of ETH Environmental Sciences:

- Would your organization/civil society be different without contributions by ETH Environmental Sciences with regard to
  - individuals,
  - institutions,
  - ideas?
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