


Type: project funded by the EU (H2020 Research & Innovation) **Status:** ongoing (2018-2023)


Consortium: 20 partner institutions, 14 European countries, 16 farm networks

ETHZ Team: N. Buchmann (Swiss PI), V. Klaus, I. Feigenwinter, S. Ghiasi, M. Sonneveld, N. El-Benni, M. Spörri, G. Mack, E. Lieberherr (NARP), S. Quatrini (NARP)

NARP Focus (CH): Review of policy effectiveness and impacts (Task 4.1c), Identification of DSTs for policy-makers (Task 5.2b)



Source: adapted from Haines-Young and Potschin, 2010 and van Zanten et al. 2014



Source: Erik Hunter (SLU), Carl Johansson (SLU), Jozefine Nybom (SLU), Rosa Gallardo Cobos (UCO), Pedro Sánchez Zamora (UCO), Belén Caño Vergara (UCO), Simone Quatrini (ETH), Eva Lieberherr (ETH), Paul Newell-Price (ADAS), John Elliot (ADAS), Simona Miškolci (Mendelu), Sophie Tindale (UNEW), Lynn Frewer (UNEW). «The effectiveness of policies promoting sustainable permanent grasslands across five European countries (representing five biogeographic regions): Mapping, understanding, and key stakeholder perceptions».

- In CH: how effective is the Swiss policy-mix of relevance to Permanent Grasslands ?
- Through literature review and interviews with policy experts we found that:
 - The vast majority of PG policies target farmers. None target consumers directly
 - Opinions about policy efficiency are the least positive across stakeholder groups
 - Direct payments did not deliver on the quality objectives of agric. (e.g. biodiversity)
 - For a balanced delivery of ecosystem services, new DSTs are needed (tbc)