Competing Ice Nucleation in Cirrus Clouds: Mineral Dust vs Aviation Soot

Bernd Kärcher DLR Institute of Atmospheric Physics

Virtual INP Colloquium • May 11, 2023



In a world with conflicting information ...



... perhaps this talk can provide some guidance:

Basic ice microphysics

- homogeneous freezing events and how INPs modify them
- INP number-size and ice activation spectra

Simulation scenarios

Results

- soot-perturbed cirrus and dust-perturbed cirrus
- competing ice nucleation including both INP types

Take aways

Homogeneous freezing events (supersaturation equation)



How INPs modify homogeneous freezing events



main effects:

- number of nucleated ice crystals is limited by available INPs
- INPs act to reduce ds/dt and therefore total n_{ice} depending mainly on n_{IN} and T
- at low s, INPs may prevent or cut-off homogeneous freezing (high n_{IN} or low w, T)



Simulation cases

Case	Features
Hom	Homogeneous freezing of supercooled liquid solution droplets
Soot	Hom + 500 L ⁻¹ soot particles ($D_m = 29.3 \text{ nm}, \sigma = 1.72$)
Dust	Hom + 28 L^{-1} dust particles
All	Hom + Soot + Dust

- midlatitude UT: 250 hPa, 220K
- normally distributed INP numbers
- random sampling of updraft speeds from exponential distributions:

internal gravity waves incl. high frequency contributions w-std dev $\sigma \approx$ 10-20 cm/s



Scenarios (constant updrafts and INP numbers)

3 model cases: solution droplets + contrail-processed aviation soot alone (Soot) solution droplets + mineral dust alone (Dust) solution droplets + aviation soot + mineral dust (All)



Scenarios (variability in updraft speed and INP number)

3 model cases: solution droplets + contrail-processed aviation soot alone (Soot) solution droplets + mineral dust alone (Dust) solution droplets + aviation soot + mineral dust (All)

3 forcing regimes: weak (σ = 5 cm/s), average (σ = 15 cm/s), strong (σ = 25 cm/s)

2 data sets: only INPs form ice (PURE), competing nucleation (COMP)



Competing ice nucleation in soot-perturbed cirrus



- the wide range of homogeneously nucleated ice numbers in COMP is caused by wave-driven variability in updraft speeds
- number of COMP data points increase relative to PURE when going from weak to strong wave forcing
- all PURE data points stay well below the 1%-ice activity line: only up to 0.3% of all contrail-processed aviation soot particles can become ice-active
- impact is weaker/stronger for smaller/larger PSD modal size (not shown), as ice activation is strongly size-dependent

Competing ice nucleation in dust-perturbed cirrus



- the number of PURE data points is larger than in case Soot, since dust affects homogeneous freezing already at lower supersaturation
- several PURE simulations end up on the 1:1 line, revealing a significant 'shadowing' effect once dust is fully activated
- mineral dust is a very effective INP: fraction of PURE cases: 97% (weak), 79% (average), 62% (strong) — compare to 49% / 22% / 14% in case Soot
- for average forcing, reduction in homogeneously nucleated ICNC amounts to 61% (122/L-air) — compared to only 12.5% (25/L) in case Soot

Competing ice nucleation (dust and soot)



Always make the audience suffer as much as possible



Take aways

- The broad distribution of nucleated ice numbers points to <u>homogeneous freezing as</u> <u>the key background formation process</u> in cirrus modulated by INPs (if present).
- Even when <u>INPs</u> are not able to prevent homogeneous freezing in strong updrafts, they <u>may significantly reduce the number of homogeneously nucleated ice crystals.</u>
- Even poor INPs may alter microphysical [but not necessarily optical] cirrus properties: need full 3D cirrus cloud model to determine associated CREs.

More details in:

Cirrus Parameterization:

https://doi.org/10.1029/2022jd036907

Mineral Dust vs Aviation Soot

https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037881

Aviation Soot

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00175-x



If you want a happy ending, this depends where you stop your story