



Soil properties and not inputs control the carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus ratios in cropped soils

Frossard E, N Buchmann, EK Bünemann, DI Kiba, F Lompo, A Oberson, F Tamburini, OYA Traoré 2016, SOIL 2: 83–99 www.soil-journal.net/2/83/2016/ doi:10.5194/soil-2-83-2016



Introduction

- All organisms need C, N and P for growth; they differ in nutrient acquisition and use
- CNP ratios of soil microorganisms provide information about their capacity to immobilize or release nutrients and to adapt to existing resources
- CNP ratios in soil organic matter provide information on the role of microorganisms & plants in determining soil organic matter composition and on nutrient limitations to C sequestration
- A lot of data are available on C and N but we lack information on P
- A lot of data are available on semi-natural systems but we lack information on how agricultural practices impact CNP ratio in cropped soils where elements are added, removed, stored and lost

Questions

- Do long-term inputs with similar CNP ratio into cropped soils, leave an in-print on the CNP ratio of soil microbial biomass and ultimately on soil organic matter
- Or will we find the Redfield ratio everywhere CNP: 106:16:1 (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007)

Material

- Three long-term experiments:
 - the **Saria** trial in Burkina Faso (Pieri, 1989)
 - the **Wagga Wagga** trial in Australia (Heenan et al., 1994)
 - the **DOK** trial in Switzerland (Mäder et al., 2002)
- For each trial, we estimated the yearly C, N and P inputs to the soil, the N and P soil system budget and compared them to the C, N, P contents and molar ratios of soil pools

The Saria Trial (Pieri, 1989)

- **Goal:** Maintenance of soil fertility, since 1960
- Location: Burkina Faso, 800 mm rainfall/yr, mean temperature 30° C
- Soil: ferric Acrisol, 12% clay (quartz), weak aggregate stability
- Treatments: CON (no nutrient input), MIN1 (37 N, 10 P, 11.6 K kg/ha yr), MINFYM1 (MIN1 plus 5 t manure/ha every 2nd year), MIN2 (60 N, 10 P, 36.5 K kg/ha yr), MINFYM2 (MIN2 plus 40 t manure/ha every 2nd year)
- **Rotation**: sorghum, cowpea



Photos INERA September 2011

The Wagga Wagga Trial (Heenan et al., 1994)

- Goal: crop rotation, stubble and tillage management, since 1979
- Location: NSW Australia, 570 mm rainfall/yr, mean temperature 16° C
- Soil: chromic Luvisol, 29% clay (Q, K, I, H), stable structure
- Treatments: WL-M-C wheat/lupin with mulch and cultivation; WL-B-C wheat/lupin with burning and cultivation; WW-B-C continuous wheat with burning and cultivation; WS-M-D wheat/subterranean clover with mulch and direct drilling; WS-M-C wheat/ subterranean clover with mulch and cultivation





Photos E.K. Bünemann

The DOK trial (Mäder et al., 2002)

- Goal: comparison between conventional and organic cropping systems, since 1978
- Location: Therwil NW Switzerland, 790 mm rainfall/yr, mean temperature 10° C
- **Soil:** haplic Luvisol, 15% clay (interstratified clays, mica), weak aggregate stability
- Treatments: NON no fertilizer input; MIN exclusively mineral fertilizers; ORG bio-organic, receives slightly aerobically rotted farmyard manure and slurry; MINORG conventional system, receives stacked farmyard manure, slurry and mineral fertilizers as supplement
- Rotation: silage maize, winter wheat, soybean, potatoes, winter wheat II, and two years of grassclover ley



Photos A. Oberson



Parameters

- Estimated C, N, P inputs = sum of elements added by plant biomass, symbiotic N₂ fixation, seeds, organic and mineral fertilizers, dust and rainfall
- Estimated N, P outputs = sum of elements removed in exported plant products, and lost to water, the atmosphere and deep soil horizons
- Soil system budget = Inputs Outputs
- Soil data: pH; total C, N, P; organic and inorganic P; resin P (available); microbial C, N and P

Soil system budget







Results Saria I

Treatments		CON	MINFYM1	MIN1	MINFYM2	MIN2
Inputs	C t/ha yr	1.0	3.6	1.9	8.9	2.3
	N kg/ha yr	11.5	90.9	55.4	388.1	77.0
	P kg/ha yr	0.8	17.6	10.8	69.9	10.8
Molar ratio	C:N:P	3280:33:1	522:11:1	465:11:1	312:12:1	561:16:1
Budgets	N kg/ha yr	-31	-18	-27	189	-30
	P kg/ha yr	-2.1	5.7	3.8	49.4	2.4

- Inputs = large variability due to manure production; large variations in CNP ratios (factors 10 for CP and 3 for NP)
- Budgets = large variations in N and P



Results Saria II

- Effects on soil pools
- Treatments affect highly significantly soil C, N and P concentrations in all pools

Effects on soil CNP ratios

 The relation NP in inputs vs NP in microbial biomass is the only significant one in this data set



Saria's microbes feed on inputs and sequester P

Results Wagga Wagga I

Treatments		WL-M-C	WL-B-C	WW-B-C	WS-M-D	WS-M-C
Inputs	C t/ha yr	6.1	5.7	5.4	7.1	7.1
	N kg/ha yr	85	85	7	129	129
	P kg/ha yr	21	21	21	21	21
Molar ratio	C:N:P	764:9:1	713:9:1	673:1:1	892:14:1	891:14:1
Budget	N kg/ha yr	-29	-42	-51	9	-6
	P kg/ha yr	12	22	11	15	15

- Inputs = large C inputs, large variability in N due to N₂ fixation (factor 18)
- Budgets = very large differences in C and N budgets

Results Wagga Wagga II

- Effects on soil pools
- Positive relationships between total C, total N, organic P, microbial C, microbial N and C budget

• Effects on soil CNP ratios

160

 Positive relation between CP, CPo; NP in soil organic matter and CP in inputs



 No relation between microbial CNP and inputs CNP; increased C budget leads to organic nutrient storage (P storage)



Results DOK I

Treatments		NON	MIN	ORG	MINORG
Total inputs	C t/ha yr	1.0	1.4	2.4	2.8
	N kg/ha yr	81.7	184.4	219.5	250.5
	P kg/ha yr	0.8	30.8	27.8	41.8
Molar ratio	CNP	3162:230:1	118:13:1	223:17:1	174:13:1
Budget	N kg/ha yr	-72.3	-81.6	-49.5	-59.5
	P kg/ha yr	-19.0	-3.0	-5.0	3.0

- Inputs = large variability in C inputs due to manure; in N inputs due to N₂ fixation, and in P inputs due to nutrient inputs, leading to large variations in CNP ratios (factors 26 for CP and 17 for NP)
- **Budgets** = strong differences in P budgets



Results DOK II

- No relation between CNP in inputs and CNP in soil microorganisms; while NP ratio in soil microbes is related to total N: total P ratio in soil -> microbes feed on soil nutrients
- No relation between CNP in inputs and CNP in soil organic matter/total pool → the interplay between degradation and stabilization has erased the CNP ratio of inputs

The soil rules

- The CNP ratio of inputs has little impact on the CNP ratio of soil pools, while the different treatments often modified element concentrations
- The changes induced by treatments were controlled by soil properties



Summary/Conclusions

- The CNP ratio of agricultural inputs and the N, and P budgets have limited impacts on the CNP ratio of soil pools.
- Changes in management lead to changes in elements concentration in soil following trajectories controlled by soil properties (organic matter, mineralogy, biological activity)
- Stiochiometric approaches are challenging in soil because of soil capacity to sequester either molecules containing a single element (P or C), two (protein, IHP) or CNP (plant and microbial products)
- Subsequent works will need precise estimations of elements inputs/outputs and balance
- Multiple isotope tracing is necessary to follow the fate of C, N and P added to the soil/plant system (→ Poster Oberson *et al.* 2017)





Thanks to all colleagues who helped collect the necessary info on these trials!

Funding from SDC



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC